

Lecture 10: General and Bottom-Up Parsing

Job Opportunity. Professor Keltner of the Psychology Department is looking for a web developer to help with a moodle system (CMS). There are options for a stipend, and if the project is completed on schedule the developer's work will be shown on a TEDx presentation. See Piazzza for more details.

A Little Notation

Here and in lectures to follow, we'll often have to refer to general productions or derivations. In these, we'll use various alphabets to mean various things:

- Capital roman letters are nonterminals (A, B, \dots).
- Lower-case roman letters are terminals (or tokens, characters, etc.).
- Lower-case greek letters are sequences of zero or more terminal and nonterminal symbols, such as appear in sentential forms or on the right sides of productions (α, β, \dots).
- Subscripts on lower-case greek letters indicate individual symbols within them, so $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ and each α_i is a single terminal or nonterminal.

For example,

- $A : \alpha$ might describe the production $e : e '+' t$,
- $B \Rightarrow \alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma$ might describe the derivation steps $e \Rightarrow e '+' t \Rightarrow e '+' ID$ (α is $e '+'$; A is t ; B is e ; and γ is empty).

Fixing Recursive Descent

- First, let's define an impractical but simple implementation of a top-down parsing routine.
- For nonterminal A and string $S = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$, we'll define $\text{parse}(A, S)$ to return the length of a valid substring derivable from A .
- That is, $\text{parse}(A, c_1 c_2 \dots c_n) = k$, where

$$\frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_k c_{k+1} c_{k+2} \dots c_n}{A \xRightarrow{*}}$$

Abstract body of $\text{parse}(A, S)$

- Can formulate top-down parsing analogously to NFAs.

```
parse (A, S):
  ""Assuming A is a nonterminal and S = c_1 c_2 ... c_n is a string, return
  integer k such that A can derive the prefix string c_1 ... c_k of S.""
  Choose production 'A: \alpha_1 \alpha_2 ... \alpha_m' for A (nondeterministically)
  k = 0
  for x in \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m:
    if x is a terminal:
      if x == c_{k+1}:
        k += 1
      else:
        GIVE UP
    else:
      k += parse (x, c_{k+1} ... c_n)
  return k
```

- Assume that the grammar contains one production for the start symbol: $p : \gamma \dagger$.
- We'll say that a call to parse returns a value if *some* set of choices for productions (the blue step) would return a value (just like NFA).
- Then if $\text{parse}(p, S)$ returns a value, S must be in the language.

Example

Consider parsing $S = "ID * ID -"$ with a grammar from last time:

```
p : e '-'
e : t
  | e '/' t
  | e '*' t
t : ID
```

A successful path through the program:

```

parse(p, S):
  choose p : e '-':
    parse(e, S):
      choose e : e '*': t:
        choose e : t:
          parse(t, S):
            choose t : ID:
              check S[1] == ID: OK, so k3 += 1;
              choose t : ID:
                return 1 (and add to k1)
              check S[1] == ID: OK, so return 1
            return 1 (so k2 += 1)
          Check S[2] == S[k1+1] == '*': GIVE UP (S[2] == '*')
          check S[k2] == '*': OK, k2 += 1
          parse(t, S3): # S3 == "ID -"
            choose t : ID:
              check S3[k3+1] == S3[1] == ID: OK
              k3 += 1; return 1 (so k2 += 1)
            return 3
          Check S[k1+1] == S[4] == '-': OK
          k1 += 1; return 4

```

k_i means "the variable k in the call to parse that is nested i deep." Outermost k is k_1 . Likewise for S .

Making a Deterministic Algorithm

- If we had an infinite supply of processors, could just spawn new ones at each "Choose" line.
- Some would give up, some loop forever, but on correct programs, at least one processor would get through.
- To do this for real (say with one processor), need to keep track of all possibilities systematically.
- This is the idea behind Earley's algorithm:
 - Handles any context-free grammar.
 - Finds all parses of any string.
 - Can recognize or reject strings in $O(N^3)$ time for ambiguous grammars, $O(N^2)$ time for "nondeterministic grammars", or $O(N)$ time for deterministic grammars (such as accepted by Bison).

Earley's Algorithm: I

- First, reformulate to use recursion instead of looping. Assume the string $S = c_1 \cdots c_n$ is fixed.

- Redefine **parse**:

```

parse (A:  $\alpha \bullet \beta$ , s, k):
  ""Assumes A:  $\alpha \beta$  is a production in the grammar,
  0 <= s <= k <= n, and  $\alpha$  can produce the string  $c_{s+1} \cdots c_k$ .
  Returns integer j such that  $\beta$  can produce  $c_{k+1} \cdots c_j$ .""

```

- Or diagrammatically, **parse** returns an integer j such that:

$$c_1 \cdots c_s \underbrace{c_{s+1} \cdots c_k}_{\alpha \Rightarrow} \underbrace{c_{k+1} \cdots c_j}_{\beta \Rightarrow} c_{j+1} \cdots c_n$$

Earley's Algorithm: II

```

parse (A:  $\alpha \bullet \beta$ , s, k):
  ""Assumes A:  $\alpha \beta$  is a production in the grammar,
  0 <= s <= k <= n, and  $\alpha$  can produce the string  $c_{s+1} \cdots c_k$ .
  Returns integer j such that  $\beta$  can produce  $c_{k+1} \cdots c_j$ .""
  if  $\beta$  is empty:
    return k
  Assume  $\beta$  has the form  $x \delta$ 
  if x is a terminal:
    if x ==  $c_{k+1}$ :
      return parse(A:  $\alpha x \bullet \delta$ , s, k+1)
    else:
      GIVE UP
  else:
    Choose production ' $x: \kappa$ ' for x (nondeterministically)
    j = parse(x:  $\bullet \kappa$ , k, k)
    return parse (A:  $\alpha x \bullet \delta$ , s, j)

```

- Now do all possible choices that result in such a way as to avoid redundant work ("nondeterministic memoization").

Ambiguity

- Ambiguity only important here when computing semantic actions.
- Rather than being satisfied with a single path through the chart, we look at *all* paths.
- And we attach the *set* of possible results of $\text{parse}(Y: \bullet\kappa, s, k)$ to the nonterminal Y in the algorithm.