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Outline

- Types of Virtual Machine
  - User-level
  - System-level

- Techniques for implementing all or parts of a non-native ISA on a host machine:
  - Interpreter
  - Static binary translation
  - Dynamic binary translation
  - Hardware emulation
**Types of Virtual Machine (VM)**

- User Virtual Machines run a single application according to some standard application binary interface (ABI).
  - Example user ABIs include Win32 for windows and Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
- “(Operating) System Virtual Machines” provide a complete system level environment at binary ISA
  - E.g., IBM VM/370, VMware ESX Server, and Xen
  - Single computer runs multiple VMs, and can support a multiple, different OSes
    - On conventional platform, single OS “owns” all HW resources
    - With a VM, multiple OSes all share HW resources
- Underlying HW platform is called the host, where its resources used to run guest VMs (user and/or system)

**Software Applications**

- How is a software application encoded?
  - What are you getting when you buy a software application?
  - What machines will it work on?
  - Who do you blame if it doesn’t work, i.e., what contract(s) were violated?
User Virtual Machine = ISA + Environment

ISA alone not sufficient to write useful programs, need I/O too!

- Direct access to memory mapped I/O via load/store instructions problematic
  - time-shared systems
  - portability

- Operating system usually responsible for I/O
  - sharing devices and managing security
  - hiding different types of hardware (e.g., EIDE vs. SCSI disks)

- ISA communicates with operating system through some standard mechanism, i.e., syscall instructions
  - example convention to open file:
    - addi x1, x0, 27 # 27 is code for file open
    - addu x2, x0, rfname # x2 points to filename string
    - syscall # cause trap into OS
    - # On return from syscall, x1 holds file descriptor

Application Binary Interface (ABI)

- Programs are usually distributed in a binary format that encodes the program text (instructions) and initial values of some data segments

- Virtual machine specifications include
  - what state is available at process creation
  - which instructions are available (the ISA)
  - what system calls are possible (I/O, or the environment)

- The ABI is a specification of the binary format used to encode programs for a virtual machine

- Operating system implements the virtual machine
  - at process startup, OS reads the binary program, creates an environment for it, then begins to execute the code, handling traps for I/O calls, emulation, etc.
OS Can Support Multiple User VMs

- Virtual machine features change over time with new versions of operating system
  - new ISA instructions added
  - new types of I/O are added (e.g., asynchronous file I/O)

- Common to provide backwards compatibility so old binaries run on new OS
  - SunOS 5 (System V Release 4 Unix, Solaris) can run binaries compiled for SunOS4 (BSD-style Unix)
  - Windows 98 runs MS-DOS programs

- If ABI needs instructions not supported by native hardware, OS can provide in software

ISA Implementations Partly in Software

- Often good idea to implement part of ISA in software:

- Expensive but rarely used instructions can cause trap to OS emulation routine:
  - e.g., decimal arithmetic instructions in MicroVax implementation of VAX ISA

- Infrequent but difficult operand values can cause trap
  - e.g., IEEE floating-point denormals cause traps in almost all floating-point unit implementations

- Old machine can trap unused opcodes, allows binaries for new ISA to run on old hardware
  - e.g., Sun SPARC v8 added integer multiply instructions, older v7 CPUs trap and emulate
Supporting Non-Native ISAs

- Run programs for one ISA on hardware with different ISA
- Software Interpreter (OS software interprets instructions at run-time)
  - E.g., OS 9 for PowerPC Macs had interpreter for 68000 code
- Binary Translation (convert at install and/or load time)
  - IBM AS/400 to modified PowerPC cores
  - DEC tools for VAX->MIPS->Alpha
- Dynamic Translation (non-native ISA to native ISA at run time)
  - Sun’s HotSpot Java JIT (just-in-time) compiler
  - Transmeta Crusoe, x86->VLIW code morphing
  - OS X for Intel Macs has dynamic binary translator for PowerPC (Rosetta)
- Run-time Hardware Emulation
  - IBM 360 had optional IBM 1401 emulator in microcode
  - Intel Itanium converts x86 to native VLIW (two software-visible ISAs)
  - ARM cores support 32-bit ARM, 16-bit Thumb, and JVM (three software-visible ISAs!)
Software Interpreter

