CS70: Jean Walrand: Lecture 19.

Random Variables: Expectation

- 1. Random Variables: Brief Review
- 2. Expectation
- 3. Important Distributions

Random Variables: Definitions

Definition

A random variable, *X*, for a random experiment with sample space Ω is a function $X : \Omega \to \Re$.

Thus, $X(\cdot)$ assigns a real number $X(\omega)$ to each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definitions

(a) For $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, one defines

$$X^{-1}(a) := \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) = a \}.$$

(b) For $A \subset \mathfrak{R}$, one defines

$$X^{-1}(A) := \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \in A \}.$$

(c) The probability that X = a is defined as

$$\Pr[X=a]=\Pr[X^{-1}(a)].$$

(d) The probability that $X \in A$ is defined as

$$\Pr[X \in A] = \Pr[X^{-1}(A)].$$

(e) The distribution of a random variable X, is

$$\{(a, \Pr[X = a]) : a \in \mathscr{A}\},\$$

where \mathscr{A} is the *range* of *X*. That is, $\mathscr{A} = \{X(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}.$

Random Variables: Definitions

Definition

Let X, Y, Z be random variables on Ω and $g : \mathfrak{R}^3 \to \mathfrak{R}$ a function. Then g(X, Y, Z) is the random variable that assigns the value $g(X(\omega), Y(\omega), Z(\omega))$ to ω .

Thus, if V = g(X, Y, Z), then $V(\omega) := g(X(\omega), Y(\omega), Z(\omega))$. Examples:

- ► X^k
- $(X a)^2$
- $a+bX+cX^2+(Y-Z)^2$
- ► (*X* − *Y*)²
- $X\cos(2\pi Y+Z)$.

Definition: The **expected value** (or mean, or expectation) of a random variable *X* is

$$E[X] = \sum_{a} a \times \Pr[X = a].$$

Theorem:

$$E[X] = \sum_{\omega} X(\omega) \times Pr[\omega].$$

An Example

Flip a fair coin three times.

 $\Omega = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, THH, HTT, THT, TTH, TTT\}.$ X = number of H's: $\{3,2,2,2,1,1,1,0\}.$ Thus,

$$\sum_{\omega} X(\omega) \Pr[\omega] = \{3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 0\} \times \frac{1}{8}.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{a} a \times \Pr[X=a] = 3 \times \frac{1}{8} + 2 \times \frac{3}{8} + 1 \times \frac{3}{8} + 0 \times \frac{1}{8}.$$

Win or Lose.

Expected winnings for heads/tails games, with 3 flips? Recall the definition of the random variable *X*: {*HHH*, *HHT*, *HTH*, *HTT*, *THH*, *TTT*, *TTH*, *TTT*} \rightarrow {3,1,1,-1,1,-1,-1,-3}.

$$E[X] = 3 \times \frac{1}{8} + 1 \times \frac{3}{8} - 1 \times \frac{3}{8} - 3 \times \frac{1}{8} = 0.$$

Can you ever win 0?

Apparently: expected value is not a common value, by any means.

The expected value of X is not the value that you expect! It is the average value per experiment, if you perform the experiment many times:

$$\frac{X_1+\cdots+X_n}{n}, \text{ when } n \gg 1.$$

The fact that this average converges to E[X] is a theorem: the Law of Large Numbers. (See later.)

Law of Large Numbers

An Illustration: Rolling Dice



average dice value against number of rolls

Indicators

Definition

Let A be an event. The random variable X defined by

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

is called the indicator of the event A.

Note that Pr[X = 1] = Pr[A] and Pr[X = 0] = 1 - Pr[A]. Hence,

$$E[X] = 1 \times Pr[X = 1] + 0 \times Pr[X = 0] = Pr[A].$$

This random variable $X(\omega)$ is sometimes written as

$$1\{\omega \in A\}$$
 or $1_A(\omega)$.

Thus, we will write $X = 1_A$.

