CS162
Operating Systems and Systems Programming
Lecture 9

Deadlock

September 28, 2005
Prof. John Kubiatowicz
http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

Review: Programming with Monitors

- Monitors represent the logic of the program
  - Wait if necessary
  - Signal when change something so any waiting threads can proceed
- Basic structure of monitor-based program:

```
lock
while (need to wait) {
   condvar.wait();
}
unlock
```

Check and/or update state variables
Wait if necessary

```
do something so no need to wait

lock

condvar.signal();

unlock
```

Check and/or update state variables

Review: Basic Readers/Writers Solution

- Correctness Constraints:
  - Readers can access database when no writers
  - Writers can access database when no readers
  - Only one thread manipulates state variables at a time
- Basic structure of a solution:
  - Reader()
    ```
    Wait until no writers
    Access data base
    Check out – wake up a waiting writer
    ```
  - Writer()
    ```
    Wait until no active readers or writers
    Access database
    Check out – wake up waiting readers or writer
    ```
  - State variables (Protected by a lock called "lock"):
    - int AR: Number of active readers; initially = 0
    - int WR: Number of waiting readers; initially = 0
    - int AW: Number of active writers; initially = 0
    - int WW: Number of waiting writers; initially = 0
    - Condition okToRead = NIL
    - Conditioin okToWrite = NIL

Review: Code for a Reader

```
Reader() {
  // First check self into system
  lock.Acquire();
  while ((AW + WW) > 0) { // Is it safe to read?
    WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
    okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
    WR--;                 // No longer waiting
  }
  AR++;                   // Now we are active!
  lock.release();
  // Perform actual read-only access
  AccessDatabase(ReadOnly);
  // Now, check out of system
  lock.Acquire();
  AR--;                   // No longer active
  if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)  // No other active readers
    okToWrite.signal();   // Wake up one writer
  lock.Release();
}
```

Review: Code for a Writer

```
Writer() {
  // First check self into system
  lock.Acquire();
  while ((AW + AR) > 0) { // Is it safe to write?
    WW++;                 // No. Active users exist
    okToWrite.wait(&lock);  // Sleep on cond var
    WW--;                 // No longer waiting
  }
  AW++;                   // Now we are active!
  lock.release();
  // Perform actual read/write access
  AccessDatabase(ReadWrite);
  // Now, check out of system
  lock.Acquire();
  AW--;                   // No longer active
  if (WW > 0){            // Give priority to writers
    okToWrite.signal();   // Wake up one writer
  } else if (WR > 0) {    // Otherwise, wake reader
    okToRead.broadcast(); // Wake all readers
  }
  lock.Release();
}
```

Goals for Today

- Discuss language support for synchronization
- Discussion of Deadlocks
  - Conditions for its occurrence
  - Solutions for breaking and avoiding deadlock

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne

---

Can we construct Monitors from Semaphores?

- Locking aspect is easy: Just use a mutex
- Can we implement condition variables this way?

```
Wait()   { semaphore.P(); }
Signal() { semaphore.V(); }
```

  - Doesn't work: Wait() may sleep with lock held
- Does this work better?

```
Wait(Lock lock) {
   lock.Release();
   semaphore.P();
   lock.Acquire();
}
Signal() { semaphore.V(); }
```

  - No: Condition vars have no history, semaphores have history:
    - » What if thread signals and no one is waiting? NO-OP
    - » What if thread later waits? Thread Waits
    - » What if thread V's and noone is waiting? Increment
    - » What if thread later does P? Decrement and continue

Construction of Monitors from Semaphores (con't)

- Problem with previous try:
  - P and V are commutative – result is the same no matter what order they occur
  - Condition variables are NOT commutative
- Does this fix the problem?

```
Wait(Lock lock) {
   lock.Release();
   semaphore.P();
   lock.Acquire();
}
Signal() {
   if semaphore queue is not empty
      semaphore.V();
}
```

  - Not legal to look at contents of semaphore queue
  - There is a race condition – signaler can slip in after lock release and before waiter executes semaphore.P()
- It is actually possible to do this correctly
  - Complex solution for Hoare scheduling in book
  - Can you come up with simpler Mesa-scheduled solution?

C-Language Support for Synchronization

- C language: Pretty straightforward synchronization
  - Just make sure you know *all* the code paths out of a critical section

```
int Rtn() {
   lock.acquire();
   …
   if (exception) {
      lock.release();
      return errReturnCode;
   }
   …
   lock.release();
   return OK;
}
```



  - Watch out for `setjmp`/`longjmp`!
    - » Can cause a non-local jump out of procedure
    - » In example, procedure E calls longjmp, poping stack back to procedure B
    - » If Procedure C had lock.acquire, problem!