- Easy to code, small code footprint
- *Slow*, approximately 100x slower than native execution for RISC ISA hosted on RISC ISA
- Problem is time taken to decode instructions
  - fetch instruction from memory
  - switch tables to decode opcodes
  - extract register specifiers using bit shifts
  - access register file data structure
  - execute operation
  - return to main fetch loop

Binary Translation

- Each guest ISA instruction translates into some set of host (or *native*) ISA instructions
- Instead of dynamically fetching and decoding instructions at runtime, translate entire binary program and save result as new native ISA executable
- Removes interpretive fetch-decode overhead
- Can do compiler optimizations on translated code to improve performance
  - register allocation for values flowing between guest ISA instructions
  - native instruction scheduling to improve performance
  - remove unreachable code
  - inline assembly procedures
Binary Translation, Take 1

Binary Translation Problems

Branch and Jump targets
- guest code:
  j L1
  ...
  L1: lw r1, (r4)
  jr (r1)
- native code

Where should the jump register go?
PC Mapping Table

- Table gives translated PC for each guest PC
- Indirect jumps translated into code that looks in table to find where to jump to
  - can optimize well-behaved guest code for subroutine call/return by using native PC in return links
- If can branch to any guest PC, then need one table entry for every instruction in hosted program → big table
- If can branch to any PC, then either
  - limit inter-instruction optimizations
  - large code explosion to hold optimizations for each possible entry into sequential code sequence
- Only minority of guest instructions are indirect jump targets, want to find these
  - design a highly structured VM design
  - use run-time feedback of target locations

Binary Translation Problems

- Self-modifying code!
  - `sw r1, (r2)` # `r2` points into code space
- Rare in most code, but has to be handled if allowed by guest ISA
- Usually handled by including interpreter and marking modified code pages as “interpret only”
- Have to invalidate all native branches into modified code pages
Binary Translation, Take 2

- Keep copy of code and data in native data segment.
- Mapping table used for indirect jumps and to jump from interpreter back into native translations.
- Translation has to check for modified code pages then jump to interpreter.
- Interpreter used for run-time modified code, checks for jumps back into native code using PC mapping table.

IBM System/38 and AS/400

- System/38 announced 1978
  - AS/400 is follow-on line, now called “System I” or “iSeries”
- High-level instruction set interface designed for binary translation
- Memory-memory instruction set, never directly executed by hardware

- Used 48-bit CISC engine in earlier machines
  - Replaced by modified PowerPC cores in newer iSeries machines
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Dynamic Translation

- Translate code sequences as needed at run-time, but cache results
- Can optimize code sequences based on dynamic information (e.g., branch targets encountered)
- Tradeoff between optimizer run-time and time saved by optimizations in translated code
- Technique used in Java JIT (Just-In-Time) compilers, and Virtual Machine Monitors (for system VMs)
- Also, Transmeta Crusoe for x86 emulation

Dynamic Binary Translation Example:
Chaining

Pre Chained
add %r5, %r6, %r7
li %next_addr_reg, next_addr #load address #of next block
j dispatch loop

Chained
add %r5, %r6, %r7
j physical location of translated code for next_block

Adminstrivia

- Quiz 5, Thursday May 2
  - L17-19, PS5, Lab 5
Transmeta Crusoe (2000)

- Converts x86 ISA into internal native VLIW format using software at run-time ➔ “Code Morphing”
- Optimizes across x86 instruction boundaries to improve performance
- Translations cached to avoid translator overhead on repeated execution
- Completely invisible to operating system – looks like x86 hardware processor

[Following slides contain examples taken from “The Technology Behind Crusoe Processors”, Transmeta Corporation, 2000]

Transmeta VLIW Engine

- Two VLIW formats, 64-bit and 128-bit, contains 2 or 4 RISC-like operations
- VLIW engine optimized for x86 code emulation
  - evaluates condition codes the same way as x86
  - has 80-bit floating-point unit
  - partial register writes (update 8 bits in 32 bit register)
- Support for fast instruction writes
  - run-time code generation important
- Initially, two different VLIW implementations, low-end TM3120, high-end TM5400
  - native ISA differences invisible to user, hidden by translation system
  - new eight-issue VLIW core planned (TM6000 series)
**Transmeta Translation**

**x86 code:**

```
addl %eax, (%esp)  # load data from stack, add to eax
addl %ebx, (%esp)  # load data from stack, add to ebx
movl %esi, (%ebp) # load esi from memory
subl %ecx, 5      # sub 5 from ecx
```