Linearity of Expectation

Theorem: Expectation is linear

$$E[a_1X_1+\cdots+a_nX_n]=a_1E[X_1]+\cdots+a_nE[X_n].$$

Proof:

$$E[a_1X_1 + \dots + a_nX_n]$$

$$= \sum_{\omega} (a_1X_1 + \dots + a_nX_n)(\omega)Pr[\omega]$$

$$= \sum_{\omega} (a_1X_1(\omega) + \dots + a_nX_n(\omega))Pr[\omega]$$

$$= a_1\sum_{\omega} X_1(\omega)Pr[\omega] + \dots + a_n\sum_{\omega} X_n(\omega)Pr[\omega]$$

$$= a_1E[X_1] + \dots + a_nE[X_n].$$

Note: If we had defined $Y = a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n$ has had tried to compute $E[Y] = \sum_y y Pr[Y = y]$, we would have been in trouble!

Using Linearity - 1: Pips (dots) on dice

Roll a die *n* times.

 X_m = number of pips on roll m.

 $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ = total number of pips in *n* rolls.

$$E[X] = E[X_1 + \dots + X_n]$$

= $E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]$, by linearity
= $nE[X_1]$, because the X_m have the same distribution

Now,

$$E[X_1] = 1 \times \frac{1}{6} + \dots + 6 \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{6 \times 7}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{7}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$E[X]=\frac{7n}{2}$$

Note: Computing $\sum_{x} xPr[X = x]$ directly is not easy!

Using Linearity - 2: Fixed point.

Hand out assignments at random to *n* students.

X = number of students that get their own assignment back.

 $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ where $X_m = 1$ {student *m* gets his/her own assignment back}.

One has

$$E[X] = E[X_1 + \dots + X_n]$$

= $E[X_1] + \dots + E[X_n]$, by linearity
= $nE[X_1]$, because all the X_m have the same distribution
= $nPr[X_1 = 1]$, because X_1 is an indicator
= $n(1/n)$, because student 1 is equally likely
to get any one of the *n* assignments
= 1.

Note that linearity holds even though the X_m are not independent (whatever that means).

Note: What is Pr[X = m]? Tricky

Using Linearity - 3: Binomial Distribution.

Flip *n* coins with heads probability *p*. *X* - number of heads Binomial Distibution: Pr[X = i], for each *i*.

$$\Pr[X=i] = \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i} i \times Pr[X = i] = \sum_{i} i \times \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

Uh oh. ... Or... a better approach: Let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{th flip is heads} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $E[X_i] = 1 \times Pr["heads"] + 0 \times Pr["tails"] = p.$ Moreover $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $E[X] = E[X_1] + E[X_2] + \cdots + E[X_n] = n \times E[X_i] = np.$

Using Linearity - 4

Assume *A* and *B* are disjoint events. Then $1_{A\cup B}(\omega) = 1_A(\omega) + 1_B(\omega)$. Taking expectation, we get

$$Pr[A \cup B] = E[1_{A \cup B}] = E[1_A + 1_B] = E[1_A] + E[1_B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B].$$

In general, $1_{A\cup B}(\omega) = 1_A(\omega) + 1_B(\omega) - 1_{A\cap B}(\omega)$. Taking expectation, we get $Pr[A \cup B] = Pr[A] + Pr[B] - Pr[A \cap B]$.

Observe that if $Y(\omega) = b$ for all ω , then E[Y] = b. Thus, E[X+b] = E[X] + b. Calculating E[g(X)]

Let Y = g(X). Assume that we know the distribution of X.

We want to calculate E[Y].

Method 1: We calculate the distribution of Y:

 $Pr[Y = y] = Pr[X \in g^{-1}(y)]$ where $g^{-1}(x) = \{x \in \Re : g(x) = y\}.$

This is typically rather tedious!

Method 2: We use the following result.

Theorem:

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) \Pr[X = x].$$

Proof:

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{\omega} g(X(\omega)) Pr[\omega] = \sum_{x} \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} g(X(\omega)) Pr[\omega]$$

=
$$\sum_{x} \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} g(x) Pr[\omega] = \sum_{x} g(x) \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} Pr[\omega]$$

=
$$\sum_{x} g(x) Pr[X = x].$$

An Example

Let *X* be uniform in $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Let also $g(X) = X^2$. Then (method 2)

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x=-2}^{3} x^{2} \frac{1}{6}$$

= {4+1+0+1+4+9} $\frac{1}{6} = \frac{19}{6}$.

