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Branch prediction
  - temporal, history of a single branch
  - spatial, based on path through multiple branches

Branch History Table (BHT) vs. Branch History Buffer (BTB)
  - tradeoff in capacity versus latency

Return-Address Stack (RAS)
  - specialized structure to predict subroutine return addresses

Fetching more than one basic block per cycle
  - predicting multiple branches
  - trace cache
Load-Store Queue Design

- After control hazards, data hazards through memory are probably next most important bottleneck to superscalar performance.
- Modern superscalars use very sophisticated load-store reordering techniques to reduce effective memory latency by allowing loads to be speculatively issued.
Just like register updates, stores should not modify the memory until after the instruction is committed. A speculative store buffer is a structure introduced to hold speculative store data.

- During decode, store buffer slot allocated in program order
- Stores split into “store address” and “store data” micro-operations
- “Store address” execution writes tag
- “Store data” execution writes data
- Store commits when oldest instruction and both address and data available:
  - clear speculative bit and eventually move data to cache
- On store abort:
  - clear valid bit
Load bypass from speculative store buffer

- If data in both store buffer and cache, which should we use?
  Speculative store buffer

- If same address in store buffer twice, which should we use?
  Youngest store older than load
Memory Dependencies

\( \text{sd } x1, (x2) \)
\( \text{ld } x3, (x4) \)

- When can we execute the load?
In-Order Memory Queue

- Execute all loads and stores in program order

=> Load and store cannot leave ROB for execution until all previous loads and stores have completed execution

- Can still execute loads and stores speculatively, and out-of-order with respect to other instructions

- Need a structure to handle memory ordering...
Conservative O-o-O Load Execution

```
sd x1, (x2)
ld x3, (x4)
```

- Can execute load before store, if addresses known and $x4 \neq x2$
- Each load address compared with addresses of all previous uncommitted stores
  - can use partial conservative check i.e., bottom 12 bits of address, to save hardware
- Don’t execute load if any previous store address not known
- (MIPS R10K, 16-entry address queue)
Address Speculation

\[ \text{sd } x1, \ (x2) \]
\[ \text{ld } x3, \ (x4) \]

- Guess that \( x4 \neq x2 \)
- Execute load before store address known
- Need to hold all completed but uncommitted load/store addresses in program order
- If subsequently find \( x4 = x2 \), squash load and all following instructions

\[ \Rightarrow \] Large penalty for inaccurate address speculation
Memory Dependence Prediction (Alpha 21264)

- \texttt{sd x1, (x2)}
- \texttt{ld x3, (x4)}

- Guess that \texttt{x4 \neq x2} and execute load before store
- If later find \texttt{x4==x2}, squash load and all following instructions, but mark load instruction as store-wait
- Subsequent executions of the same load instruction will wait for all previous stores to complete
- Periodically clear store-wait bits
Superscalar Control Logic Scaling

- Each issued instruction must somehow check against $W \times L$ instructions, i.e., growth in hardware $\propto W \times (W \times L)$
- For in-order machines, $L$ is related to pipeline latencies and check is done during issue (interlocks or scoreboard)
- For out-of-order machines, $L$ also includes time spent in instruction buffers (instruction window or ROB), and check is done by broadcasting tags to waiting instructions at write back (completion)
- As $W$ increases, larger instruction window is needed to find enough parallelism to keep machine busy $\Rightarrow$ greater $L$

$\Rightarrow$ Out-of-order control logic grows faster than $W^2$ ($\sim W^3$)
Out-of-Order Control Complexity:

[ SGI/MIPS Technologies Inc., 1995 ]
Sequential ISA Bottleneck

Sequential source code
a = foo(b);
for (i=0, i<

Superscalar compiler
Find independent operations
Schedule operations

Sequential machine code

Superscalar processor
Check instruction dependencies
Schedule execution
CS152 Administrivia

- PS 3 due Monday March 12
- Lab 3 due Monday March 19
- Submit Midterm-1 regrade requests by Friday
  - score might go up or down with regrade requests
Readings for Monday:
- Tomasulo algorithm
- Decoupled access/execute
- MIPS R10K
VLIW: Very Long Instruction Word