C++ Language Support for Synchronization

- Languages with exceptions like C++
  - Languages that support exceptions are problematic (easy to make a non-local exit without releasing lock)
  - Consider:

```
void Rtn() {
   lock.acquire();
   …
   DoFoo();
   …
   lock.release();
}
void DoFoo() {
   …
   if (exception) throw errException;
   …
}
```

  - Notice that an exception in `DoFoo()` will exit without releasing the lock

---

C++ Language Support for Synchronization (con't)

- Must catch all exceptions in critical sections
  - Must catch exceptions, release lock, then re-throw the exception:

```
void Rtn() {
   lock.acquire();
   try {
     …
     DoFoo();
     …
   } catch (…) {       // catch exception
     lock.release(); // release lock
     throw;          // re-throw the exception
   }
   lock.release();
}

void DoFoo() {
   …
   if (exception) throw errException;
   …
}
```

Java Language Support for Synchronization

- Java has explicit support for threads and thread synchronization
- Bank Account example:

```
class Account {
   private int balance;
   // object constructor
   public Account (int initialBalance) {
      balance = initialBalance;
   }
   public synchronized int getBalance() {
      return balance;
   }
   public synchronized void deposit(int amount) {
      balance += amount;
   }
}
```

  - Every object has an associated lock which gets automatically acquired and released on entry and exit from a *synchronized* method.

Java Language Support for Synchronization (con't)

- Java also has *synchronized* statements:

```
synchronized (object) {
    …
}
```

  - Since every Java object has an associated lock, this type of statement acquires and releases the object's lock on entry and exit of the body
  - Works properly even with exceptions:

```
synchronized (object) {
  …
  DoFoo();
  …
}
void DoFoo() {
  throw errException;
}
```

Java Language Support for Synchronization (con't 2)

- In addition to a lock, every object has a single condition variable associated with it
  - How to wait inside a synchronization method of block:
    - » `void wait(long timeout); // Wait for timeout`
    - » `void wait(long timeout, int nanoseconds); //variant`
    - » `void wait();`
  - How to signal in a synchronized method or block:
    - » `void notify();    // wakes up oldest waiter`
    - » `void notifyAll(); // like broadcast, wakes everyone`
  - Condition variables can wait for a bounded length of time. This is useful for handling exception cases:

```
t1 = time.now();
while (!ATMRequest()) {
  wait (CHECKPERIOD);
  t2 = time.new();
  if (t2 – t1 > LONG_TIME) checkMachine();
}
```

  - Not all Java VMs equivalent!
    - » Different scheduling policies, not necessarily preemptive!

Administrivia

- Midterm I coming up in two weeks:
  - Wednesday, 10/12, 5:30 – 8:30, Here
  - Should be 2 hour exam with extra time
  - Closed book, one page of hand-written notes (both sides)
  - Topics: Everything up to that Monday, 10/10
- No class on day of Midterm
  - I will post extra office hours for people who have questions about the material (or life, whatever)

Resources

- Resources – passive entities needed by threads to do their work
  - CPU time, disk space, memory



- Two types of resources:
  - Preemptable – can take it away
    - » CPU, Embedded security chip
  - Non-preemptable – must leave it with the thread
    - » Disk space, plotter, chunk of virtual address space
    - » Mutual exclusion – the right to enter a critical section
- Resources may require exclusive access or may be sharable
  - Read-only files are typically sharable
  - Printers are not sharable during time of printing
- One of the major tasks of an operating system is to manage resources

Starvation vs Deadlock

- Starvation vs. Deadlock
  - Starvation: thread waits indefinitely
    - » Example, low-priority thread waiting for resources constantly in use by high-priority threads
  - Deadlock: circular waiting for resources
    - » Thread A owns Res 1 and is waiting for Res 2
      Thread B owns Res 2 and is waiting for Res 1



  - Deadlock ⇒ Starvation but not vice versa
    - » Starvation can end (but doesn't have to)
    - » Deadlock can't end without external intervention

Conditions for Deadlock

- Deadlock doesn't have to be deterministic.
  - Consider mutexes 'x' and 'y':

```
Thread A        Thread B
x.P();          y.P();
y.P();          x.P();
```

