CS162 Operating Systems and Systems Programming Lecture 15

Page Allocation and Replacement

October 24, 2005 Prof. John Kubiatowicz http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

Review: Demand Paging Mechanisms

• PTE helps us implement demand paging - Valid \Rightarrow Page in memory, PTE points at physical page - Not Valid \Rightarrow Page not in memory; use info in PTE to find it on disk when necessary • Suppose user references page with invalid PTE? - Memory Management Unit (MMU) traps to OS » Resulting trap is a "Page Fault" - What does OS do on a Page Fault?: » Choose an old page to replace » If old page modified ("D=1"), write contents back to disk » Change its PTE and any cached TLB to be invalid » Load new page into memory from disk » Update page table entry, invalidate TLB for new entry » Continue thread from original faulting location - TLB for new page will be loaded when thread continued! - While pulling pages off disk for one process, OS runs another process from ready queue » Suspended process sits on wait queue 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.2

Review: Software-Loaded TLB

- MIPS/Snake/Nachos TLB is loaded by software
 - High TLB hit rate⇒ok to trap to software to fill the TLB, even if slower
 - Simpler hardware and added flexibility: software can maintain translation tables in whatever convenient format
- How can a process run without hardware TLB fill?
 - Fast path (TLB hit with valid=1):
 - » Translation to physical page done by hardware
 - Slow path (TLB hit with valid=0 or TLB miss) » Hardware receives a "TLB Fault"
 - What does OS do on a TLB Fault?
 - » Traverse page table to find appropriate PTE
 - » If valid=1, load page table entry into TLB, continue thread
 - » If valid=0, perform "Page Fault" detailed previously
 - » Continue thread
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Everything is transparent to the user process:
 - It doesn't know about paging to/from disk
- It doesn't even know about software TLB handling 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.3

Review: Transparent Exceptions



- Hardware must help out by saving:
 - Faulting instruction and partial state
 - Processor State: sufficient to restart user thread » Save/restore registers, stack, etc
- \cdot Precise Exception \Rightarrow state of the machine is preserved
 - as if program executed up to the offending instruction
 - All previous instructions completed
 - Offending instruction and all following instructions act as if they have not even started
 - Difficult with pipelining, out-of-order execution, ...
 - MIPS takes this position
- Modern techniques for out-of-order execution and branch prediction help implement precise interrupts 10/24/05
 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Goals for Today

- Page Replacement Policies
 - Clock Algorithm
 - Nth chance algorithm
 - Second-Chance-List Algorithm
- Page Allocation Policies
- Working Set/Thrashing

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne



Demand Paging Example

- Since Demand Paging like caching, can compute average access time! ("Effective Access Time")
 EAT = Hit Rate x Hit Time + Miss Rate x Miss Time
- Example:
 - Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
 - Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
 - Suppose p = Probability of miss, 1-p = Probably of hit
 - Then, we can compute EAT as follows:
 - $EAT = (1 p) \times 200ns + p \times 8 ms$
 - $= (1 p) \times 200 ns + p \times 8,000,000 ns$
 - = 200ns + p × 7,999,800ns
- If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then EAT = 8.2 µs:
 - This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!
- What if want slowdown by less than 10%?

- 200ns x 1.1 < EAT
$$\Rightarrow$$
 p < 2.5 x 10⁻⁶

```
- This is about 1 page fault in 400000!
10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005
```

Steps in Handling a Page Fault



» Need to predict future somehow! More later.

