Anonymous Communication and Internet Freedom

CS 161: Computer Security Prof. David Wagner May 2, 2013

Goals For Today

- State-sponsored adversaries
- Anonymous communication
- Internet censorship

State-Sponsored Adversaries



HOW **STUXNET** WORKED



1. infection

Stuxnet enters a system via a USB stick and proceeds to infect all machines running Microsoft Windows. By brandishing a digital certificate that seems to show that it comes from a reliable company, the worm is able to evade automated-detection systems.





2. search

Stuxnet then checks whether a given machine is part of the targeted industrial control system made by Siemens. Such systems are deployed in Iran to run high-speed centrifuges that help to enrich nuclear fuel.

3. update

If the system isn't a target, Stuxnet does nothing; if it is, the worm attempts to access the Internet and download a more recent version of itself.



4. compromise

The worm then compromises the target system's logic controllers, exploiting "zero day" vulnerabilitiessoftware weaknesses that haven't been identified by security experts.



5. control

In the beginning, Stuxnet spies on the operations of the targeted system. Then it uses the information it has gathered to take control of the centrifuges, making them spin themselves to failure.

6. deceive and destroy

Meanwhile, it provides false feedback to outside controllers, ensuring that they won't know what's going wrong until it's too late to do anything about it.

Anonymous Communication

Anonymity

- Anonymity: Concealing your identity
- In the context of the Internet, we may want anonymous communications
 - Communications where the identity of the source and/or destination are concealed
- Not to be confused with confidentiality

Confidentiality is about contents, anonymity is about identities

Anonymity

- Internet anonymity is hard*
 - Difficult if not impossible to achieve on your own
 - Right there in every packet is the source and destination IP address
 - * But it's easy for bad guys.Why?
- You generally need help
- State of the art technique: Ask someone else to send it for you

- (Ok, it's a bit more sophisticated than that...)

Proxies

- Proxy: Intermediary that relays our traffic
- Trusted 3rd party, e.g. ...





Proxies

- Proxy: Intermediary that relays our traffic
- Trusted 3rd party, e.g. ... hidemyass.com
 You set up an encrypted VPN to their site
 All of your traffic goes through them
- Why easy for bad guys? Compromised machines as proxies.

... but ensuring that

- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.

- ... but ensuring that
- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.



Μ



- ... but ensuring that
- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.



- ... but ensuring that
- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.

- ... but ensuring that
- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.

- ... but ensuring that
- Bob doesn't know M is from Alice, and/or
- Eve can't determine that Alice is indeed communicating with Bob.



HMA accepts messages encrypted for it. Extracts destination and forwards.

Proxies

- Proxy: Intermediary that relays our traffic
- Trusted 3rd party, e.g. ... hidemyass.com
 - You set up an encrypted VPN to their site
 - All of your traffic goes through them
 - Why easy for bad guys? Compromised machines as proxies.
- Issues?
 - Performance
 - \$80-\$200/year
 - "Trusted 3rd Party"
 - rubber hose cryptanalysis
 - Government comes a "calling" (Or worse)
 - HMA knows Alice and Bob are communicating
- Can we do better?



• This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie



Μ

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie



 $\{{\sf M},{\sf Bob}\}_{{\sf KDan}}$

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie

Alice

 $\{\{M,Bob\}_{KDan},Dan\}_{KCharlie}$

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie

Alice

 $\{\{\{M, Bob\}_{KDan}, Dan\}_{KCharlie}, Charlie\}_{KHMA}$

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie



 ${ \{ \{ M, Bob \}_{KDan}, Dan \}_{KCharlie}, Charlie \}_{KHMA} }$

- This approach generalizes to an arbitrary number of intermediaries ("mixes")
- Alice ultimately wants to talk to Bob, with the help of HMA, Dan, and Charlie
- As long as any of the mixes is honest, no one can link Alice with Bob
 Alice
 HMA
 Charlie
 {{M, Bob}_{KDan}, Dan}_{KCharlie}, Charlie}
 {{M, Bob}_{KDan}, Dan}_{KCharlie}, Charlie}
 Note: this is what the industrial-strength Tor anonymity service uses.

(It also provides bidirectional communication)



Key concept: No one relay knows both you and the destination!



• Four volunteers, please



• Look under your seat –

Demo

- Look under your seat if you find an envelope and index card, you're in!
 - What advice would you like to give to a student taking (or considering taking) CS 161 in a future semester? Write your advice on the index card. Put it in the small envelope. Address the small envelope to a random Tor mix (2nd hop), and put it in the large envelope, addressed to another Tor mix (1st hop).
- Tor mixes:
 - When you receive an envelope, open it. If it's an envelope, pass on its contents to the next hop. If it's an index card, pass it to me.
- Everyone else: you're an Internet router. Help pass envelopes on to their destination.

Onion Routing Issues/Attacks?

- Performance: message bounces around a lot
- Attack: rubber-hose cryptanalysis of mix operators
 - Defense: use mix servers in different countries
 - Though this makes performance worse :-(
- Attack: adversary operates all of the mixes
 - Defense: have lots of mix servers (Tor today: ~2,000)
- Attack: adversary observes when Alice sends and when Bob receives, links the two together
 - A side channel attack exploits timing information
 - Defenses: pad messages, introduce significant delays
 - Tor does the former, but notes that it's not enough for defense

Internet Censorship

Internet Censorship

- The suppression of Internet communication that may be considered "objectionable," by a government or network entity
- This is frequently (but not exclusively) related to authoritarian regimes
- We're going to skip the politics (sorry), and go to the technical meat



Take these labels with a grain of salt. Read the report for yourself

Source: http://www.freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/FOTN%202012%20summary%20of%20findings.pdf

HOWTO: Censorship

- Requirements:
 - Operate in real time inside of your network
 - Examine large amounts of network traffic
 - Be able to block traffic based on black lists, signatures, or behaviors
- Sounds a lot like a NIDS...