**first step, translate into RISC ops:**

```
ld %r30, [%esp]   # load from stack into temp
add.c %eax, %eax, %r30  # add to %eax, set cond.codes
ld %r31, [%esp]
add.c %ebx, %ebx, %r31
ld %esi, [%ebp]
sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5
```
Compiler Optimizations

RISC ops:

```
ld %r30, [%esp]  # load from stack into temp
add.c %eax, %eax, %r30  # add to %eax, set cond. codes
ld %r31, [%esp]
add.c %ebx, %ebx, %r31
ld %esi, [%ebp]
sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5
```

Optimize:

```
ld %r30, [%esp]  # load from stack only once
add %eax, %eax, %r30
add %ebx, %ebx, %r30  # reuse data loaded earlier
ld %esi, [%ebp]
sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5  # only this cond. code needed
```

Scheduling

Optimized RISC ops:

```
ld %r30, [%esp]  # load from stack only once
add %eax, %eax, %r30
add %ebx, %ebx, %r30  # reuse data loaded earlier
ld %esi, [%ebp]
sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5  # only this cond. code needed
```

Schedule into VLIW code:

```
ld %r30, [%esp]; sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5
ld %esi, [%ebp]; add %eax, %eax, %r30; add %ebx, %ebx, %r30
```
Translation Overhead

- Highly optimizing compiler takes considerable time to run, adds run-time overhead
- Only worth doing for frequently executed code
- Translation adds instrumentation into translations that counts how often code executed, and which way branches usually go
- As count for a block increases, higher optimization levels are invoked on that code

Exceptions

Original x86 code:

```
addl %eax, (%esp) ; load data from stack, add to eax
addl %ebx, (%esp) ; load data from stack, add to ebx
movl %esi, (%ebp) ; load esi from memory
subl %ecx, 5      ; sub 5 from ecx
```

Scheduled VLIW code:

```
1d %r30, [%esp]; sub.c %ecx, %ecx, 5
1d %esi, [%ebp]; add %eax, %eax, %r30; add %ebx, %ebx, %r30
```

- x86 instructions executed out-of-order with respect to original program flow
- Need to restore state for precise traps
**Shadow Registers and Store Buffer**

- All registers have working copy and shadow copy
- Stores held in software controlled store buffer, loads can snoop
- At end of translation block, commit changes by copying values from working regs to shadow regs, and by releasing stores in store buffer
- On exception, re-execute x86 code using interpreter

---

**Handling Self-Modifying Code**

- When a translation is made, mark the associated x86 code page as being translated in page table
- Store to translated code page causes trap, and associated translations are invalidated
System VMs: Supporting Multiple OSs on Same Hardware

- Can virtualize the environment that an operating system sees, an OS-level VM, or system VM
- Hypervisor layer implements sharing of real hardware resources by multiple OS VMs that each think they have a complete copy of the machine
  - Popular in early days to allow mainframe to be shared by multiple groups developing OS code
  - Used in modern mainframes to allow multiple versions of OS to be running simultaneously ➔ OS upgrades with no downtime!
  - Example for PCs: VMware allows Windows OS to run on top of Linux (or vice-versa)
- Requires trap on access to privileged hardware state
  - easier if OS interface to hardware well defined

Introduction to System Virtual Machines

- VMs developed in late 1960s
  - Remained important in mainframe computing over the years
  - Largely ignored in single user computers of 1980s and 1990s
- Recently regained popularity due to
  - increasing importance of isolation and security in modern systems,
  - failures in security and reliability of standard operating systems,
  - sharing of a single computer among many unrelated users,
  - and the dramatic increases in raw speed of processors, which makes the overhead of VMs more acceptable
Virtual Machine Monitors (VMMs)

- Virtual machine monitor (VMM) or hypervisor is software that supports VMs
- VMM determines how to map virtual resources to physical resources
- Physical resource may be time-shared, partitioned, or emulated in software
- VMM is much smaller than a traditional OS;
  - isolation portion of a VMM is ~ 10,000 lines of code

VMM Overhead?