• Capacity Misses:

- Not enough memory. Must somehow increase size.
- Can we do this?
 - » One option: Increase amount of DRAM (not quick fix!)
 - » Another option: If multiple processes in memory: adjust percentage of memory allocated to each one!
- · Conflict Misses:
 - Technically, conflict misses don't exist in virtual memory, since it is a "fully-associative" cache
- · Policy Misses:
 - Caused when pages were in memory, but kicked out prematurely because of the replacement policy

- How to fix? Better replacement policy 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Page Replacement Policies

- · LRU (Least Recently Used): • Why do we care about Replacement Policy? - Replacement is an issue with any cache - Particularly important with pages » The cost of being wrong is high: must go to disk » Must keep important pages in memory, not toss them out FIFO (First In, First Out) • How to implement LRU? Use a list! - Throw out oldest page. Be fair - let every page live in memory for same amount of time. Head-►Page 6 Page 7 Page 1 - Bad, because throws out heavily used pages instead of infrequently used pages • MIN (Minimum): Tail (LRU) -- Replace page that won't be used for the longest time - Great, but can't really know future... - LRU page is at tail - Makes good comparison case, however Problems with this scheme for paging? · RANDOM: - Pick random page for every replacement can change position in list... - Typical solution for TLB's. Simple hardware - Pretty unpredictable - makes it hard to make real-time guarantees
- 10/24/05

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 15.9

Replacement Policies (Con't)

- Replace page that hasn't been used for the longest time - Programs have locality, so if something not used for a while, unlikely to be used in the near future. - Seems like LRU should be a good approximation to MIN. Page 2
 - On each use, remove page from list and place at head
 - Need to know immediately when each page used so that
 - Many instructions for each hardware access
- In practice, people approximate LRU (more later) 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15,10

Example: FIFO

- Suppose we have 3 page frames, 4 virtual pages, and following reference stream:
 - A B C A B D A D B C B
- Consider FIFO Page replacement:



- FIFO: 7 faults.
- When referencing D, replacing A is bad choice, since need A again right away

Example: MIN

- Suppose we have the same reference stream: - A B C A B D A D B C B
- Consider MIN Page replacement:



- MIN: 5 faults
- Where will D be brought in? Look for page not referenced farthest in future.
- What will LRU do?

- Same decisions as MIN here, but won't always be true! Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 10/24/05 Lec 15,12

Lec 15,11

Administrivia

- Exam is graded: grades should be in glookup - Average: 71.2
 - Standard Dev: 12.3
- If you are 2 or more standard-deviations below the mean, you need to do better:
 - You are in danger of getting a D or F
 - Feel free to come to talk with me
- Solutions to the Midterm are up on the Handouts page - They were up there Friday, but don't know if people noticed
- Project 2 autograder:
 - Will be run a couple of times today and tomorrow
 - More times on Wednesday
 - Yet more times on Thursday
- Web mirror:
 - Problem with links after last class: people couldn't get notes
 - » Sorry about that! I am the right person to complain to...
 - There is a mirror of the course web site at: http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~kubitron/cs162

| 10/24/ | 05 |
|--------|----|
|--------|----|

```
Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005
```

Lec 15.13

When will LRU perform badly?

· Consider the following: A B C D A B C D A B C D

• LRU Performs as follows (same as FIFO here):

| Ref: Page: | A | В | С | D | A | В | С | D | A | B | С | D |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A | | | D | | | С | | | В | | |
| 2 | | В | | | A | | | D | | | С | |
| 3 | | | С | | | В | | | A | | | D |

- Every reference is a page fault!

• MIN Does much better:



Graph of Page Faults Versus The Number of Frames



- One desirable property: When you add memory the miss rate goes down
 - Does this always happen?
 - Seems like it should, right?
- No: BeLady's anomaly
 - Certain replacement algorithms (FIFO) don't have this obvious property!

10/24/05

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 15,15

Adding Memory Doesn't Always Help Fault Rate

- Does adding memory reduce number of page faults? - Yes for LRU and MIN
 - Not necessarily for FIFO! (Called Belady's anomaly)



- In contrast, with LRU or MIN, contents of memory with X pages are a subset of contents with X+1 Page

