inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs61c/su06 CS61C: Machine Structures

Lecture #6: Intro to MIPS



2006-07-06

Andy Carle



Buddy System Review

•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT





•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



CS 61C L07 MIPS Intro (5)

•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT





•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



16: 00000000

З

000 001 010 011 100 101 110 111

Initial State \rightarrow Free(001) \rightarrow Free(000) \rightarrow Free(111) \rightarrow Malloc(16)



•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



Initial State \rightarrow Free(001) \rightarrow Free(000) \rightarrow Free(111) \rightarrow Malloc(16) **T** 1



•Legend: FREE ALLOCATED SPLIT



Initial State \rightarrow Free(001) \rightarrow Free(000) \rightarrow Free(111) \rightarrow Malloc(16) **T** 2



Tracking Memory Usage

- Depends heavily on the programming language and compiler.
- Could have only a single type of dynamically allocated object in memory
 - E.g., simple Lisp/Scheme system with only cons cells (61A's Scheme not "simple")
- Could use a strongly typed language (e.g., Java)
 - Don't allow conversion (casting) between arbitrary types.
 - C/C++ are not strongly typed.



Scheme 1: Reference Counting

- For every chunk of dynamically allocated memory, keep a count of number of pointers that point to it.
- When the count reaches 0, reclaim.
- Simple assignment statements can result in a lot of work, since may update reference counts of many items



Reference Counting Example

 For every chunk of dynamically allocated memory, keep a count of number of pointers that point to it.

• When the count reaches 0, reclaim.





CS 61C L07 MIPS Intro (13)

Reference Counting Example

 For every chunk of dynamically allocated memory, keep a count of number of pointers that point to it.

• When the count reaches 0, reclaim.





Reference Counting (p1, p2 are pointers)

p1 = p2;

- Increment reference count for p2
- If p1 held a valid value, decrement its reference count
- If the reference count for p1 is now 0, reclaim the storage it points to.
 - If the storage pointed to by p1 held other pointers, decrement all of their reference counts, and so on...
- Must also decrement reference count when local variables cease to exist.



Reference Counting Flaws

- Extra overhead added to assignments, as well as ending a block of code.
- Does not work for circular structures!
 - E.g., doubly linked list:





Scheme 2: Mark and Sweep Garbage Col.

- Keep allocating new memory until memory is exhausted, then try to find unused memory.
- Consider objects in heap a graph, chunks of memory (objects) are graph nodes, pointers to memory are graph edges.
 - Edge from A to B => A stores pointer to B
- Can start with the root set, perform a graph traversal, find all usable memory!
- 2 Phases: (1) Mark used nodes;(2) Sweep free ones, returning list of free nodes



Mark and Sweep

Graph traversal is relatively easy to implement recursively

```
void traverse(struct graph_node *node) {
    /* visit this node */
    foreach child in node->children {
        traverse(child);
    }
}
```

^o But with recursion, state is stored on the execution stack.

^o Garbage collection is invoked when not much memory left



Scheme 3: Copying Garbage Collection

- Divide memory into two spaces, only one in use at any time.
- When active space is exhausted, traverse the active space, copying all objects to the other space, then make the new space active and continue.
 - Only reachable objects are copied!
- Use "forwarding pointers" to keep consistency
 - Simple solution to avoiding having to have a table of old and new addresses, and to mark objects already copied (see bonus slides)



- A. Of {K&R, Slab, Buddy}, there is no best (it depends on the problem).
- B. Since automatic garbage collection can occur any time, it is more difficult to measure the execution time of a Java program vs. a C program.
- C. We don't have automatic garbage collection in C because of efficiency.



Review

- Several techniques for managing heap w/ malloc/free: best-, first-, next-fit, slab,buddy
 - 2 types of memory fragmentation: internal & external; all suffer from some kind of frag.
 - Each technique has strengths and weaknesses, none is definitively best
- Automatic memory management relieves programmer from managing memory.
 - All require help from language and compiler
 - Reference Count: not for circular structures
 - Mark and Sweep: complicated and slow, works







MIPS Assembly Language



Assembly Language

- Basic job of a CPU: execute lots of instructions.
- Instructions are the primitive operations that the CPU may execute.
- Different CPUs implement different sets of instructions. The set of instructions a particular CPU implements is an *Instruction Set Architecture* (*ISA*).
 - Examples: Intel 80x86 (Pentium 4), IBM/Motorola PowerPC (Macintosh), MIPS, Intel IA64, ...



Instruction Set Architectures

- Early trend was to add more and more instructions to new CPUs to do elaborate operations
 - VAX architecture had an instruction to multiply polynomials!
- RISC philosophy (Cocke IBM, Patterson, Hennessy, 1980s) – Reduced Instruction Set Computing
 - Keep the instruction set small and simple, makes it easier to build fast hardware.
 - Let software do complicated operations by composing simpler ones.



ISA Design

Must Run Fast In Hardware →
 Eliminate sources of complexity.

<u>Software</u>

<u>Hardware</u>

- Strong typing → No Typing
- Many operators

 Small set of insts



MIPS Architecture

- MIPS semiconductor company that built one of the first commercial RISC architectures
- We will study the MIPS architecture in some detail in this class (also used in upper division courses CS 152, 162, 164)
- Why MIPS instead of Intel 80x86?
 - MIPS is simple, elegant. Don't want to get bogged down in gritty details.
 - MIPS widely used in embedded apps, x86 little used in embedded, and more
 - embedded computers than PCs



Most HP LaserJet workgroup printers are driven by MIPS-based™ 64-bit processors.