- Spoiler alert: These systems are basically NIDS



On-Path Censors

- On-Path device gets a copy of every packet

 Packets are forwarded on before the on-path device can act (Wait, what?)
- What can we do if we've already forwarded the packet?





This is how the elements of the Great Firewall of China operate

Evasion

- Evading keyword filters
 - NIDS evasion techniques: TTLs, overlapping segments, etc. (see lecture 3/10)
 - Or, simpler: Encryption!
- So that's it right? We'll just encrypt everything, they can't stop that ri...



said "They drop all encrypted connections. This means no https, no IMAP over TLS and no SSH connections. (Im in Iran)."





Evasion

• Evading keyword filters

NIDS evasion techniques: TTLs, overlapping segments, etc. (see lecture 3/10)

- Or, simpler: Encryption!

- So that's it right? We'll just encrypt everything, they can't stop that right wrong
- This is called an arms race

Evasion

- Evading both keyword and IP/Domain blacklists
 - Simple approach: Use a VPN
 - If encryption is not banned this is a great solution
 - Con: Easy to ban the VPN IP, especially if it's public
 - More robust approach
 - Use an onion router like Tor
 - Despite being built for anonymity, it has good censorship resistance properties
 - Tor is the defacto standard for censorship resistance

China Cracks Down on Tor Anonymity Network

A leading anonymity technology is targeted by the Chinese government for the first time.

By David Talbot

Constant arms race between

Tor and censoring governments

For the first time, the Chinese government has attacked one of the best, most secure tools for surfing the Internet anonymously. The clampdown against the tool, called <u>Tor</u>, came in the days leading up to the 60th anniversary of China's "national day" on October 1. It is part of a growing trend in which repressive nations orchestrate massive clampdowns during politically sensitive periods, in addition to trying to maintain Internet firewalls year-round.



"It was the first time the Chinese government has ever even included Tor in any sort of censorship circumvention effort," says Andrew Lewman, the executive director of Tor Project, the nonprofit that maintains the Tor software and network. "They were so worried about October 1, they went to anything that could possibly circumvent their firewall and blocked it."

<u>Tor is one of several systems</u> that route data through intermediate computers called proxies, thereby circumventing government filters. To anyone watching Internet connections, the traffic then seems to be

Takeaways from this course

- I hope you've learned: how to recognize when you might face an adversary; what defenses might be available; and their strengths and limitations.
- If you want to learn more:
 - www.schneier.com (Bruce Schneier's blog)
 - blog.cryptographyengineering.com (Matt Green's blog)
 - Security Engineering (book by Ross Anderson)
 - security.stackexchange.com, crypto.stackexchange.com









Ava

Chris

Drew

Emily

Please thank your hard-working TAs!









Matt

Michael

Neel

Rohin

Announcements

- Final exam in Hearst Gym, 5/14, arrive by 7PM
 - Last names A-L: 230 Hearst Gym
 - Last names M-Z: 237 Hearst Gym
- Review sessions next MWF 3-4pm here, with TAs
 - Monday 5/5: Network security
 - Wednesday 5/7:Web security
 - Friday 5/9: Cryptography

Extra Material

Onion Routing Issues, cont.

- Issue: traffic leakage
- Suppose all of your HTTP/HTTPS traffic goes through Tor, but the rest of your traffic doesn't

- Because you don't want it to suffer performance hit

- How might the operator of sensitive.com deanonymize your web session to their server?
- Answer: they inspect the logs of their DNS server to see who looked up sensitive.com just before your connection to their web server arrived
- **Hard**, general problem: anonymity often at risk when adversary can correlate separate sources of information

Onion Routing Issues, con't

- Issue: application leakage
- Suppose you want to send all your BitTorrent traffic over Tor to hide your IP...
 - (Public service announcement: Please don't do this)
- Problem:
 - BitTorrent includes your computer's actual IP address in the application protocol messages
- What about tracking cookies in your web browser?
- Javascript?

Onion Routing Issues, con't

- Issue: performing deanonymizing actions
- Suppose you want to anonymously search Google

 Great. Right after I check my email,
 paul_pearce_berkeley_cs161_ta@gmail.com
- If you perform some action that intrinsically identifies you, all the technology in the world can't help.

HOWTO: Censorship

- How do we implement censorship?
- Attempt #I: In-Path censor
 - Blacklist of IP addresses, domain names, or keywords



HOWTO: Censorship

- In-path monitoring is **slow**, particularly if inspecting content.
- We need a new censorship architecture:
 On-path censor

Related Activity: Intelligence Gathering

 Using same infrastructure, redirect users to malicious sites, collect information



Fake DigiNotar web certificate risk to Iranians

Fresh evidence has emerged that stolen web security certificates may have been used to spy on people in Iran.

Analysis by Trend Micro suggests a spike in the number of compromised DigiNotar certificates being issued to the Islamic Republic.

It is believed the digital IDs were being used to trick computers into thinking they were directly accessing sites such as Google.

In reality, someone else may have been monitoring the communications.

Hundreds of bogus certificates are thought to have been generated following a hack on Netherlands-based DigiNotar.

The company is owned by US firm Vasco Data Security.

Web passport



Iran was a heavy user of DigiNotar certificates around the time that fake certificates were created

Related Stories

Are secure websites still safe?

Iran accused in 'dire' net attack