

## Programming Paradigms Lecture

- What are they?
- Most are Hybrids!
- The Four Primary ones
- Functional
- Imperative
- Object-Oriented
- OOP Example: Skecthpad
- Declarative
- Turing Completeness
- Summary



## What are Programming Paradigms?

- "The concepts and abstractions used to represent the elements of a program (e.g., objects, functions, variables, constraints, etc.) and the steps that compose a computation (assignation, evaluation, continuations, data flows, etc.)."
- Or, a way to classify the style of programming.



## Of 4 paradigms, how many can BYOB be?


a) 1 (functional)
b) 1 (not functional)
c) 2
d) 3

e) 4

## Most Languages Are Hybrids!

- This makes it hard to teach to students, because most languages have facets of several paradigms!
- Called "Multi-paradigm" languages
- Scratch too!
- It's like giving someone a juice drink (with many fruit in iit) and asking to taste iust one fruit!



## Functional Programming (review)

- Computation is the evaluation of functions


## $f(x)=(x+3) * \sqrt{x}$

- Plugging pipes together
- Each pipe, or function, has exactly 1 output
- Functions can be input!
- Features
- No state
- E.g., variable assignments
- No mutation
- E.g., changing variable values
- No side effects
- Examples (tho not pure)
- Scheme, Scratch BYOB



## Imperative Programming <br> - "Sequential" Programming <br> - Computation a series of steps <br> $$
f(x)=(x+3) * \sqrt{x}
$$

- Assignment allowed
- Setting variables
- Mutation allowed
- Changing variables
- Like following a recipe. E.g.,
- Procedure $f(x)$
- ans = $x$
- ans $=\sqrt{\text { ans }}$
- ans $=(x+3)^{*}$ ans
- return ans
- Examples: (tho not pure)

- Pascal, C


## Object-Oriented Programming (OOP)

- Objects as data structures
- With methods you ask of them
- These are the behaviors
- With local state, to remember
- These are the attributes
- Classes \& Instances
- Instance an example of class
- E.g., Fluffy is instance of Dog
- Inheritance saves code
- Hierarchical classes
- E.g., pianist special case of musician, a special case of performer


An object-oriented program consists of many well-encapsulated objects and interacting with each other by sending messages
www3.ntu.edu.sg/home/ehchua/
programming/java/images/OOP-Objects.gif

- Examples (tho not pure)
- Java, C++


## OOP Example : SketchPad

- Dr. Ivan Sutherland
- "Father of Computer Graphics"
- 1988 Turing Award ("Nobel prize" for CS)
- Wrote Sketchpad for his foundational 1963 thesis
- The most impressive software ever written
- First...
- Object-oriented system
- Graphical user interface
- non-procedural language


Garcia

## OOP in BYOB



\section*{路

## 路 <br> Declarative Programming

- Express what computation desired without specifying how it carries it out
- Often a series of assertions and queries
- Feels like magic!
- Sub-categories
- Logic
- Constraint
- We saw in Sketchpad!


Anders Hejlsberg "The Future of C\#" @ PDC2008 channel9.msdn.com/pdc2008/TL16/

- Example: Prolog


## Dedaralive Programming Example

- Five schoolgirls sat for an examination. Their parents - so they thought
- showed an undue degree of interest in the result. They therefore agreed that, in writing home about the examination, each girl should make one true statement and one untrue one. The following are the relevant passages from their letters:
- Betty
- Kitty was $2^{\text {nd }}$
- I was $3^{\text {rd }}$
- Ethel
- I was on top
- Joan was 2nd
- Joan
- I was $3^{\text {rd }}$
- Ethel was last
- Kitty
- I came out $2^{\text {nd }}$

- Mary was only $4^{\text {th }}$
- Mary
- I was $4^{\text {th }}$
- Betty was $7^{\text {st }}$


## Of 4 paradigms, what's the most powerful?

## a) Functional <br> b) Imperative <br> c) OOP <br> d) Declarative <br> e) All equally powerful

## Turing Completeness

- A Turing Machine has an infinite tape of is and Os and instructions that say whether to move the tape left, right, read, or write if - Can simulate any computer algorithm!
- A Universal Turing Machine is one that can simulate a Turing machine on any input
- A language is considered Turing Complete if it can simulate a Universal Turing Machine
- A way to decide that one programming language or paradigm is just as powerful as another


Turing Machine by Tom Dunne

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WHEN IT CAME TO EATINE STRIPS OF CANDY BUTTONS. } 00000 \\
& \text { THERE WERE TWO MAN STRATEGIES. SOME KIDS } \\
& \text { CAREFUUY REMOVED EACH BEAD, CHECKING } \\
& \text { CLOSELY FOR PAPER RESIDUE BEFORE EATING. }
\end{aligned}
$$

STHERS TORE THE CAND OFF HAPHAZARDLY, SWALLOWING LARGE SCRAPS OF PAPER AS THEY ATE

[^0]
## en. wikipedia.org/wiki/Programming paradigm <br> Ways to Remember the Paradigms

- Functional
- Evaluate an expression and use the resulting value for something
- Object-oriented
- Send messages between objects to simulate the temporal evolution of a set of real world phenomena
- Declarative
- Answer a question via search for a solution
- Imperative
- First do this and next do that


## Summary

- Each paradigm has its unique benefits
- If a language is Turing complete, it is equally powerful
- Paradigms vary in efficiency, scalability, overhead, fun, "how" vs "what" to specify, etc.
- Modern languages usually take the best from all
- E.g., Scratch
- Can be functional
- Can be imperative
- Can be object-oriented
- Can be declarative



[^0]:    THEN THERE WERE THE LONELY FEW OFUS WHO MOVED BACK AND FORTH ON THE STRIP, EATING ROWS OF BEADS HERE AND THERE,
    PRETENDING WE WERE TURWG MACHINES.

