1 Introduction and goals

The goal of this laboratory assignment is to allow you to explore the vector-fetch architecture using the Chisel simulation environment. You will be provided a complete implementation of a vector-fetch style processor, called Hwacha. Students will write vector-fetch assembly code targeting Hwacha, to gain a better understanding of how data-level parallel code maps to vector-style processors, and to practice optimizing vector code for a given implementation. For the “open-ended” section, students will optimize a vector implementation of spare-matrix vector multiply, or attempt to improve Hwacha’s prefetching.

The lab has two sections, a directed portion and an open-ended portion. Everyone will do the directed portion the same way, and grades will be assigned based on correctness. The open-ended portion will allow you to pursue more creative investigations, and your grade will be based on the effort made to complete the task.

Students are encouraged to discuss solutions to the lab assignments with other students, but must run through the directed portion of the lab by themselves and turn in their own lab report. For the open-ended portion of each lab, students can work individually or in groups of two (not three). Any open-ended lab assignment completed as a group should be written up and handed in separately. Students are free to take part in different groups for different lab assignments.

For this lab, there will only be two open-ended assignments. If you would prefer to do something else, you must contact your TA or professor with an alternate proposal of significant rigor.
2 Background

2.1 The vector-fetch Architecture

The vector-fetch architecture is a new style of data-parallel architecture that combines the efficiencies of traditional vector processors with the programability of general purpose GPU processors.[1, 2, 3]

The Hwacha assembly programming model is best explained by contrast with other, popular data-parallel assembly programming models. As a running example, we use a conditionalized SAXPY kernel, CSAXPY. Figure 1 shows CSAXPY expressed in C as both a vectorizable loop and as a SPMD kernel. CSAXPY takes as input an array of conditions, a scalar \( a \), and vectors \( x \) and \( y \); it computes \( y += ax \) for the elements for which the condition is true.

```c
void csaxpy(size_t n, bool cond[], float a, float x[], float y[])
{
    for (size_t i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        if (cond[i])
            y[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
}
(a) vectorizable loop

void csaxpy_spmd(size_t n, bool cond[], float a, float x[], float y[])
{
    if (tid < n)
        if (cond[tid])
            y[tid] = a*x[tid] + y[tid];
}
(b) SPMD kernel
```

Figure 1: **Conditional SAXPY kernel written in C.** The SPMD kernel launch code for (b) is omitted for brevity.

2.2 Packed SIMD Assembly Programming Model

Figure 2 shows CSAXPY kernel mapped to a hypothetical packed SIMD architecture, similar to Intel’s SSE and AVX extensions. This SIMD architecture has 128-bit registers, each partitioned into four 32-bit fields. As with other packed SIMD machines, ours cannot mix scalar and vector operands, so the code begins by filling a SIMD register with copies of \( a \). To map a long vector computation to this architecture, the compiler generates a stripmine loop, each iteration of which processes one four-element vector. In this example, the stripmine loop consists of a load from the conditions vector, which in turn is used
**Figure 2:** CSAXPY kernel mapped to the packed SIMD assembly programming model. In all pseudo-assembly examples presented in this section, a0 holds variable n, a1 holds pointer cond, a2 holds scalar a, a3 holds pointer x, and a4 holds pointer y.

to set a predicate register. The next four instructions, which correspond to the body of the if-statement in Figure 1a, are masked by the predicate register. Finally, the address registers are incremented by the SIMD width, and the stripmine loop is repeated until the computation is finished—almost. Since the loop handles four elements at a time, extra code is needed to handle up to three fringe elements. For brevity, we omitted this code; in this case, it suffices to duplicate the loop body, predicking all of the instructions on whether their index is less than n.