  - Deadlock won't always happen with this code
    - » Have to have exactly the right timing ("wrong" timing?)
    - » So you release a piece of software, and you tested it, and there it is, controlling a nuclear power plant
- Deadlocks occur with multiple resources
  - Means you can't decompose the problem
  - Can't solve deadlock for each resource independently
- Example: System with 2 disk drives and two threads
  - Each thread needs 2 disk drives to function
  - Each thread has managed to get one disk and is waiting for another one

---

Bridge Crossing Example



- Each segment of road can be viewed as a resource
  - Car must own the segment under them
  - Must acquire segment that they are moving into
- For bridge: must acquire both halves
  - Traffic only in one direction at a time
  - Problem occurs when two cars in opposite directions on bridge: each acquires one segment and needs next
- If a deadlock occurs, it can be resolved if one car backs up (preempt resources and rollback)
  - Several cars may have to be backed up
- Starvation is possible
  - East-going traffic really fast ⇒ no one goes west

Train Example (Wormhole-Routed Network)

- Circular dependency (Deadlock!)
  - Each train wants to turn right
  - Blocked by other trains
  - Similar problem to multiprocessor networks
- Fix? Imagine grid extends in all four directions
  - Force ordering of channels (tracks)
    - » Protocol: Always go east-west first, then north-south
  - Called "dimension ordering" (X then Y)



Dining Lawyers Problem

- Five chopsticks/Five lawyers (really cheap restaurant)
  - Free-for all: Lawyer will grab any one they can
  - Need two chopsticks to eat
- What if all grab at same time?
  - Deadlock!
- How to fix deadlock?
  - Make one of them give up a chopstick (Hah!)
  - Eventually everyone will get chance to eat
- How to prevent deadlock?
  - Never let lawyer take last chopstick if no hungry lawyer has two chopsticks afterwards

---

Four requirements for Deadlock

- **Mutual exclusion**
  - Only one thread at a time can use a resource.
- **Hold and wait**
  - Thread holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other threads
- **No preemption**
  - Resources are released only voluntarily by the thread holding the resource, after thread is finished with it
- **Circular wait**
  - There exists a set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ of waiting threads
    - » T_1 is waiting for a resource that is held by T_2
    - » T_2 is waiting for a resource that is held by T_3
    - » …
    - » T_n is waiting for a resource that is held by T_1

Resource-Allocation Graph



- System Model
  - A set of Threads $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$
  - Resource types $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$

    CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices
  - Each resource type R_i has W_i instances.
  - Each thread utilizes a resource as follows:
    - » `Request() / Use() / Release()`
- Resource-Allocation Graph:
  - V is partitioned into two types:
    - » $T = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$, the set threads in the system.
    - » $R = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m\}$, the set of resource types in system
  - request edge – directed edge $T_1 \rightarrow R_j$
  - assignment edge – directed edge $R_j \rightarrow T_i$

Resource Allocation Graph Examples

- Recall:
  - request edge – directed edge $T_1 \rightarrow R_j$
  - assignment edge – directed edge $R_j \rightarrow T_i$



Simple Resource Allocation Graph



Allocation Graph With Deadlock



Allocation Graph With Cycle, but No Deadlock

Methods for Handling Deadlocks

- Allow system to enter deadlock and then recover
  - Requires deadlock detection algorithm
  - Some technique for selectively preempting resources and/or terminating tasks
- Ensure that system will *never* enter a deadlock
  - Need to monitor all lock acquisitions
  - Selectively deny those that *might* lead to deadlock
- Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system
  - used by most operating systems, including UNIX

Deadlock Detection Algorithm

- Only one of each type of resource ⇒ look for loops
- More General Deadlock Detection Algorithm
  - Let [X] represent an m-ary vector of non-negative integers (quantities of resources of each type):

`[FreeResources]:`	Current free resources each type
$[\texttt{Request}_X]$:	Current requests from thread X
$[\texttt{Alloc}_X]$:	Current resources held by thread X

  - See if tasks can eventually terminate on their own

```
[Avail] = [FreeResources]
Add all nodes to UNFINISHED
done = true
do {
   Foreach node in UNFINISHED {
      if ([Request_node] <= [Avail]) {
         remove node from UNFINISHED
         [Avail] = [Avail] + [Alloc_node]
         done = false
      }
   }
} until(done)
```



  - Nodes left in UNFINISHED ⇒ deadlocked

What to do when detect deadlock?