- Multiple operations packed into one instruction
- Each operation slot is for a fixed function
- Constant operation latencies are specified
- Architecture requires guarantee of:
  - Parallelism within an instruction => no cross-operation RAW check
  - No data use before data ready => no data interlocks
Early VLIW Machines

- **FPS AP120B (1976)**
  - scientific attached array processor
  - first commercial wide instruction machine
  - hand-coded vector math libraries using software pipelining and loop unrolling

- **Multiflow Trace (1987)**
  - commercialization of ideas from Fisher’s Yale group including “trace scheduling”
  - available in configurations with 7, 14, or 28 operations/instruction
  - 28 operations packed into a 1024-bit instruction word

- **Cydrome Cydra-5 (1987)**
  - 7 operations encoded in 256-bit instruction word
  - rotating register file
VLIW Compiler Responsibilities

- Schedule operations to maximize parallel execution

- Guarantees intra-instruction parallelism

- Schedule to avoid data hazards (no interlocks)
  - Typically separates operations with explicit NOPs
for (i=0; i<N; i++)

loop:      fld f1, 0(x1)
           add x1, 8
           fadd f2, f0, f1
           fsd f2, 0(x2)
           add x2, 8
           bne x1, x3,
           loop

How many FP ops/cycle?
1 fadd / 8 cycles = 0.125
Loop Unrolling

for (i=0; i<N; i++)

Unroll inner loop to perform 4 iterations at once

for (i=0; i<N; i+=4)
{
}

Need to handle values of N that are not multiples of unrolling factor with final cleanup loop
## Scheduling Loop Unrolled Code

### Unroll 4 ways

```
loop:  fld f1, 0(x1)
       fld f2, 8(x1)
       fld f3, 16(x1)
       fld f4, 24(x1)
       add x1, 32
       fadd f5, f0, f1
       fadd f6, f0, f2
       fadd f7, f0, f3
       fadd f8, f0, f4
       fsd f5, 0(x2)
       fsd f6, 8(x2)
       fsd f7, 16(x2)
       fsd f8, 24(x2)
       add x2, 32
       bne x1, x3, loop
```

### Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Int1</th>
<th>Int 2</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>FP+</th>
<th>FPx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>loop:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### How many FLOPS/cycle?

4 fadds / 11 cycles = 0.36
### Software Pipelining

**Unroll 4 ways first**

```
loop:  fld f1, 0(x1)  
      fld f2, 8(x1)  
      fld f3, 16(x1) 
      fld f4, 24(x1) 
      add x1, 32    
      fadd f5, f0, f1
      fadd f6, f0, f2
      fadd f7, f0, f3
      fadd f8, f0, f4
      fsd f5, 0(x2)  
      fsd f6, 8(x2)  
      fsd f7, 16(x2) 
      add x2, 32    
      fsd f8, -8(x2)
      bne x1, x3, loop
```

### How many FLOPS/cycle?

4 fadds / 4 cycles = 1
Software Pipelining vs. Loop Unrolling

*Software pipelining pays startup/wind-down costs only once per loop, not once per iteration*
What if there are no loops?

- Branches limit basic block size in control-flow intensive irregular code
- Difficult to find ILP in individual basic blocks
Trace Scheduling \cite{Fisher,Ellis}

- Pick string of basic blocks, a *trace*, that represents most frequent branch path
- Use *profiling feedback* or compiler heuristics to find common branch paths
- Schedule whole “trace” at once
- Add fixup code to cope with branches jumping out of trace
Problems with “Classic” VLIW

- **Object-code compatibility**
  - have to recompile all code for every machine, even for two machines in same generation

- **Object code size**
  - instruction padding wastes instruction memory/cache
  - loop unrolling/software pipelining replicates code

- **Scheduling variable latency memory operations**
  - caches and/or memory bank conflicts impose statically unpredictable variability

- **Knowing branch probabilities**
  - Profiling requires an significant extra step in build process

- **Scheduling for statically unpredictable branches**
  - optimal schedule varies with branch path
VLIW Instruction Encoding

- Schemes to reduce effect of unused fields
  - Compressed format in memory, expand on I-cache refill
    - used in Multiflow Trace
    - introduces instruction addressing challenge
  - Mark parallel groups
    - used in TMS320C6x DSPs, Intel IA-64
  - Provide a single-op VLIW instruction
    - Cydra-5 UniOp instructions
Intel Itanium, EPIC IA-64