The most important drawback to packed SIMD architectures lurks in the assembly code: the SIMD width is expressly encoded in the instruction opcodes and memory addressing code. When the architects of such an ISA wish to increase performance by widening the vectors, they must add a new set of instructions to process these vectors. This consumes substantial opcode space: for example, Intel's newest AVX instructions are as long as 11 bytes. Worse, application code cannot automatically leverage the widened vectors. In order to take advantage of them, application code must be recompiled. Conversely, code

---

1We treat packed SIMD architectures generously by assuming the support of full predication. This feature is quite uncommon. Intel's AVX architecture, for example, only supports predication as of 2015, and then only in its Xeon line of server processors.
csaxpy_simt:
    mv  t0, tid
    bgeu t0, a0, skip
    add t1, a1, t0
    lb  t1, (t1)
    beqz t1, skip
    slli t0, t0, 2
    add a3, a3, t0
    add a4, a4, t0
    lw  t1, (a3)
    lw  t2, (a4)
    fma t0, a2, t1, t2
    sw  t0, (a4)
skip:
    stop

Figure 3: CSAXPY kernel mapped to the SIMT assembly programming model.

compiled for wider SIMD registers fails to execute on older machines with narrower ones. As we later show, this complexity is merely an artifact of poor design.

2.3 SIMT Assembly Programming Model

Figure 3 shows the same code mapped to a hypothetical SIMT architecture, akin to an NVIDIA GPU. The SIMT architecture exposes the data-parallel execution resources as multiple threads of execution; each thread executes one element of the vector. One inefficiency of this approach is immediately evident: the first action each thread takes is to determine whether it is within bounds, so that it can conditionally perform no useful work. Another inefficiency results from the duplication of scalar computation: despite the unit-stride access pattern, each thread explicitly computes its own addresses. (The SIMD architecture, in contrast, amortized this work over the SIMD width.) Moreover, massive replication of scalar operands reduces the effective utilization of register file resources: each thread has its own copy of the three array base addresses and the scalar a. This represents a threefold increase over the fundamental architectural state.

2.4 Traditional Vector Assembly Programming Model

Packed SIMD and SIMT architectures have a disjoint set of drawbacks: the main limitation of the former is the static encoding of the vector length, whereas the primary drawback of the latter is the lack of scalar processing. One can imagine an architecture that has the scalar support of the former and the dynamism of the latter. In fact, it has
 existed for over 40 years, in the form of the traditional vector machine, embodied by the Cray-1. The key feature of this architecture is the vector length register (VLR), which represents the number of vector elements that will be processed by the vector instructions, up to the hardware vector length (HVL). Software manipulates the VLR by requesting a certain application vector length (AVL); the vector unit responds with the smaller of the AVL and the HVL. As with packed SIMD architectures, a stripmine loop iterates until the application vector has been completely processed. But, as Figure 4 shows, the difference lies in the manipulation of the VLR at the head of every loop iteration. The primary benefits of this architecture follow directly from this code generation strategy. Most importantly, the scalar software is completely oblivious to the hardware vector length: the same code executes correctly and with maximal efficiency on machines with any HVL. Second, there is no fringe code: on the final trip through the loop, the VLR is simply set to the length of the fringe.

The advantages of traditional vector architectures over the SIMT approach are owed to the coupled scalar control processor. There is only one copy of the array pointers and of the scalar a. The address computation instructions execute only once per stripmine loop iteration, rather than once per element, effectively amortizing their cost by a factor of the HVL.

Figure 4: CSAXPY kernel mapped to the traditional vector assembly programming model.
The Programmer’s View of \textit{vector-fetch}

The Hwacha architecture builds on the traditional vector architecture, with a key difference: the vector operations have been hoisted out of the stripmine loop and placed in their own \textit{vector fetch block}. This allows the scalar control processor to send only a program counter to the vector processor. The control processor then completes the stripmining loop faster and is able to continue doing useful work, while the vector processor is independently executing the vector instructions.

Figure 5 shows the Hwacha user-visible register state. Like the traditional vector machine, Hwacha has vector data registers ($\text{vv}0–255$) and vector predicate registers ($\text{vp}0–15$), but it also has two flavors of scalar registers. These are the \textit{shared} registers ($\text{vs}0–63$, $\text{vs}0$ is hardwired to constant 0), which can be read and written within a vector fetch block, and \textit{address} registers ($\text{va}0–31$), which are read-only within a vector fetch block. This distinction supports non-speculative access/execute decoupling and is further described in the Hwacha microarchitecture manual.

Vector data and shared registers may hold 8-, 16-, 32-, and 64-bit integer values and half-, single-, and double-precision floating-point values. Vector predicate registers are 1-bit wide, and hold boolean values that mask vector operations. Address registers hold 64-bit pointer values, and serve as the base and stride of unit-strided and strided vector memory instructions.

