CS61B Lecture #20: Trees

A Recursive Structure

- Trees naturally represent recursively defined, hierarchical objects with more than one recursive subpart for each instance.

- Common examples: expressions, sentences.

  – Expressions have definitions such as "an expression consists of a literal or two expressions separated by an operator."

- Also describe structures in which we recursively divide a set into multiple subsets.

Formal Definitions

- Trees come in a variety of flavors, all defined recursively:

  - **61A style:** A tree consists of a *label* value and zero or more *branches* (or *children*), each of them a tree.

  - **61A style, alternative definition:** A tree is a set of *nodes* (or *vertices*), each of which has a label value and one or more *child nodes*, such that no node descends (directly or indirectly) from itself. A node is the *parent* of its children.

  - **Positional trees:** A tree is either *empty* or consists of a node containing a label value and an indexed sequence of zero or more children, each a positional tree. If every node has two positions, we have a *binary tree* and the children are its *left and right subtrees.* Again, nodes are the parents of their non-empty children.

  - We'll see other varieties when considering graphs.

Tree Characteristics (I)

- The *root* of a tree is a non-empty node with no parent in that tree (its parent might be in some larger tree that contains that tree as a subtree). Thus, every node is the root of a (sub)tree.

- The *order*, *arity*, or *degree* of a node (tree) is its number (maximum number) of children.

- The nodes of a *k-ary tree* each have at most k children.

- A *leaf* node has no children (no non-empty children in the case of positional trees).

Tree Characteristics (II)

- The *height* of a node in a tree is the largest distance to a leaf. That is, a leaf has height 0 and a non-empty tree's height is one more than the maximum height of its children. The height of a tree is the height of its root.

- The *depth* of a node in a tree is the distance to the root of that tree. That is, in a tree whose root is R, R itself has depth 0 in R, and if node $S \neq R$ is in the tree with root R, then its depth is one greater than its parent's.

A Tree Type, 61A Style

```java
public class Tree<Label> {

    // This constructor is convenient, but unfortunately requires this
    // SuppressWarnings annotation to prevent (harmless) warnings
    // that we will explain later.
    @SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
    public Tree(Label label, Tree<Label>... children) {
        _label = label;
        _kids = new ArrayList<>(Arrays.asList(children));
    }

    public int arity() { return _kids.size(); }

    public Label label() { return _label; }

    public Tree<Label> child(int k) { return _kids.get(k); }

    private Label _label;
    private ArrayList<Tree<Label>> _kids;
}
```

Fundamental Operation: Traversal

- *Traversing a tree* means enumerating (some subset of) its nodes.

- Typically done recursively, because that is natural description.

- As nodes are enumerated, we say they are *visited*.

- Three basic orders for enumeration (+ variations):

  - **Preorder**: visit node, traverse its children.

  - **Postorder**: traverse children, visit node.

  - **Inorder**: traverse first child, visit node, traverse second child (binary trees only).

Postorder   Preorder   inorder

Preorder Traversal and Prefix Expressions

**Problem**: Convert   **into**   (– (– (* x (+ y 3))) z)

(Assume `Tree`<*Label*> is means "Tree whose labels have type *Label*.")

```
static String toLisp(Tree<String> T) {
  if (T.arity() == 0) return T.label();
  else {
    String R;  R = "(" + T.label();
    for (int i = 0; i < T.arity(); i += 1)
      R += " " + toLisp(T.child(i));
    return R + ")";
  }
}
```

Inorder Traversal and Infix Expressions

Problem: Convert

into $((-(x*(y+3)))-z)$

To think about: how to get rid of all those parentheses.

```java
static String toInfix(Tree<String> T) {
  if (T.arity() == 0) {
    return T.label();
  } else if (T.arity() == 1) {
    return "(" T.label() + toInfix(T.child(0)) + ")";
  } else {
    return "(" toInfix(T.child(0)) + T.label() + toInfix(T.child(1)) + ")";
  }
}
```

Postorder Traversal and Postfix Expressions

Problem: Convert

into   x y 3 +:2 *:2 −:1 z −:2

```
static String toPolish(Tree<String> T) {
  String R;  R = "";
  for (int i = 0; i < T.arity(); i += 1)
    R += toPolish(T.child(i)) + " ";
  return R + String.format("%s:%d", T.label(), T.arity());
}
```

A General Traversal: The Visitor Pattern

```java
void preorderTraverse(Tree<Label> T, Consumer<Tree<Label>> visit)
{
    if (T != null) {
        visit.accept(T);
        for (int i = 0; i < T.arity(); i += 1)
            preorderTraverse(T.child(i), visit);
    }
}
```

- `java.util.function.Consumer<AType>` is a library interface that works as a function-like type with one void method, `accept`, which takes an argument of type `AType`.