Assembly Variables: Registers (1/4)

- Unlike HLL like C or Java, assembly cannot use variables
 - Why not? Keep Hardware Simple
- Assembly Operands are <u>registers</u>
 - limited number of special locations built directly into the hardware
 - operations can only be performed on these!
- Benefit: Since registers are directly in hardware, they are very fast (faster than 1 billionth of a second)



Assembly Variables: Registers (2/4)

- Drawback: Since registers are in hardware, there are a predetermined number of them
 - Solution: MIPS code must be very carefully put together to efficiently use registers
- 32 registers in MIPS
 - Why just 32? Smaller is faster
- Each MIPS register is 32 bits wide
 - Groups of 32 bits called a word in MIPS



Assembly Variables: Registers (3/4)

- Registers are numbered from 0 to 31
- Each register can be referred to by number or name
- Number references:

\$0, \$1, \$2, ... \$30, \$31



Assembly Variables: Registers (4/4)

- By convention, each register also has a name to make it easier to code
- For now:
 - \$16 \$23 **→** \$s0 \$s7

(correspond to C variables)

\$8 - \$15 → \$t0 - \$t7

(correspond to temporary variables)

Later will explain other 16 register names

 In general, use names to make your code more readable



C, Java variables vs. registers

- In C (and most High Level Languages) variables declared first and given a type
 - •Example: int fahr, celsius; char a, b, c, d, e;
- Each variable can ONLY represent a value of the type it was declared as (cannot mix and match int and char variables).
- In Assembly Language, the registers have no type; operation determines how register contents are treated



Comments in Assembly

- Another way to make your code more readable: comments!
- Hash (#) is used for MIPS comments
 - anything from hash mark to end of line is a comment and will be ignored
- Note: Different from C.
 - C comments have format /* comment */ so they can span many lines



Assembly Instructions

- In assembly language, each statement (called an <u>Instruction</u>), executes exactly one of a short list of simple commands
- Unlike in C (and most other High Level Languages), each line of assembly code contains at most 1 instruction
- Instructions are related to operations (=, +, -, *, /) in C or Java



Administrivia

• Office Hours:

- HW3 Due Monday
- Proj1 Due 7-16
- Midterm 1:
 - Friday, 7/14
 - Probably 11 2



Room TBD

CS 61C L07 MIPS Intro (34)

MIPS Addition and Subtraction (1/4)

- Syntax of Instructions:
 - "<op> <dest> <src1> <src2> "

where:

op) operation by name

dest) operand getting result ("destination")
src1) 1st operand for operation ("source1")
src2) 2nd operand for operation ("source2")

- Syntax is rigid:
 - •1 operator, 3 operands



Why? Keep Hardware simple via regularity

Addition and Subtraction of Integers (2/4)

- Addition in Assembly
 - Example: add \$s0,\$s1,\$s2 (in MIPS) Equivalent to: s0 = s1 + s2 (in C) where MIPS registers \$s0,\$s1,\$s2 are associated with C variables s0, s1, s2
- Subtraction in Assembly
 - Example: sub \$s3,\$s4,\$s5 (in MIPS) Equivalent to: d = e - f (in C) where MIPS registers \$s3,\$s4,\$s5 are associated with C variables d, e, f



Addition and Subtraction of Integers (3/4)

• How does the following C statement?

a = b + c + d - e;

Break into multiple instructions

add \$t0, \$s1, \$s2 # temp = b + c

add \$t0, \$t0, \$s3 # temp = temp + d

sub \$s0, \$t0, \$s4 # a = temp - e

• Notice: A single line of C may break up into several lines of MIPS.

 Notice: Everything after the hash mark on each line is ignored (comments)

CS 61C L07 MIPS Intro (37)

Addition and Subtraction of Integers (4/4)

• How do we do this?

f = (g + h) - (i + j);

Use intermediate temporary register

add	\$t0,\$s1,\$s2	#	temp	=	g	+	h
add	\$t1,\$s3,\$s4	#	temp	=	i	+	j
sub	\$s0,\$t0,\$t1	#	f=(g-	h,) — (í i -	ŀj)



Immediates

- Immediates are numerical constants.
- They appear often in code, so there are special instructions for them.
- Add Immediate:

addi \$s0,\$s1,10 (in MIPS)

f = g + 10 (in C)

where MIPS registers \$s0,\$s1 are associated with C variables f, g

 Syntax similar to add instruction, except that last argument is a number instead of a register. CS 61C L07 MIPS Intro (39)

- There is no Subtract Immediate in MIPS: Why?
- Limit types of operations that can be done to absolute minimum
 - if an operation can be decomposed into a simpler operation, don't include it
 - •addi ..., -X = subi ..., X => so no subi
- addi \$\$0,\$\$1,-10 (in MIPS)
 f = g 10 (in C)

where MIPS registers \$s0,\$s1 are associated with C variables f, g



Register Zero

- One particular immediate, the number zero (0), appears very often in code.
- So we define register zero (\$0 or \$zero) to always have the value 0; eg

add \$s0,\$s1,\$zero (in MIPS)

f = g (in C)

where MIPS registers \$s0,\$s1 are associated with C variables f, g

defined in hardware, so an instruction

add \$zero,\$zero,\$s0



Peer Instruction Round 2

- **A.** Types are associated with declaration in C (normally), but are associated with instruction (operator) in MIPS.
- B. Since there are only 8 local (\$s) and 8 temp (\$t) variables, we can't write MIPS for C exprs that contain > 16 vars.
- C. If p (stored in \$s0) were a pointer to an array of ints, then p++; would be addi \$s0 \$s0 1



61C L07 MIPS Intro (42)

"And in Conclusion..."

- In MIPS Assembly Language:
 - Registers replace C variables
 - One Instruction (simple operation) per line
 - Simpler is Better
 - Smaller is Faster
- New Instructions:
 - add, addi, sub
- New Registers:
 - C Variables: \$s0 \$s7