In addition, the vector configuration register $\text{vcfg}$, which keeps the configuration state of the vector unit, and the vector length register $\text{vlen}$, which stores the maximum hardware vector length, are also visible to the user. The configuration state is described in Section ??.

The maximum hardware vector length is configurable based on how many registers of each type a program uses. Regardless of how many registers are used, a hardware vector length of 8 is guaranteed. The vector length register ($\text{vlen}$) can be set to zero, in such case, all vector instructions will not be executed.

Figure 6 shows the CSAXPY code for the Hwacha machine. The structure of the stripmine loop in the control thread (line 1–16) is similar to the traditional vector code, however, all vector operations in the stripmine loop have been hoisted out into its own worker thread (line 18–25). The control thread first executes the $\text{vsetcfg}$ instruction (line 2), which adjusts the maximum hardware vector length taking the register usage into account. $\text{vmcs}$ (line 3) moves the value of a scalar register from the control thread to a vs register. The stripmine loop sets the vector length with a $\text{vsetvl}$ instruction (line 5), moves the array pointers to the vector unit with $\text{vmca}$ instructions (line 6–8), then executes a vector fetch ($\text{vf}$) instruction (line 9) causing the Hwacha unit to execute the vector fetch block. The code in the vector fetch block is equivalent to the vector code in Figure 4 with the addition of a $\text{vstop}$ instruction, signifying the end of the block.

For the CSAXPY example, factoring the vector code out of the stripmine loop reduces the scalar instruction count by 15%, but as the stripmine loop gets complicated with more vector instructions, the fraction of saved scalar instruction fetches per stripmine loop due
to the Hwacha assembly programming model will increase. This lets the control thread run ahead further, enabling a higher degree of access/execute decoupling. Consult the Hwacha microarchitecture manual for more details.
2.5 The Rocket/Hwacha vector-fetch Processor

A Chisel implementation of a full vector-fetch processor is provided. The provided vector-fetch processor comes with two big pieces: the control processor, known as Rocket, and the vector unit, known as Hwacha.

Rocket is a RV64G 5-stage, fully bypassed in-order core. It has full supervisor support (including virtual memory). It also supports sub-word memory accesses and floating point. In short, Rocket supports the entire 64-bit RISC-V ISA (however, no OS will be used in this lab, so code will still run “bare metal” as in previous labs).

As the control processor, Rocket executes scalar code. However, when it encounters any vector instruction, it will send the instruction (and the corresponding operands, perhaps including a PC) to the Hwacha vector-unit, which will handle the command and/or begin fetching and executing instructions starting at the given PC.

Rocket has an L1 data and instruction cache while Hwacha has an L1 instruction cache for vector-fetch instructions and communicates directly with the L2 cache for data. These caches are then backed up by DRAM that lives in the test harness.

Both Rocket and Hwacha are and have been developed and debugged for many tape-outs, one of which is a joint Berkeley/MIT research chip (Berkeley is developing the cores and caches, while MIT is focusing on novel memory designs that are far beyond the scope of this lab). The upside of this is that you are playing with an actual, realistic processor design that is being used for real computer architecture research. The downside is that many of the tools and features are not yet mature, and it can be harder to grasp all of the moving parts of these very real processors!

2.6 Graded Items

You will turn in a hard copy of your results to the professor or TA. Please label each section of the results clearly. The following items need to be turned in for evaluation:

First, the end-goal of this lab is to fill out Chart 1, which compares the floating point performance of the vector-fetch code running on the Hwacha vector-unit against the reference code running on the scalar Rocket core. Each problem will guide you through the steps to accomplish this task. The performance results of Rocket has already been filled in for you.