Method 1 - We find the distribution of $Y = X^2$:

$$Y = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{2}{6} \\ 1, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{2}{6} \\ 0, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{1}{6} \\ 9, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{1}{6}. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$E[Y] = 4\frac{2}{6} + 1\frac{2}{6} + 0\frac{1}{6} + 9\frac{1}{6} = \frac{19}{6}.$$

Calculating E[g(X, Y, Z)]

We have seen that $E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) Pr[X = x]$.

Using a similar derivation, one can show that

$$E[g(X,Y,Z)] = \sum_{x,y,z} g(x,y,z) \Pr[X=x, Y=y, Z=z].$$

An Example. Let *X*, *Y* be as shown below:



 $E[\cos(2\pi X + \pi Y)] = 0.1\cos(0) + 0.4\cos(2\pi) + 0.2\cos(\pi) + 0.3\cos(3\pi)$ = 0.1 \times 1 + 0.4 \times 1 + 0.2 \times (-1) + 0.3 \times (-1) = 0.

Best Guess: Least Squares

If you only know the distribution of X, it seems that E[X] is a 'good guess' for X.

The following result makes that idea precise.

Theorem

The value of *a* that minimizes $E[(X - a)^2]$ is a = E[X].

Proof 1:

$$\begin{split} E[(X-a)^2] &= E[(X-E[X]+E[X]-a)^2] \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2+2(X-E[X])(E[X]-a)+(E[X]-a)^2] \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2]+2(E[X]-a)E[X-E[X]]+(E[X]-a)^2 \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2]+0+(E[X]-a)^2 \\ &\geq E[(X-E[X])^2]. \end{split}$$

Best Guess: Least Squares

If you only know the distribution of X, it seems that E[X] is a 'good guess' for X. The following result makes that idea precise.

Theorem

The value of *a* that minimizes $E[(X - a)^2]$ is a = E[X].

Proof 2:

Let

$$g(a) := [(X-a)^2] = E[X^2 - 2aX + a^2] = E[X^2] - 2aE[X] + a^2.$$

To find the minimizer of g(a), we set to zero $\frac{d}{da}g(a)$.

We get $0 = \frac{d}{da}g(a) = -2E[X] + 2a$. Hence, the minimizer is a = E[X].

Best Guess: Least Absolute Deviation

Thus E[X] minimizes $E[(X - a)^2]$. It must be noted that the measure of the 'quality of the approximation' matters. The following result illustrates that point.

Theorem

The value of *a* that minimizes E[|X - a|] is the *median* of *X*.

The median v of X is any real number such that

$$\Pr[X \le v] = \Pr[X \ge v]$$

Proof: $g(a) := E[|X - a|] = \sum_{x \le a} (a - x) Pr[X = x] + \sum_{x \ge a} (x - a) Pr[X = x].$ Thus, if $0 < \varepsilon << 1$, $g(a + \varepsilon) = g(a) + \varepsilon Pr[X \le a] - \varepsilon Pr[X \ge a].$ Hence, changing *a* cannot reduce g(a) only if $Pr[X \le a] = Pr[X \ge a].$

Best Guess: Illustration



Best Guess: Another Illustration



Center of Mass

The expected value has a center of mass interpretation:



Monotonicity

Definition

Let *X*, *Y* be two random variables on Ω . We write $X \leq Y$ if $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, and similarly for $X \geq Y$ and $X \geq a$ for some constant *a*.

Facts

(a) If $X \ge 0$, then $E[X] \ge 0$. (b) If $X \le Y$, then $E[X] \le E[Y]$. **Proof**

(a) If $X \ge 0$, every value *a* of *X* is nonnegative. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] \ge 0.$$

(b)
$$X \leq Y \Rightarrow Y - X \geq 0 \Rightarrow E[Y] - E[X] = E[Y - X] \geq 0.$$

Example:

$$B = \cup_m A_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) \leq \sum_m \mathbf{1}_{A_m}(\omega) \Rightarrow \Pr[\cup_m A_m] \leq \sum_m \Pr[A_m].$$

Uniform Distribution

Roll a six-sided balanced die. Let X be the number of pips (dots). Then X is equally likely to take any of the values $\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$. We say that X is *uniformly distributed* in $\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$.

More generally, we say that X is uniformly distributed in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ if Pr[X = m] = 1/n for m = 1, 2, ..., n. In that case,

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} mPr[X = m] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} m \times \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$$

Geometric Distribution

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H.



For instance:

$$\omega_1 = H$$
, or
 $\omega_2 = T H$, or
 $\omega_3 = T T H$, or
 $\omega_n = T T T T \cdots T H$.

Note that $\Omega = \{\omega_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$

Let *X* be the number of flips until the first *H*. Then, $X(\omega_n) = n$. Also,

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$

Geometric Distribution

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$



Geometric Distribution

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X_n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{n-1} p = p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{n-1} = p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^n.$$

Now, if |a| < 1, then $S := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = \frac{1}{1-a}$. Indeed,

$$S = 1 + a + a^{2} + a^{3} + \cdots$$

$$aS = a + a^{2} + a^{3} + a^{4} + \cdots$$

$$(1 - a)S = 1 + a - a + a^{2} - a^{2} + \cdots = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X_n] = p \ \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = 1.$$

Geometric Distribution: Expectation

$$X =_D G(p)$$
, i.e., $Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1$.

One has

$$E[X] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nPr[X = n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1-p)^{n-1}p.$$

Thus,

$$E[X] = p + 2(1-p)p + 3(1-p)^2p + 4(1-p)^3p + \cdots$$

(1-p)E[X] = (1-p)p + 2(1-p)^2p + 3(1-p)^3p + \cdots
pE[X] = p + (1-p)p + (1-p)^2p + (1-p)^3p + \cdots
by subtracting the previous two identities
=
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Pr[X = n] = 1.$$

Hence,

$$E[X]=rac{1}{p}.$$

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

Proof:

$$Pr[X > n+m|X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n+m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n+m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^n} = (1-p)^m$$
$$= Pr[X > m].$$

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless - Interpretation

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$



Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[A|B] = Pr[A] = Pr[X > m].The coin is memoryless, therefore, so is *X*.

Geometric Distribution: Yet another look

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

[See later for a proof.]

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i - 1] = (1 - p)^{i-1}$. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Expected Value of Integer RV

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Proof: One has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times \Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \{\Pr[X \ge i] - \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - i \times \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - (i - 1) \times \Pr[X \ge i]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Poisson

Experiment: flip a coin *n* times. The coin is such that $Pr[H] = \lambda/n$. Random Variable: *X* - number of heads. Thus, $X = B(n, \lambda/n)$. **Poisson Distribution** is distribution of *X* "for large *n*."



Poisson

Experiment: flip a coin *n* times. The coin is such that $Pr[H] = \lambda/n$. Random Variable: *X* - number of heads. Thus, $X = B(n, \lambda/n)$. **Poisson Distribution** is distribution of *X* "for large *n*." We expect $X \ll n$. For $m \ll n$ one has

$$Pr[X = m] = {\binom{n}{m}} p^m (1-p)^{n-m}, \text{ with } p = \lambda/n$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^m \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{n^m} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m}$$

$$\approx^{(1)} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m} \approx^{(2)} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \approx \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

For (1) we used $m \ll n$; for (2) we used $(1 - a/n)^n \approx e^{-a}$.

Poisson Distribution: Definition and Mean

Definition Poisson Distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$

$$X = P(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow Pr[X = m] = \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda}, m \ge 0.$$

Fact: $E[X] = \lambda$.

Proof:

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \times \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{(m-1)!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{m!} = e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda e^{\lambda} = \lambda.$$

Simeon Poisson

The Poisson distribution is named after:



Equal Time: B. Geometric

The geometric distribution is named after:



I could not find a picture of D. Binomial, sorry.

Summary

Random Variables

• A random variable X is a function $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$.

•
$$Pr[X = a] := Pr[X^{-1}(a)] = Pr[\{\omega \mid X(\omega) = a\}].$$

•
$$Pr[X \in A] := Pr[X^{-1}(A)].$$

- ► The distribution of X is the list of possible values and their probability: {(a, Pr[X = a]), a ∈ 𝒴}.
- g(X, Y, Z) assigns the value
- $E[X] := \sum_a a Pr[X = a].$
- Expectation is Linear.
- $\blacktriangleright B(n,p), U[1:n], G(p), P(\lambda).$