- Terminate thread, force it to give up resources
  - In Bridge example, Godzilla picks up a car, hurls it into the river. Deadlock solved!
  - Shoot a dining lawyer
  - This isn't always possible: for instance, with a mutex, can't shoot a thread and leave world inconsistent
- Preempt resources without killing off thread
  - Take away resources from thread temporarily
  - Doesn't always fit with semantics of computation
- Roll back actions of deadlocked threads
  - Hit the rewind button on TIVO, pretend last few minutes never happened
  - For bridge example, make one car roll backwards (may require others behind him)
  - Common technique in databases (transactions)
  - Of course, if you restart in exactly the same way, may reenter deadlock once again
- Many operating systems use other options

Techniques for Preventing Deadlock

- Infinite resources
  - Include enough resources so that no one ever runs out of resources. Doesn't have to be infinite, just large
  - Give illusion of infinite resources (e.g. virtual memory)
  - Examples:
    - » Bay bridge will 12,000 lanes. Never wait!
    - » Infinite disk space (not realistic yet?)
- No Sharing of resources (totally independent threads)
  - Not very realistic
- Don't allow waiting
  - How the phone company avoids deadlock
    - » Call to your Mom in Toledo, works its way through the phone lines, but if blocked get busy signal.
  - Technique used in ethernet/some multiprocessor nets
    - » Everyone speaks at once. If collision, back off and try again
  - Inefficient, since have to keep retrying
    - » Consider: trying to drive to San Francisco; when hit traffic jam, suddenly you were transported bck home and told to try again!

Techniques for Preventing Deadlock (con't)

- Make all threads request everything they'll need at the beginning.
  - Problem: Predicting future is hard, tend to over-estimate resources
  - Example:
    - » If need 2 chopsticks, request both at same time
    - » Don't leave home until we know no one is using any intersection between here and where you want to go; only one car on the Bay Bridge at a time
- Force all threads to request resources in a particular order Prevents any cyclic use of resources
  - Thus preventing deadlock
  - Example
    - » Make tasks request disk, then memory, then…
    - » Keep from deadlock on freeways around SF by requiring everyone to go clockwise

Banker's Algorithm for Preventing Deadlock

- Toward right idea:
  - State maximum resource needs in advance
  - Allow particular thread to proceed if:

    (available resources - #requested) ≥ max remaining that might be needed by any thread
- Banker's algorithm (less conservative):
  - Allocate resources dynamically
    - » Evaluate each request and grant if some ordering of threads is still deadlock free afterward
    - » Technique: pretend each request is granted, then run deadlock detection algorithm, substituting

      $[\text{Max}_{\text{node}}]-[\text{Alloc}_{\text{node}}]$ for $[\text{Request}_{\text{node}}]$

      Grant request if result is deadlock free (conservative!)
    - » Keeps system in a "SAFE" state, i.e. there exists a sequence $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots T_n\}$ with T_1 requesting all remaining resources, finishing, then T_2 requesting all remaining resources, etc..
  - Algorithm allows the sum of maximum resource needs of all current threads to be greater than total resources

Banker's Algorithm Example

- Banker's algorithm with dining lawyers
  - "Safe" (won't cause deadlock) if when try to grab chopstick either:
    - » Not last chopstick
    - » Is last chopstick but someone will have two afterwards
  - What if k-handed lawyers? Don't allow if:
    - » It's the last one, no one would have k
    - » It's 2nd to last, and no one would have k-1
    - » It's 3rd to last, and no one would have k-2
    - » …

Summary

- Language support for synchronization:
  - Be careful of exceptions within critical sections
  - Java provides `synchronized` keyword and one condition-variable per object (with `wait()` and `notify()`)
- Starvation vs. Deadlock
  - Starvation: thread waits indefinitely
  - Deadlock: circular waiting for resources
- Four conditions for deadlocks
  - Mutual exclusion
    - » Only one thread at a time can use a resource
  - Hold and wait
    - » Thread holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other threads
  - No preemption
    - » Resources are released only voluntarily by the threads
  - Circular wait
    - » There exists a set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ of threads with a cyclic waiting pattern

Summary (2)

- Techniques for addressing Deadlock
  - Allow system to enter deadlock and then recover
  - Ensure that system will *never* enter a deadlock
  - Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system
- Deadlock detection
  - Attempts to assess whether waiting graph can every make progress
- Deadlock prevention
  - Assess, for each allocation, whether it has the potential to lead to deadlock
  - Banker's algorithm gives one way to assess this