CS 70 Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory Spring 2016 Rao and Walrand Discussion 9B Sol

- **1. A roulette of apples** You bought 20 apples at your local farmer's market. Due to the organic nature of the apples, they are infested with worms. In particular, each apple contains a single worm with probability 0.3 (mutually independent). (leave answers unevaluated)
 - a) You pick up two apples a_1 and a_2 .
 - i) What is the probability that there are exactly 2 worms? What is the probability that there is exactly 1 worm? 0 worms?

P(2 worms) = 0.09, P(1 worm) = 0.42, P(0 worms) = 0.49

- ii) What is $P(a_1 \text{ has worm}|a_2 \text{ has worm})$? 0.3 by independence
- iii) What is $P(a_1 \text{ has worm}|\text{there is exactly 1 worm among } a_1 \text{ and } a_2)$? $\frac{P(a_1 \text{ has worm, } a_2 \text{ has no worm})}{P(\text{exactly 1 worm})} = \frac{0.3*0.7}{0.42} = 0.5$
- b) You eat all 20 apples.
 - i) What is the probability that you end up eating no worms? $0.7^{20} \approx 0.0008$
 - ii) what is the probability that you end up eating exactly 1 worm? $\binom{20}{1} * 0.7^{19} * 0.3 \approx 0.007$
 - iii) what is the probability that you end up eating exactly 2 worms? $\binom{20}{2} * 0.7^{18} * 0.3^2 \approx 0.028$
 - iv) How many apples can you eat if you want the probability of eating no worms to be at least 0.2? Let k be the number of apples you eat. We want $0.7^k > 0.2$ so $k < \frac{\log(0.2)}{\log(0.7)} \approx 4.5$, so you can eat at most 4 apples.
- c) You pick a single apple at random and slice it into 3 slices. If the apple has a worm, it will be hidden in one of the slices. You bravely eat the slices one by one. Let s_1 , s_2 , s_3 denote the three slices.
 - i) What is $P(s_1 \text{ has no worm}|\text{apple has worm})$ 2/3
 - ii) What is $P(s_1 \text{ has no worm})$?

 $P(s_1 \text{ has no worm})$ (by total probability) = $P(s_1 \text{ has no worm} | \text{ apple has no worm}) \times P(\text{apple has no worm})$ + $P(s_1 \text{ has no worm} | \text{ apple has worm}) \times P(\text{apple has worm})$ = $1 \times 0.7 + 2/3 \times 0.3$ = 0.9

iii) What is $P(\text{apple has no worm}|s_1 \text{ has no worm})$. Compare your answer to P(apple has no worm). $\frac{P(\text{apple has no worm}, s_1 \text{ has no worm})}{P(s_1 \text{ has no worm})} = \frac{P(\text{apple has no worm})}{P(s_1 \text{ has no worm})} = \frac{0.7}{0.9} \approx 0.778$ Why is the first equality true? Because if the apple has no worm means that the first slice has no worm. We can see this using a similar argument to ii)

 $P(\text{apple has no worm}, s_1 \text{ has no worm})$ = $P(\text{apple has no worm}) * P(s_1 \text{ has no worm} | \text{ apple has no worm})$ = P(apple has no worm) * 1= P(apple has no worm)

Note how it is higher than P(apple has no worm) = 0.7

- iv) What is $P(s_2 \text{ has no worm}|s_1 \text{ has no worm})$ Compare your answer to $P(s_2 \text{ has no worm})$. $P(s_2 \text{ has no worm}, s_1 \text{ has no worm}) = 0.7 + 0.3 * 1/3 = 0.8$ note that 0.3 * 1/3 came from $P(\text{apple has worm}) * P(s_1 \text{ has no worm}, s_2 \text{ has no worm} | \text{ apple has worm})$ Using bayes rule, we get: $P(s_2 \text{ has no worm}, s_1 \text{ has no worm}|s_1 \text{ has no worm}) = \frac{P(s_2 \text{ has no worm}, s_1 \text{ has no worm})}{P(s_1 \text{ has no worm})} = \frac{0.8}{0.9} \approx 0.8888$ Interestingly, the probability got lower!
- v) Using your previous answer, what is the safest way to eat 2 slices of apples? Take 2 apples, slice each into 3 slices, eat one slice from each apple.

2. Independence in balls and bins

You have *k* balls and *n* bins labelled 1, 2, ..., n, where $n \ge 2$. You drop each ball uniformly at random into the bins.

- a. What is the probability that bin *n* is empty? $\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^k$
- b. What is the probability that bin 1 is non-empty? $1 (\frac{n-1}{n})^k$
- c. What is the probability that both bin 1 and bin *n* are empty? $\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^k$
- d. What is the probability that bin 1 is non-empty and bin *n* is empty?

 $\Pr[\text{bin 1 nonempty} \cap \text{bin } n \text{ empty}] = \Pr[\text{bin } n \text{ empty}] - \Pr[\text{bin 1 empty} \cap \text{bin } n \text{ empty}] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^k - \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^k$

e. What is the probability that bin 1 is non-empty given that bin *n* is empty?

$$\Pr[\text{bin 1 nonempty} | \text{bin } n \text{ empty}] = \frac{\Pr[\text{bin 1 nonempty} \cap \text{bin } n \text{ empty}]}{\Pr[\text{bin } n \text{ empty}]} = \frac{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^k - \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^k}{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^k} = 1 - \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)^k$$

f. What does this tell us about the independence of the two events, A: bin 1 is non-empty and B: bin n is non-empty?

If two events *A* and *B* are independent, we have $\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \cdot \Pr[B]$, which is equivalent to $\Pr[A] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]} = \Pr[A \mid B]$. Since $\Pr[A \mid B] \neq \Pr[A]$ in this case, it shows us that these two events are not independent.