## Pre-Lecture

1. Homework party and office hour schedule is online. http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/cs70/sp16/weekly.html.
Check the time and location..will be updating.
First homework party tonight: 6-9pm Cory 521!
2. Homework 1 is due Thursday 10pm (with an additional one-hour buffer period).
Check Gradescope today to see if you have access to the course.
If not, email name/SID/email to cs70@inst.eecs.berkeley.edu All students must do this homework, regardless of grading option choice.
3. Exam conflict? Please fill out the following the form on piazza at @105 by Feb 1, 2016.
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Prove they all fall down;

- $P(0)=$ "First domino falls"
- $(\forall k) P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)$ :
" $k$ th domino falls implies that $k+1$ st domino falls"
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P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \ldots \\
(\forall n \in N) P(n)
\end{gathered}
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Your favorite example of forever..or the natural numbers...
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## Strenthening Induction Hypothesis.

Theorem: The sum of the first $n$ odd numbers is a perfect square.
$k$ th odd number is $2(k-1)+1$.
Base Case 1 (1th odd number) is $1^{2}$.
Induction Hypothesis Sum of first $k$ odds is perfect square $a^{2}$
Induction Step 1. The $(k+1)$ st odd number is $2 k+1$.
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## Strenthening Induction Hypothesis.

Theorem: The sum of the first $n$ odd numbers is a perfect square.
Theorem: The sum of the first $n$ odd numbers is $n^{2}$.
$k$ th odd number is $2(k-1)+1$.
Base Case 1 (1th odd number) is $1^{2}$.
Induction Hypothesis Sum of first $k$ odds is perfect square $a^{2}=k^{2}$.
Induction Step 1. The $(k+1)$ st odd number is $2 k+1$.
2. Sum of the first $k+1$ odds is

$$
a^{2}+2 k+1=k^{2}+2 k+1
$$

3. $k^{2}+2 k+1=(k+1)^{2}$
... $P(k+1)$ !
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As we will see, it is more subtle to catch errors in proofs of correct theorems!!
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Slight differences: showed for all $n \geq 16$ that $\wedge_{i=4}^{n-1} P(i) \Longrightarrow P(n)$.
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