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

10/24/05

Lec 15,16

Implementing LRU

- · Perfect:
 - Timestamp page on each reference
 - Keep list of pages ordered by time of reference
 - Too expensive to implement in reality for many reasons
- Clock Algorithm: Arrange physical pages in circle with single clock hand
 - Approximate LRU (approx to approx to MIN)
- Replace an old page, not the oldest page
- Details:
 - Hardware "use" bit per physical page:
 - » Hardware sets use bit on each reference
 - » If use bit isn't set, means not referenced in a long time
 - » Nachos hardware sets use bit in the TLB; you have to copy this back to page table when TLB entry gets replaced
 - On page fault:
 - » Advance clock hand (not real time)
 - » Check use bit: 1→used recently; clear and leave alone 0→selected candidate for replacement
 - Will always find a page or loop forever?
- » Even if all use bits set will eventually loop around⇒FIFO kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fail 2005

Nth Chance version of Clock Algorithm

- Nth chance algorithm: Give page N chances
 - OS keeps counter per page: # sweeps
 - On page fault, OS checks use bit:
 - » 1⇒clear use and also clear counter (used in last sweep)
 » 0⇒increment counter; if count=N, replace page
 - Means that clock hand has to sweep by N times without page being used before page is replaced
- How do we pick N?
 - Why pick large N? Better approx to LRU » If N ~ 1K, really good approximation
 - Why pick small N? More efficient
 - » Otherwise might have to look a long way to find free page
- What about dirty pages?
 - Takes extra overhead to replace a dirty page, so give dirty pages an extra chance before replacing?
 - Common approach:

10/24/05

- » Clean pages, use N=1
- » Dirty pages, use N=2 (and write back to disk when N=1) Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.19

Clock Algorithm: Not Recently Used



- Lots of page faults and/or lots of reference bits set
- One way to view clock algorithm:
 - Crude partitioning of pages into two groups: young and old

- Why not partition into more than 2 groups?

Lec 15.18

Clock Algorithms: Details

- Which bits of a PTE entry are useful to us?
 - Use: Set when page is referenced; cleared by clock algorithm
 - Modified: set when page is modified, cleared when page written to disk
 - Valid: ok for program to reference this page
 - Read-only: ok for program to read page, but not modify » For example for catching modifications to code pages!
- Do we really need hardware-supported "modified" bit?
 - No. Can emulate it (BSD Unix) using read-only bit
 - » Initially, mark all pages as read-only, even data pages
 - » On write, trap to OS. OS sets modified bit, marks page as read-write.
 - » Whenever page comes back in from disk, mark read-only

Clock Algorithms Details (continued)

- Do we really need a hardware-supported "use" bit?
 - No. Can emulate it similar to above:
 - » Mark all pages as invalid, even if in memory
 - » On read to invalid page, trap to OS
 - » OS sets use bit, and marks page read-only
 - Get modified bit in same way as previous:
 - » On write, trap to OS (either invalid or read-only)
 - » Set use and modified bits, mark page read-write
 - When clock hand passes by, reset use and modified bits and mark page as invalid again
- Remember, however, that clock is just an approximation of LRU
 - Can we do a better approximation, given that we have to take page faults on some reads and writes to collect use information?
 - Need to identify an old page, not oldest page!
- Answer: second chance list 4/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 10/24/05

```
Lec 15.21
```





- Split memory in two: Active list (RW), SC list (Invalid)
- · Access pages in Active list at full speed
- Otherwise, Page Fault
 - Always move overflow page from end of Active list to front of Second-chance list (SC) and mark invalid
 - Desired Page On SC List: move to front of Active list. mark RW
 - Not on SC list: page in to front of Active list, mark RW; page out LRU victim at end of SC list Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

```
10/24/05
```

Lec 15.22

Second-Chance List Algorithm (con't)

• How many pages for second chance list?