- Now, using Java 8 lambda syntax, I can print all labels in the tree in preorder with:

    ```java
    preorderTraverse(myTree, T -> System.out.print(T.label() + " "));
    ```

Iterative Depth-First Traversals

- Tree recursion conceals data: a *stack* of nodes (all the `T` arguments) and a little extra information. Can make the data explicit:

```
void preorderTraverse2(Tree<Label> T, Consumer<Tree<Label>> visit) {
  Stack<Tree<Label>> work = new Stack<>();
  work.push(T);
  while (!work.isEmpty()) {
    Tree<Label> node = work.pop();
    visit.accept(node);
    for (int i = node.arity()-1; i >= 0; i -= 1)
        work.push(node.child(i));  // Why backward?
  }
}
```

- This traversal takes the same $\Theta(\cdot)$ time as doing it recursively, and also the same $\Theta(\cdot)$ space.

- That is, we have substituted an explicit stack data structure (`work`) for Java's built-in execution stack (which handles function calls).

Level-Order (Breadth-First) Traversal

Problem: Traverse all nodes at depth 0, then depth 1, etc:

Breadth-First Traversal Implemented

A simple modification to iterative depth-first traversal gives breadth-first traversal. Just change the (LIFO) stack to a (FIFO) queue:

```java
void breadthFirstTraverse(Tree<Label> T, Consumer<Tree<Label>> visit) {
    ArrayDeque<Tree<Label>> work = new ArrayDeque<>();  // (Changed)
    work.push(T);
    while (!work.isEmpty()) {
        Tree<Label> node = work.remove();    // (Changed)
        if (node != null) {
            visit.accept(node);
            for (int i = 0; i < node.arity(); i += 1) // (Changed)
                work.push(node.child(i));
        }
    }
}
```

Times

- The traversal algorithms have roughly the form of the `boom` example in §1.3.3 of *Data Structures*—an exponential algorithm.

- However, the role of M in that algorithm is played by the *height* of the tree, not the number of nodes.

- In fact, easy to see that tree traversal is *linear:* $\Theta(N)$, where N is the # of nodes: Form of the algorithm implies that there is one visit at the root, and then one visit for every *edge* in the tree. Since every node but the root has exactly one parent, and the root has none, must be $N-1$ edges in any non-empty tree.

- In positional tree, is also one recursive call for each empty tree, but # of empty trees can be no greater than kN, where k is arity.

- For k-ary tree (max # children is k), $h + 1 \leq N \leq \frac{k^{h+1}-1}{k-1}$, where h is height.

- So $h \in \Omega(\log_k N) = \Omega(\lg N)$ and $h \in O(N)$.

- Many tree algorithms look at one child only. For them, worst-case time is proportional to the *height* of the tree—$\Theta(\lg N)$—assuming that tree is *bushy*—each level has about as many nodes as possible.

Recursive Breadth-First Traversal: Iterative Deepening

- Previous breadth-first traversal used space proportional to the *width* of the tree, which is $\Theta(N)$ for bushy trees, whereas depth-first traversal takes $\lg N$ space on bushy trees.

- Can we get breadth-first traversal in $\lg N$ space and $\Theta(N)$ time on bushy trees?

- For each level, k, of the tree from 0 to lev, call `doLevel(T,k)`:

```java
void doLevel(Tree T, int lev) {
   if (lev == 0)
      visit T
   else
      for each non-null child, C, of T {
         doLevel(C, lev-1);
      }
}
```

- So we do breadth-first traversal by repeated (truncated) depth-first traversals: *iterative deepening*.

- In `doLevel(T, k)`, we skip (i.e., traverse but don't visit) the nodes before level k, and then visit at level k, but not their children.

Iterative Deepening Time?

- Let h be height, N be # of nodes.

- Count # edges traversed (i.e, # of calls, not counting null nodes).

- First (full) tree: 1 for level 0, 3 for level 1, 7 for level 2, 15 for level 3.

- Or in general $(2^1 - 1) + (2^2 - 1) + \ldots + (2^{h+1} - 1) = 2^{h+2} - h \in \Theta(N)$, since $N = 2^{h+1} - 1$ for this tree.

- Second (*right leaning*) tree: 1 for level 0, 2 for level 2, 3 for level 3.

- Or in general $(h+1)(h+2)/2 = N(N+1)/2 \in \Theta(N^2)$, since $N = h+1$ for this kind of tree.

Iterators for Trees

- Frankly, iterators are not terribly convenient on trees.

- But can use ideas from iterative methods.

```java
class PreorderTreeIterator<Label> implements Iterator<Label> {
  private Stack<Tree<Label>> s = new Stack<Tree<Label>>();

  public PreorderTreeIterator(Tree<Label> T) { s.push(T);  }

  public boolean hasNext() { return !s.isEmpty(); }
  public T next() {
    Tree<Label> result = s.pop();
    for (int i = result.arity()-1; i >= 0; i -= 1)
      s.push(result.child(i));
    return result.label();
  }
}
```

Example: (what do I have to add to class `Tree` first?)

```java
for (String label : aTree) System.out.print(label + " ");
```

Tree Representation

(a) Embedded child pointers
(+ optional parent pointers)

(b) Array of child pointers
(+ optional parent pointers)

(c) child/sibling pointers

(d) breadth-first array
(complete trees)