# CS 61C Spring 2015 Guerrilla Section 3: VM, Parallelism & Potpourri

## Problem 1 (adapted from Sp07 Final):

You run the following code on a system with the following parameter:

- 4 GiB virtual address space with 2 KiB page size, 1 GiB physical address space
- 8-entry TLB using LRU replacement

#define ARRAY SIZE 33554432 // equal to 2^25

• 32 KiB data cache with 8B blocks and 4-way associative using LRU replacement

Assume that char A[] is both block-aligned and page-aligned, and that the code takes 1 page.

- b. As we double STRETCH from 1 to 2 to 4 (...etc), we notice the number of cache misses doesn't change! What's the largest value of STRETCH before cache misses changes?
- 32 KiB. The idea is that we want all of the data accessed by the first inner for loop to be present when we access the second inner for loop. Thus, the largest value of STRETCH is the cache size. The hit rate will drop due to capacity misses afterwards.
- c. As we double STRETCH from 1 to 2 to 4 (...etc), we notice the number of TLB misses doesn't change! What is the largest value of STRETCH before TLB misses changes?
- 8 KiB, since 1 page is needed for code, we have at max 7 pages (=14 KiB) for data. If the total number of pages used exceeds the number of TLB entries, we'll get capacity misses, and since STRETCH is a power of two, we can use 4 pages for data = 8 KiB.
- d. For any value of STRETCH, what is the fewest number of page faults we could ever generate? Round to the nearest power of two.
- 16 Ki faults, since at best we would have only 1 page fault per unique page. There is 1 page of code and  $2^{25}/2^{11} = 2^{14}$  pages, so after rounding we would get  $2^{14} = 16$  Ki faults.
- e. Now suppose instead of 2 KiB pages, we had 256 B pages. All other specified parameters remain the same. If our code is 64 instructions long, how would performance change if we loop unrolled by a factor of 8? Explain.
- 64 instructions x 4B/instruction = 256 B, so our code fits exactly in one page. If we loop unrolled by 8x, our code would take (close to) 8 pages. Since there are only 8 entries in our TLB, we would have many capacity misses, and so performance would decrease.

## Problem 2 (adapted from Sp14 Final):

In this problem, we will be parallelizing ways to compute the outer product. The outer product of two vectors is defined below. In this problem, our input vectors (x and y) will both be of length n.

$$\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1v_1 & u_1v_2 & u_1v_3 \\ u_2v_1 & u_2v_2 & u_2v_3 \\ u_3v_1 & u_3v_2 & u_3v_3 \\ u_4v_1 & u_4v_2 & u_4v_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

a. We want to parallelize the following code with OpenMP, but it is currently done incorrectly.

```
void outer_product(float* dst, float *x float *y, size_t n) {
    #pragma omp parallel
    for (size_t i = 0; i < n; i += 1) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < n; j += 1) {
            #pragma omp critical
            dst[i * n + j] = x[i] * y[j];
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

You may only add or remove #pragma omp statements. What changes do we need to make the code run both quickly and correctly?

```
Change the #pragma omp parallel to #pragma omp parallel for Remove the #pragma omp critical
```

- b. Now use SSE instrinsics to optimize outer\_product(). Assume n is a multiple of 4. You may find the following useful:
  - \_mm\_loadu\_ps(\_\_m128 \*src) loads the next four floats of src into the vector
  - mm load1 ps(float \*f) loads float f into each slot of the vector
  - \_mm\_storeu\_ps(\_\_m128 \*dst, \_\_m128 val) stores val at memory location dst
  - \_mm\_mul\_ps(\_\_m128 a, \_\_m128 b) multiplies two vectors

```
void outer_product(float* dst, float *x, float *y, size_t n) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < n; i+= 1) {
        for (size_t j = 0; j < n; j +=4) {
            __m128 a = _mm_load1_ps(&x[i]);
            __m128 b = _mm_loadu_ps(&y[j]);
            __m128 products = _mm_mul_ps(a, b);
            __mm_storeu_ps(&dst[i * n + j], products);
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

(continued on next page)

- c. Finally, we will use Spark to generate outer products. Suppose that each input (x or y) is partitioned into equal-size chunks (there are NUM chunks per input), and each chunk is used as values for map func(). The key for map func() is a tuple (is x, i) where:
  - is x is True if the associated value is from x and False if the value is from y
  - i indicates that the associated value is the i-th chunk of the vector (x or y)

For example, map\_func((True, 2), [3, 4, 5]) means that [3, 4, 5] is the  $2^{nd}$  chunk of x.

You may assume the presence of an  $outer\_product(x, y)$  function which returns the outer product of x and y. You may assume that data from x will always arrive before data from y during reduction.

#### **Problem 3: Potpourri**

a. What's the correct data word given the following Hamming code: 0101000? \_\_\_\_\_0010\_

b. Write a MIPS function IsNotInfinity to return zero if and only if the input \$a0 is +/- infinity:

c. Suppose we modify the IEEE single-precision floating point format by adding one more exponent bit while removing one significand bit. Can we represent more or fewer real numbers? Why? More. The non-real numbers floats represent are infinity and NaN. There are still only two infinities, but because there are fewer significand bits, there will be fewer NaNs. Thus we can represent more real numbers.

#### d. True/False:

- T For each FSM, there is an equivalent truth tables and Boolean algebra expression.
- \_\_F\_\_ ECC provides protection from disk failures.
- \_\_F\_\_ All RAID configurations improve reliability.
- \_\_F\_ The MOESI cache coherency protocol helps prevent data races.
- \_\_F\_\_ The MOESI cache coherency protocol helps prevent false sharing.
- \_\_\_T\_\_ Polling is inefficient for disk transfers.