

March 31 & April 1, 2015

Question 1 *Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)* (10 min)

In a CSRF attack, a malicious user is able to take action on behalf of the victim. Consider the following example. Mallory posts the following in a comment on a chat forum:

```

```

Of course, Patsy-Bank won't let just anyone request a transaction on behalf of any given account name. Users first need to authenticate with a password. However, once a user has authenticated, Patsy-Bank associates their session ID with an authenticated session state.

- (a) Explain what could happen when Victim Vern visits the chat forum and views Mallory's comment.
- (b) What are possible defenses against this attack?

Question 2 *Session Fixation* (15 min)

Some web application frameworks allow cookies to be set by the URL. For example, visiting the URL

```
http://foobar.edu/page.html?sessionid=42.
```

will result in the server setting the `sessionid` cookie to the value "42".

- (a) Can you spot an attack on this scheme?
- (b) Suppose the problem you spotted has been fixed as follows. `foobar.edu` now establishes new sessions with session IDs based on a hash of the tuple (`username`, `time of connection`). Is this secure? If not, what would be a better approach?

Question 3 *Encryption Modes* (15 min)

Consider the following encryption mode for applying AES-128 with a key K to a message M that consists of l 128-bit blocks M_1, \dots, M_l . The sender first picks a random 128-bit string, C_0 , which is the first block of the ciphertext. Then for $i > 0$, the i^{th} ciphertext block is given by $C_i = C_{i-1} \oplus \text{AES-128}_K(M_i)$. The ciphertext is the concatenation of these individual blocks: $C = C_0 \parallel C_1 \parallel C_2 \parallel \dots \parallel C_l$.

- (a) What is the intent behind the random value C_0 ? (I.e., what is it meant to achieve.)
- (b) Is this mode of encryption secure? If so, state what the desirable properties it has that make it secure. If not, sketch a weakness.

- (c) Suppose we replace the computation of C_i with $C_i = \text{AES-128}_k(C_{i-1} \oplus M_i)$. Does this make the mode of encryption more secure, less secure, or unchanged? Briefly explain your answer.