- EPIC is the style of architecture (cf. CISC, RISC)
  - Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing (really just VLIW)

- IA-64 is Intel’s chosen ISA (cf. x86, MIPS)
  - IA-64 = Intel Architecture 64-bit
  - An object-code-compatible VLIW

- Merced was first Itanium implementation (cf. 8086)
  - First customer shipment expected 1997 (actually 2001)
  - McKinley, second implementation shipped in 2002
  - Recent version, Poulson, eight cores, 32nm, announced 2011
Eight Core Itanium “Poulson” [Intel 2011]

- 8 cores
- 1-cycle 16KB L1 I&D caches
- 9-cycle 512KB L2 I-cache
- 8-cycle 256KB L2 D-cache
- 32 MB shared L3 cache
- 544mm² in 32nm CMOS
- Over 3 billion transistors
- Cores are 2-way multithreaded
- 6 instruction/cycle fetch
  - Two 128-bit bundles
- Up to 12 insts/cycle execute
IA-64 Instruction Format

128-bit instruction bundle

- Template bits describe grouping of these instructions with others in adjacent bundles
- Each group contains instructions that can execute in parallel
IA-64 Registers

- 128 General Purpose 64-bit Integer Registers
- 128 General Purpose 64/80-bit Floating Point Registers
- 64 1-bit Predicate Registers

- GPRs “rotate” to reduce code size for software pipelined loops
  - Rotation is a simple form of register renaming allowing one instruction to address different physical registers on each iteration
Rotating Register Files

Problems: Scheduled loops require lots of registers,
Lots of duplicated code in prolog, epilog

Solution: Allocate new set of registers for each loop iteration
Rotating Register Base (RRB) register points to base of current register set. Value added on to logical register specifier to give physical register number. Usually, split into rotating and non-rotating registers.
# Rotating Register File
*(Previous Loop Example)*

Three cycle load latency encoded as difference of 3 in register specifier number \((f4 - f1 = 3)\)

Four cycle fadd latency encoded as difference of 4 in register specifier number \((f9 – f5 = 4)\)

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ f1, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ f5, f4, ...)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ f9, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P9, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P13, P12,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P17, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P8, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P12, P11,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P16, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P7, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P11, P10,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P15, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P6, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P10, P9,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P14, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P5, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P9, P8,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P13, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P4, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P8, P7,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P12, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P3, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P7, P6,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P11, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ld}\ P2, ())</td>
<td>(\text{fadd}\ P6, P5,)</td>
<td>(\text{sd}\ P10, ())</td>
<td>\text{bloop}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRB = 8
RRB = 7
RRB = 6
RRB = 5
RRB = 4
RRB = 3
RRB = 2
RRB = 1
**IA-64 Predicated Execution**

**Problem:** Mispredicted branches limit ILP

**Solution:** Eliminate hard to predict branches with predicated execution
- Almost all IA-64 instructions can be executed conditionally under predicate
- Instruction becomes NOP if predicate register false

```
Inst 1
Inst 2
br a==b, b2
```

```
Inst 3
Inst 4
br b3
```

```
Inst 5
Inst 6
```

```
Inst 7
Inst 8
```

Four basic blocks

```
Inst 1
Inst 2
p1,p2 <- cmp(a==b)
(p1) Inst 3 || (p2) Inst 5
(p1) Inst 4 || (p2) Inst 6
Inst 7
Inst 8
```

One basic block

*Mahlke et al, ISCA95: On average >50% branches removed*

**Warning:** Complicates bypassing!
IA-64 Speculative Execution

**Problem:** Branches restrict compiler code motion

**Solution:** Speculative operations that don’t cause exceptions

Particularly useful for scheduling long latency loads early
IA-64 Data Speculation

**Problem:** Possible memory hazards limit code scheduling

**Solution:** Hardware to check pointer hazards

Requires associative hardware in address check table
Limits of Static Scheduling

- Unpredictable branches
- Variable memory latency (unpredictable cache misses)
- Code size explosion
- Compiler complexity

Despite several attempts, VLIW has failed in general-purpose computing arena (so far).
  - More complex VLIW architectures are close to in-order superscalar in complexity, no real advantage on large complex apps.

- Successful in embedded DSP market
  - Simpler VLIWs with more constrained environment, friendlier code.
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