1. Problem 3.3 vvadd, saxpy, dgemm performance statistics and answers
2. Problem 3.4 cmplxmult code, statistics, and answers
3. Problem 4.1 spmv code, statistics, and answers
4. Problem 5 Feedback on this lab
Table 1: Performance of floating point benchmarks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>vvadd</th>
<th>saxpy</th>
<th>dgemm</th>
<th>cmplxmult</th>
<th>spmv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rocket (scalar)</td>
<td>0.060 GFLOPs</td>
<td>0.080 GFLOPs</td>
<td>0.859 GFLOPs</td>
<td>0.159 GFLOPs</td>
<td>0.069 GFLOPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.94 CPI</td>
<td>3.56 CPI</td>
<td>1.40 CPI</td>
<td>2.30 CPI</td>
<td>2.76 CPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwacha (vf)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Directed Portion (2/7 of lab grade)

3.1 General Methodology

This lab will focus on writing \textit{vector-fetch} assembly code. This will be done in two steps: step 1) write assembly code and test it for correctness using the very fast \textit{RISC-V ISA simulator}, and Step 2) measure the performance of your correct code on a Chisel-generated cycle-accurate simulator of the Rocket/Hwacha processor.

3.2 Setting Up Your Chisel Workspace

To complete this lab you will log in to an instructional server, which is where you will use Chisel and the RISC-V tool-chain.

The tools for this lab were set up to run on any of the 5 instructional Linux servers icluster5.eecs, icluster6.eecs, ..., icluster9.eecs. (see \url{http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/cgi-bin/clients.cgi?choice=servers} for more information about available machines).
First, download the lab materials:

```bash
inst$ cd ~
inst$ cp -R ~cs152/sp16/lab4 .
inst$ cd lab4
inst$ export LAB4ROOT=$PWD
```

This lab is now also managed as a git repository which means you can also use git to fetch updates from the published version. To copy the repo you will need to clone it:

```bash
inst$ cd ~
inst$ git clone ~cs152/sp16/lab4-git lab4
inst$ cd lab4
inst$ export LAB4ROOT=$PWD
```

If any updates are released you can then pull in the new updates using

```bash
inst$ cd ${LAB4ROOT}
inst$ git pull
```

If you encounter problems using git feel free to post a question on Piazza or consult the git documentation (see [https://git-scm.com/doc](https://git-scm.com/doc)).

The following command will set up your bash environment, giving you access to the entire CS152 lab tool-chain. Run it before each session:

```bash
inst$ source ~cs152/sp16/cs152.lab4.bashrc
```

We will refer to `.lab4` as `${LAB4ROOT}` in the rest of the handout to denote the location of the Lab 3 directory.

We will refer to `~/cs152-xy/lab4` as `${LAB4ROOT}` in the rest of the handout to denote the location of the Lab 4 directory. Some of the directory structure is shown below:

- `${LAB4ROOT}`/rocket-chip/
  - riscv-tools/riscv-tests/ Source code for assembly tests and benchmarks.
  - benchmarks/ Benchmarks (mostly) written in C. This is where you will spend nearly all of your time.
    - vec-vvadd C and assembly code for the vector-vector add benchmark.
    - vec-saxpy C and assembly code for the scalar Ax plus Y benchmark.
    - vec-dgemm C and assembly code for the matrix multiply benchmark.

---

2 The capital “R” in “cp -R” is critical, as the -R option maintains the symbolic links used.
3 Or better yet, add this command to your bash profile.
vec-cmplxmult  C and assembly code for the complex-multiply benchmark.
vec-spmv    C and assembly code for the sparse matrix vector multiply benchmark.

* isa/  Assembly code for the individual instruction tests.
  - emulator/ C++ simulation tools and output files.
  - csr/ C++ test bench source code.
  - dramsim2/ DRAMSim2 source code that is used to emulate DRAM.
  - chisel/ The Chisel source code.
  - hwacha/ The Hwacha source code.
  - rocket/ The Rocket processor.
  - hardfloat/ The floating point unit source code.
  - uncore/ The uncore source code.
  - src/ Top-level source code.
  - sbt/ Chisel/Scala voodoo. You can safely ignore this directory.

The following command will set up your bash environment, giving you access to the entire CS152 lab tool-chain. Run it before each session:

inst$ source ~cs152/sp16/cs152.lab4.bashrc

Note that hwacha has a different ISA and therefore needs a different tool-chain than in previous labs which could use the stock RISC-V tool-chain.

inst$ source ~cs152/sp16/cs152.lab4.bashrc

For this lab, we will play with the benchmarks vec-vvadd, vec-saxpy, vec-dgemm, vec-cmplxmult, and vec-spmv. To compile and run these benchmarks on the RISC-V ISA simulator, execute the following commands:

inst$ cd ${LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks
inst$ make clean; make; make run-riscv

This quickly tests the benchmarks for correctness using the ISA simulator. The vec-cmplxmult and vec-spmv benchmarks should FAIL, because you have not written the code for them yet!

