CS61A Notes - Week 07a (solutions): Applicative and Normal Order, Lazy evaluator, Nondeterministic evaluator

Applicative vs. Normal Order

OUESTIONS

1. Above, applicative order was more efficient. Define a procedure where normal order is more efficient.

```
Anything where not evaluating the arguments will save time works. Most trivially, (define (f \times) 3);; a function that always returns 3 When you call (f (fib 10000)), applicative order would choke, but normal order would just happily drop (fib 10000) and just return 3.
```

2. Evaluate this expression using both applicative and normal order: (square (random x)). Will you get the same result from both? Why or why not?

Unless you're lucky, the result will be quite different. Expanding to normal order, you have (* (random x) (random x)), and the two separate calls to random will probably return different values.

3. Consider a magical function count that takes in no arguments, and each time it is invoked, it returns 1 more than it did before, starting with 1. Therefore, (+ (count) (count)) will return 3. Evaluate (square (square (count))) with both applicative and normal order; explain your result.

```
For applicative order, (count) is only called once — returns 1 — and is squared twice. So you have (square (square 1)), which evaluates to 1.

For normal order, (count) is called FOUR times:

(* (square (count)) (square (count))) =>
(* (* (count) (count)) (* (count) (count))) =>
(* (* 1 2) (* 3 4)) =>
24
```

The Lazy Way Out

QUESTIONS: What is printed at each line?

CS61A Summer 2010

Nondeterministic and Indecisive

QUESTIONS

1. Suppose we type the following into the amb evaluator:

What are all possible answers we can get?

6, 8, 10

2. Write a function an-atom-of that dispenses the atomic elements of a deep list (not including empty lists). For example,

3. Use an-atom-of to write deep-member?.

4. Fill in the blanks: