CS70: Jean Walrand: Lecture 23.

**Conditional Expectation** 

- 1. Review: LR and LLSE
- 2. Conditional expectation
- 3. Applications: Diluting, Mixing, Rumors
- 4. CE = MMSE

## Review: LLSE and LR

#### **Definitions** Let *X* and *Y* be RVs on $\Omega$ .

- Covariance: cov(X, Y) := E[XY] E[X]E[Y]
- ► LLSE: L[Y|X] = a + bX where a, b minimize  $E[(Y a bX)^2]$ .

We saw that

$$L[Y|X] = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]).$$

Then,

$$E[(Y-L[Y|X])^2] = var(Y) - cov(X,Y)^2 / var(X).$$

Non-Bayesian (LR): We are given samples  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_K, Y_K)$ , no distribution.

We define the RVs (X, Y) so that

$$Pr[(X, Y) = (X_k, Y_k)] = 1/K, k = 1, ..., K.$$

Then, as before.

## Review: LLSE and LR

Consider the non-Bayesian case: sample  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_K, Y_K)$ .

Then,

$$L[Y|X] = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}(X - E[X]).$$

Here,

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_k$$
$$E[Y] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} Y_k$$
$$E[X^2] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_k^2$$
$$E[XY] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_k Y_k$$
$$cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$
$$var(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2.$$

Example 1:



Example 2: Four equally likely values of (X, Y), or four samples.



We find:

$$E[X] = 0; E[Y] = 0; E[X^{2}] = 1/2; E[XY] = 1/2;$$
  

$$var[X] = E[X^{2}] - E[X]^{2} = 1/2; cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] = 1/2;$$
  

$$LR: \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]) = X.$$

Example 3: Four equally likely values of (X, Y), or four samples.



We find:

$$E[X] = 0; E[Y] = 0; E[X^{2}] = 1/2; E[XY] = -1/2;$$
  

$$var[X] = E[X^{2}] - E[X]^{2} = 1/2; cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] = -1/2;$$
  

$$LR: \hat{Y} = E[Y] + \frac{cov(X, Y)}{var[X]}(X - E[X]) = -X.$$

Example 4: Equally likely values of (X, Y), or samples.



#### We find:

$$E[X] = 3; E[Y] = 2.5; E[X^2] = (3/15)(1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 5^2) = 11;$$
  

$$E[XY] = (1/15)(1 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + \dots + 5 \times 4) = 8.4;$$
  

$$var[X] = 11 - 9 = 2; cov(X, Y) = 8.4 - 3 \times 2.5 = 0.9;$$
  

$$LR: \hat{Y} = 2.5 + \frac{0.9}{2}(X - 3) = 1.15 + 0.45X.$$

# LR: Another Figure



Note that

▶ the LR line goes through (*E*[*X*], *E*[*Y*])

• its slope is 
$$\frac{cov(X,Y)}{var(X)}$$
.

# Conditional Expectation: Motivation

There are many situations where a good guess about Y given X is not linear.

E.g., (diameter of object, weight), (school years, income), (PSA level, cancer risk).



Our goal: Derive the best estimate of Y given X!

That is, find the function  $g(\cdot)$  so that g(X) is the best guess about *Y* given *X*.

Ambitious! Can it be done? Amazingly, yes!

# Conditional Expectation: Intuition



Without any observation, our guess for Y is E[Y] = 2.3.

Assume now we observe X. We can calculate  $L[Y|X] = a + bX \approx 2.1 + 0.1x$ .

A better guess when X = 1 is 2; when X = 2: 3; when X = 3: 2.

# Conditional Expectation: Intuition



Here, E[Y|X = 1] is the mean value of Y given that X = 1. Also, E[Y|X = 2] is the mean value of Y given that X = 2 and E[Y|X = 3] is the mean value of Y given that X = 3.

When we know that X = 1, Y has a new distribution: Y is uniform in  $\{1,2,3\}$ .

Thus, our guess is E[Y|X = 1] = 1(1/3) + 2(1/3) + 3(1/3) = 2.

## **Conditional Expectation**

**Definition** Let *X* and *Y* be RVs on  $\Omega$ . The conditional expectation of *Y* given *X* is defined as

$$E[Y|X] = g(X)$$

where

$$g(x) := E[Y|X = x] := \sum_{y} y Pr[Y = y|X = x],$$
  
with  $Pr[Y = y|X = x] := \frac{Pr[X = x, Y = y]}{Pr[X = x]}.$ 

**Theorem:** E[Y|X] is the best guess about *Y* given *X*. That is, for any function  $h(\cdot)$ , one has

$$E[(Y-h(X))^2] \ge E[(Y-E[Y|X])^2].$$

Proof: Later.

# Calculating E[Y|X]

Let X, Y, Z be i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1. We want to calculate

$$E[2+5X+7XY+11X^2+13X^3Z^2|X].$$

#### We find

$$\begin{split} E[2+5X+7XY+11X^2+13X^3Z^2|X] \\ &= 2+5X+7XE[Y|X]+11X^2+13X^3E[Z^2|X] \\ &= 2+5X+7XE[Y]+11X^2+13X^3E[Z^2] \\ &= 2+5X+11X^2+13X^3(var[Z]+E[Z]^2) \\ &= 2+5X+11X^2+13X^3. \end{split}$$

# **Projection Property**

The claim is that

$$E[(Y - E[Y|X])f(X)] = 0, \forall f(.).$$

That is,

E[Yf(X)] = E[E[Y|X]f(X)]

In particular, choosing f(x) = 1, we get

$$E[Y] = E[E[Y|X]].$$

Proof:

$$E[E[Y|X]f(X)] = \sum_{x} E[Y|X = x]f(x)Pr[X = x]$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{x} [\sum_{y} yf(x)Pr[Y = y|X = x]]Pr[X = x]$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{x} \sum_{y} yf(x)Pr[X = x, Y = y]$$
  
= 
$$E[Yf(X)].$$

# **Application: Diluting**



At each step, pick a ball from a well-mixed urn. Replace it with a blue ball. Let  $X_n$  be the number of red balls in the urn at step *n*. What is  $E[X_n]$ ?

Given  $X_n = m$ ,  $X_{n+1} = m - 1$  w.p. m/N (if you pick a red ball) and  $X_{n+1} = m$  otherwise. Hence,

$$E[X_{n+1}|X_n = m] = m - (m/N) = m(N-1)/N = X_n \rho,$$

with  $\rho := (N-1)/N$ . Consequently,

$$E[X_{n+1}] = E[E[X_{n+1}|X_n]] = \rho E[X_n], n \ge 1.$$
  
$$\implies E[X_n] = \rho^{n-1} E[X_1] = N(\frac{N-1}{N})^{n-1}, n \ge 1.$$

# Diluting

Here is a plot:



# **Application: Mixing**



At each step, pick a ball from each well-mixed urn. We transfer them to the other urn. Let  $X_n$  be the number of red balls in the bottom urn at step *n*. What is  $E[X_n]$ ?

Given 
$$X_n = m$$
,  $X_{n+1} = m+1$  w.p.  $p$  and  $X_{n+1} = m-1$  w.p.  $q$   
where  $p = (1 - m/N)^2$  (B goes up, R down) and  $q = (m/N)^2$  (R goes  
up, B down).

Thus,

$$E[X_{n+1}|X_n] = X_n + \rho - q = X_n + 1 - 2X_n/N = 1 + \rho X_n, \ \rho := (1 - 2/N).$$

# Mixing

We saw that  $E[X_{n+1}|X_n] = 1 + \rho X_n$ ,  $\rho := (1 - 2/N)$ . Hence,

$$E[X_{n+1}] = 1 + \rho E[X_n]$$
  

$$E[X_2] = 1 + \rho N; E[X_3] = 1 + \rho(1 + \rho N) = 1 + \rho + \rho^2 N$$
  

$$E[X_4] = 1 + \rho(1 + \rho + \rho^2 N) = 1 + \rho + \rho^2 + \rho^3 N$$
  

$$E[X_n] = 1 + \rho + \dots + \rho^{n-2} + \rho^{n-1} N.$$

Hence,

$$E[X_n] = \frac{1 - \rho^{n-1}}{1 - \rho} + \rho^{n-1} N, n \ge 1.$$

# **Application: Mixing**

Here is the plot.



# Application: Going Viral

- Consider a social network (e.g., Twitter).
- You start a rumor (e.g., Walrand is really weird).
- You have *d* friends. Each of your friend retweets w.p. *p*.
- Each of your friends has d friends, etc.
- Does the rumor spread? Does it die out (mercifully)?



In this example, d = 4.

# Application: Going Viral



**Fact:** Let 
$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$$
. Then,  $E[X] < \infty$  iff  $pd < 1$ .

#### Proof:

Given  $X_n = k$ ,  $X_{n+1} = B(kd, p)$ . Hence,  $E[X_{n+1}|X_n = k] = kpd$ . Thus,  $E[X_{n+1}|X_n] = pdX_n$ . Consequently,  $E[X_n] = (pd)^{n-1}, n \ge 1$ . If pd < 1, then  $E[X_1 + \dots + X_n] \le (1 - pd)^{-1} \Longrightarrow E[X] \le (1 - pd)^{-1}$ . If  $pd \ge 1$ , then for all *C* one can find *n* s.t.  $E[X] \ge E[X_1 + \dots + X_n] \ge C$ .

In fact, one can show that  $pd \ge 1 \implies Pr[X = \infty] > 0$ .

# Application: Wald's Identity

# **Theorem** Wald's Identity Assume that $X_1, X_2, ...$ and Z are independent, where Z takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $E[X_n] = \mu$ for all $n \ge 1$ . Then,

$$E[X_1+\cdots+X_Z]=\mu E[Z].$$

### Proof:

 $E[X_1 + \dots + X_Z | Z = k] = \mu k.$ Thus,  $E[X_1 + \dots + X_Z | Z] = \mu Z.$ Hence,  $E[X_1 + \dots + X_Z] = E[\mu Z] = \mu E[Z].$ 

# CE = MMSE

**Theorem** E[Y|X] is the 'best' guess about Y based on X. Specifically, it is the function g(X) of X that

minimizes  $E[(Y - g(X))^2]$ .



# CE = MMSE

Theorem CE = MMSE

g(X) := E[Y|X] is the function of X that minimizes  $E[(Y - g(X))^2]$ . **Proof:** 

Let h(X) be any function of X. Then

$$E[(Y-h(X))^{2}] = E[(Y-g(X)+g(X)-h(X))^{2}]$$
  
=  $E[(Y-g(X))^{2}]+E[(g(X)-h(X))^{2}]$   
+ $2E[(Y-g(X))(g(X)-h(X))].$ 

But,

E[(Y - g(X))(g(X) - h(X))] = 0 by the projection property.

Thus,  $E[(Y - h(X))^2] \ge E[(Y - g(X))^2].$ 

# E[Y|X] and L[Y|X] as projections



L[Y|X] is the projection of Y on  $\{a+bX, a, b \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ : LLSE E[Y|X] is the projection of Y on  $\{g(X), g(\cdot) : \mathfrak{R} \to \mathfrak{R}\}$ : MMSE.

# Summary

### **Conditional Expectation**

- Definition:  $E[Y|X] := \sum_{y} yPr[Y = y|X = x]$
- ▶ Properties: Linearity,  $Y - E[Y|X] \perp h(X); E[E[Y|X]] = E[Y]$
- Some Applications:
  - Calculating E[Y|X]
  - Diluting
  - Mixing
  - Rumors
  - Wald

▶ MMSE: E[Y|X] minimizes  $E[(Y - g(X))^2]$  over all  $g(\cdot)$