To run the benchmarks on the cycle-accurate C++ simulator of Hwacha/Rocket, execute the following commands:

inst$ cd ${LAB4ROOT}/emulator
inst$ make clean; make; make run-bmark-tests

4Or better yet, add this command to your bash profile.
If this is your first compiling the emulator, this command may take a while.

The `vec-cmplxmult` and `vec-spmv` benchmarks should FAIL, because you have not written the code for them yet! Note that the emulator is only compiled once. Once you add your working complex multiply and sparse matrix vector multiply code, the total simulation time should be about five to ten minutes.

3.3 Measuring the Performance of Simple Kernels

To acclimate ourselves to the Lab 4 infrastructure and `vector-fetch` coding in general, we will first look at the provided Vector-Vector Add (`vec_vvadd`) benchmark and measure its performance on *Hwacha*.

First, navigate to the `vec_vvadd` directory, found in

```
$LAB4ROOT/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks/vec-vvadd/
```

In the `vec-vvadd` directory, there are a few files of interest. First, the `dataset1.h` file holds a static copy of the input vectors and results vector. Second, `vec_vvadd_main.c` holds the main driving C code for the benchmark, which includes initializing the state of the program, calling the `vvadd` function itself, and verifying the correct results of the function. An example scalar implementation of `vvadd`, written in C, is provided in `vec_vvadd.c` as well. The assembly implementations are found in `vec_vvadd_asm.S`. Two versions are provided: first, a scalar assembly version of `vvadd`, and second, a `vector-fetch` version of `vvadd`.

Now let’s run the vector version of `vec_vvadd` on the ISA simulator:

```
inst$ cd $LAB4ROOT/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks
inst$ make clean; make; make run-riscv
```

This will delete any old copies of the benchmarks, build new copies of the benchmarks, generate obj-dump files, generate hex file copies, and run the resulting RISC-V binaries on the RISC-V ISA simulator. You should see a PASS for `vecv-vvadd`, denoting that the output vector of our `vector-fetch` implementation matches the reference results provided by the `dataset.h` file. For now, you should see FAIL for both the `vec-cmplxmult` and `vec-spmv` benchmarks, since we have not yet written the code for them yet!

Now, we will run `vec-vvadd` on the cycle-accurate simulator of *Hwacha*.

---

5 Notice that the shown command (make run-riscv) runs the RISC-V binary. It is also possible to build the code and run it on the “host” x86 platform, using make run-host. The advantage is that you get full printf support (and a full OS), but the disadvantage is that you can not use any RISC-V assembly in your code.
You should see the following output, which corresponds to vec-vvadd:

```
./emulator-Top-ISCA2016Config +dramsim +max-cycles=100000000 +verbose
+loadmem=output/vec-vvadd.riscv.hex none 3>&1 1>&2 2>&3 |
/home/ff/cs152/sp16/install-lab4/bin/spike-dasm --extension=hwacha >
output/vec-vvadd.riscv.out && [ $PIPESTATUS -eq 0 ]
cycle = 61807
instret = 574
```

The first line calls the emulator and loads the vec-vvadd benchmark into the simulator’s memory, and stores any log information into output/vec-vvadd.riscv.out.

The second line is the output from the vec-vvadd.riscv program itself. In this example, we are provided information about the number of cycles executed by the critical function, and the number of instructions retired by the critical function in hexadecimal form (3407 cycles and 27 scalar instructions respectively, in decimal).

Use this information to calculate the CPI of the control processor (retired instructions is measured from the scalar control processor’s point of view), and to calculate the FLOPs (“floating point operations / second”) achieved by Hwacha.