- Depends on the workload
- User-level processor-bound programs (e.g., SPEC) have zero-virtualization overhead
  - Runs at native speeds since OS rarely invoked
- I/O-intensive workloads that are OS-intensive execute many system calls and privileged instructions, can result in high virtualization overhead
  - For System VMs, goal of architecture and VMM is to run almost all instructions directly on native hardware
- If I/O-intensive workload is also I/O-bound, low processor utilization since waiting for I/O
  - processor virtualization can be hidden, so low virtualization overhead
Other Uses of VMs

1. Managing Software
   - VMs provide an abstraction that can run the complete SW stack, even including old OSes like DOS
   - Typical deployment: some VMs running legacy OSes, many running current stable OS release, few testing next OS release

2. Managing Hardware
   - VMs allow separate SW stacks to run independently yet share HW, thereby consolidating number of servers
     • Some run each application with compatible version of OS on separate computers, as separation helps dependability
   - Migrate running VM to a different computer
     • Either to balance load or to evacuate from failing HW

Requirements of a Virtual Machine Monitor

- A VM Monitor
  - Presents a SW interface to guest software,
  - Isolates state of guests from each other, and
  - Protects itself from guest software (including guest OSes)

- Guest software should behave on a VM exactly as if running on the native HW
  - Except for performance-related behavior or limitations of fixed resources shared by multiple VMs

- Guest software should not be able to change allocation of real system resources directly

- Hence, VMM must control everything even though guest VM and OS currently running is temporarily using them
  - Access to privileged state, Address translation, I/O, Exceptions and Interrupts, ...
Requirements of a Virtual Machine Monitor

- VMM must be at higher privilege level than guest VM, which generally run in user mode
  - Execution of privileged instructions handled by VMM
- E.g., Timer interrupt: VMM suspends currently running guest VM, saves its state, handles interrupt, determine which guest VM to run next, and then load its state
  - Guest VMs that rely on timer interrupt provided with virtual timer and an emulated timer interrupt by VMM
- Requirements of system virtual machines are same as paged-virtual memory:
  1. At least 2 processor modes, system and user
  2. Privileged subset of instructions available only in system mode, trap if executed in user mode
     - All system resources controllable only via these instructions

ISA Support for Virtual Machines

- If VMs are planned for during design of ISA, easy to reduce instructions that must be executed by a VMM and how long it takes to emulate them
  - Since VMs have been considered for desktop/PC server apps only recently, most ISAs were created without virtualization in mind, including 80x86 and most RISC architectures
- VMM must ensure that guest system only interacts with virtual resources ⇒ conventional guest OS runs as user mode program on top of VMM
  - If guest OS attempts to access or modify information related to HW resources via a privileged instruction—for example, reading or writing the page table pointer—it will trap to the VMM
- If not, VMM must intercept instruction and support a virtual version of the sensitive information as the guest OS expects (examples soon)
Impact of VMs on Virtual Memory

- Virtualization of virtual memory if each guest OS in every VM manages its own set of page tables?

- VMM separates **real** and **physical memory**
  - Makes real memory a separate, intermediate level between virtual memory and physical memory
  - Some use the terms **virtual memory**, **physical memory**, and **machine memory** to name the 3 levels
  - Guest OS maps virtual memory to real memory via its page tables, and VMM page tables map real memory to physical memory

- VMM maintains a **shadow page table** that maps directly from the guest virtual address space to the physical address space of HW
  - Rather than pay extra level of indirection on every memory access
  - VMM must trap any attempt by guest OS to change its page table or to access the page table pointer

ISA Support for VMs & Virtual Memory

- IBM 370 architecture added additional level of indirection that is managed by the VMM
  - Guest OS keeps its page tables as before, so the shadow pages are unnecessary

- To virtualize software TLB, VMM manages the real TLB and has a copy of the contents of the TLB of each guest VM
  - Any instruction that accesses the TLB must trap
  - TLBs with Process ID tags support a mix of entries from different VMs and the VMM, thereby avoiding flushing of the TLB on a VM switch

- Recent processor designs have added similar mechanisms to accelerate VMMs
Impact of Virtualization on I/O

- Most difficult part of virtualization
  - Increasing number of I/O devices attached to the computer
  - Increasing diversity of I/O device types
  - Sharing of a real device among multiple VMs,
  - Supporting the myriad of device drivers that are required, especially if different guest OSes are supported on the same VM system

- Give each VM generic versions of each type of I/O device driver, and let VMM handle real I/O

- Method for mapping virtual to physical I/O device depends on the type of device:
  - Disks partitioned by VMM to create virtual disks for guest VMs
  - Network interfaces shared between VMs in short time slices, and VMM tracks messages for virtual network addresses to ensure that guest VMs only receive their messages
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