To calculate the FLOPs achieved, we need to know two things: how many floating point operations were performed, and how many seconds elapsed. To calculate the former, we need to look at the vec-vvadd code (vec_vvadd_main.c and dataset1.h): we can see that every iteration performs one floating point add operation, and that vec-vvadd runs for 20000 iterations. To calculate seconds, we need to know the number of cycles that elapsed (provided by the above printout), as well as the clock rate of the processor. Both Rocket and Hwacha can run at 1 GHz. Thus, since Rocket is a single-issue machine, we expect its absolute maximum theoretical floating point performance to be 1 GFLOP (1 floating point op per cycle / 1 billion cycles per second). Hwacha, as a vector machine has more functional units to make consistent use of its large register files. In fact Hwacha has the capability to do 4 double precision FMAs per cycle. As we have seen in section Hwacha’s register file is broken up into SRAM banks with 1 read and 1 write port. For microarchitectural reasons these banks are actually 128-bits wide so we have an aggregate read bandwidth of 8 double precision operands per cycle. If this is the case how can Hwacha fully saturate its 4 FMAs which require 3*4=12 double precision operands per cycle (Hint: look at the optimized matmul code and think about the other register Hwacha can use).

---

Footnote:

6This is actually a bit of a lie, since Rocket and Hwacha support “fused multiply add” instructions, which perform a \( d = c + (a \times b) \) operation. Thus, with the \texttt{fmadd} and \texttt{fmsub} instructions, the processor can actually issue two floating point operations in a single cycle!
3.4 Implementing Complex Multiply (cmplxmult) in vector-fetch

Now that you understand the infrastructure, how to run benchmarks, and how to collect results, you can write your own benchmark and measure its performance on the Hwacha vector-fetch core.

The first benchmark will be Complex Multiply (cmplxmult). Complex multiply involves multiplying two vectors of complex numbers together element-wise. The pseudo-code is shown below:

```c
// pseudo code
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    e = (a*b) - (c*d);
    f = (c*b) + (a*d);
}
```

In terms of calculating FLOPs, each iteration involves four FP multiplies and two FP adds, for a total of six FLOP per iteration. The actual C code is shown here:

```c
struct Complex
{
    float real;
    float imag;
};

// scalar C implementation
void cmplxmult( int n, struct Complex a[], struct Complex b[], struct Complex c[] )
{
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    {
        c[i].real = (a[i].real * b[i].real) - (a[i].imag * b[i].imag);
        c[i].imag = (a[i].imag * b[i].real) + (a[i].real * b[i].imag);
    }
}
```

Add your vector-fetch code to

```
LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks/vec_cmplxmult/vec_cmplxmult_asm.S. You will find a brief description of the RISC-V ABI calling convention (which provides suggestions on which registers to use).

When you are ready to test your vector-fetch code, first test for correctness on the ISA simulator:

```
inst$ cd LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks
inst$ make clean; make; make run-riscv
```

14
Once your code passes the correctness test, you can then gather performance results on cycle-accurate simulator of *Hwacha*:

```
inst$ cd ${LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/emulator
inst$ make clean; make; make output/vec-cmplxmult.riscv.out
```

Collect your results and fill out the corresponding entries in Table 1. Also, attach your code in your submission email.

**Hints:** You will almost certainly want to work with *strided* vector memory operations for this problem. For strided loads, the instruction is `vlstw vv1, rBaseAddr, rStride`, or *vector load strided (word version)*. The argument `vv1` is the vector register #1 (you may use any number from 0 to the number of configured registers. The operand register `rBaseAddr` holds the starting memory address for the vector strided load to begin loading from, and `rStride` is a register that holds the size of the stride (both are required to be address registers vaX). Because this problem involves vectors of structs, and each complex number struct is 8 bytes in size, trying to load a vector of the *real* parts of the complex numbers will involve a stride value of 8 (bytes). The corresponding store version is `vsstw`.

Although not necessary, you may also get higher performance by using “fused multiply add” instructions, which are supported by *Hwacha* and *Rocket* ($d = c + (a \times b)$). These instructions (`vfmadd.w` and `vfmsub.w`) allow two floating point operations to be issued in a single cycle, doubling floating point performance! See the provided Hwacha ISA specification for more information about the provided floating point instructions.

### 4 Open-ended Portion (5/7 of lab grade + 2 bonus points)

For this lab, there will only be one open-ended portion that all students can do. As will all labs, you can work individually or together in groups of two.

#### 4.1 Contest: Vectorizing and Optimizing Sparse Matrix Vector Multiply

For this problem, you will implement a *vector-fetch* implementation of sparse matrix-vector multiply. A scalar implementation written in C can be found in `${LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks/vec-spmv/vec_spmv_main.c` Add your own *vector-fetch* implementation in `vec_spmv_asm.S`.

Once your code passes the correctness test, do your best to optimize `spmv` for *Hwacha*. This will be a contest, with the best team, as measured by the achieved FLOPs (i.e., the lowest number of cycles to correctly execute), will receive a bonus +2 (yes, 2% of your total grade) points on the lab.

You are only allowed to write code in the `vec_spmv_asm` function (i.e., do not change any code in the `vec_spmv_main.c` file). If you would like to do some transformation on the inputs please only do this after you have done the non-transformed version.

Email your `spmv vector-fetch` assembly code along with your lab submission. Describe what your code does, and some of the strategies that you tried.
Sparse Matrix Vector Multiply Hints

Common techniques that generally work well are loop unrolling, lifting loads out of inner loops and scheduling them earlier, blocking the code to utilize the full register file, transposing matrices to achieve unit-stride accesses to make full use of the L2 cache lines, and loop interchange.

More specific to vector-fetch, try and have all element loads be re-factored into vector loads. Use fused multiply-add instructions as often as possible. Also, carefully choose which loop(s) you decide to vectorize for this problem: not all loops can be safely vectorized!

Finally, be mindful about the use of the fence.v instruction: it is expensive and can hurt performance, but you must use it when you need the results of stores visible.

5 The Third Portion: Feedback

This is a newly refreshed lab, and as such, we would like your feedback again! How many hours did the directed portion take you? How many hours did you spend on the open-ended portion? Was this lab boring? Did you learn anything? Is there anything you would change? Feel free to write as little or as much as you want (a point will be taken off only if left completely empty).
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A Appendix: Debugging

Debugging your vector-fetch code can be difficult. To make matters worse, you do not have an OS to call upon, gdb, or printf.

However, there are a couple of strategies that will help.

First, some simple printing functions are provided: printstr() and printhex(). These functions, found in ${LAB4ROOT}/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks/common/syscalls.c, allow you to print out a static string and an integer value respectively. This can allow you to check conditions and print out the appropriate strings from your code.

Second, the ISA simulator can be run in a debug mode that prints out an instruction trace. For example, the basic command for running vec_vvadd in the ISA simulator is:

```
inst$ spike vec-vvadd.riscv
```
However, adding “-d” will provide a log of the instructions executed.

```
inst$ spike -d vec_vvadd.riscv
```

The only down-side is that this only shows the instruction trace from the point of view of the control processor: the vector unit is effectively invisible.

In order to give a better sense of the vector instructions you can rebuild spike with the `--enable-hcommitlog` flag which causes it to print out all writes to vector registers.

The objdump of the RISC-V binaries can be found in `$(LAB4ROOT)/rocket-chip/riscv-tools/riscv-tests/benchmarks/*.riscv.dump`, which can be very useful for comparing with the instruction traces and verifying that the code you wrote was correctly translated by the compiler.

If you are confused about vector-thread, I recommend that you look at the CS152 Section 10 slides, look through the ISA manual or the microarch manual.
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Figure 5: Hwacha user-visible register state.
csaxpy_control_thread:
   vsetcfg ...
   vmcs vs1, a2
stripmine_loop:
   vsetvl t0, a0
   vmca va0, a1
   vmca va1, a3
   vmca va2, a4
   vf csaxpy_worker_thread
   add a1, a1, t0
   slli t1, t0, 2
   add a3, a3, t1
   add a4, a4, t1
   sub a0, a0, t0
   bnez a0, stripmine_loop
   ret

   csaxpy_worker_thread:
   vlb vv0, (va0)
   vcmpez vp0, vv0
   !vp0 vlw vv0, (va1)
   !vp0 vlw vv1, (va2)
   !vp0 vfma vv0, vv0, vs1, vv1
   !vp0 vsw vv0, (va2)
   vstop

Figure 6: **CSAXPY** kernel mapped to the Hwacha assembly programming model.
Figure 7: The *Rocket* control processor pipeline.