- If $0 \Rightarrow$ FIFO

- If all \Rightarrow LRU, but page fault on every page reference
- Pick intermediate value. Result is:
 - Pro: Few disk accesses (page only goes to disk if unused for a long time)
 - Con: Increased overhead trapping to OS (software / hardware tradeoff)
- With page translation, we can adapt to any kind of access the program makes
 - Later, we will show how to use page translation / protection to share memory between threads on widely separated machines
- Question: why didn't VAX include "use" bit?
 - Strecker (architect) asked OS people, they said they didn't need it, so didn't implement it
- He later got blammed, but VAX did OK anyway Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15,23



- Keep set of free pages ready for use in demand paging - Freelist filled in background by Clock algorithm or other technique ("Pageout demon")
 - Dirty pages start copying back to disk when enter list
- · Like VAX second-chance list
- If page needed before reused, just return to active set • Advantage: Faster for page fault
- Can always use page (or pages) immediately on fault 24/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 10/24/05 Lec 15,24

10/24/05

| Demand Paging (more details) | Allocation of Page Frames (Memory Pages) How do we allocate memory among different processes? Does every process get the same fraction of memory? Different fractions? Should we completely swap some processes out of memory? Each process needs <i>minimum</i> number of pages | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Does software-loaded TLB need use bit? Two Options: | | | | | | | |
| Hardware sets use bit in TLB; when TLB entry is replaced, software copies use bit back to page table | | | | | | | |
| Software manages TLB entries as FIFO list; everything not in TLB is Second-Chance list, managed as strict LRU | Want to make sure that all processes that are loaded into memory can make forward progress Example: IBM 370 - 6 pages to handle SS MOVE instruction: » instruction is 6 bytes, might span 2 pages » 2 pages to handle from » 2 pages to handle to Possible Replacement Scopes: Global replacement - process selects replacement frame from set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another | | | | | | |
| · Core Map | | | | | | | |
| - Page tables map virtual page $ ightarrow$ physical page | | | | | | | |
| - Do we need a reverse mapping (i.e. physical page \rightarrow virtual page)? | | | | | | | |
| » Yes. Clock algorithm runs through page frames. If sharing, | | | | | | | |
| then multiple virtual-pages per physical page » Can't push page out to disk without invalidating all PTEs | | | | | | | |
| | Local replacement – each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames | | | | | | |
| 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.25 | 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.26 | | | | | | |

Fixed/Priority Allocation

- Equal allocation (Fixed Scheme):
 - Every process gets same amount of memory
 - Example: 100 frames, 5 processes⇒process gets 20 frames
- Proportional allocation (Fixed Scheme)
 - Allocate according to the size of process
 - Computation proceeds as follows:
 - s_i = size of process p_i and $S = \Sigma s_i$
 - \dot{m} = total number of frames

$$a_i$$
 = allocation for $p_i = \frac{s_i}{S} \times m$

Priority Allocation:

- Proportional scheme using priorities rather than size » Same type of computation as previous scheme
- Possible behavior: If process *p*, generates a page fault, select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number
- Perhaps we should use an adaptive scheme instead???
- What if some application just needs more memory? 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.27

Page-Fault Frequency Allocation

• Can we reduce Capacity misses by dynamically changing the number of pages/application?



- Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate
 - If actual rate too low, process loses frame
 - If actual rate too high, process gains frame
- \cdot Question: What if we just don't have enough memory?





- $\Delta \equiv$ working-set window \equiv fixed number of page references
 - Example: 10,000 instructions
- WS_i (working set of Process P_i) = total set of pages referenced in the most recent Δ (varies in time)
 - if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality
 - if Δ too large will encompass several localities
 - if Δ = ∞ \Rightarrow will encompass entire program
- $D = \Sigma | WS_i | \equiv \text{total demand frames}$
- · if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing
 - Policy: if D > m, then suspend one of the processes
- This can improve overall system behavior by a lot! 10/24/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 15.31

What about Compulsory Misses?

- Recall that compulsory misses are misses that occur the first time that a page is seen
 - Pages that are touched for the first time
 - Pages that are touched after process is swapped out/swapped back in
- Clustering:
 - On a page-fault, bring in multiple pages "around" the faulting page
 - Since efficiency of disk reads increases with sequential reads, makes sense to read several sequential pages
- Working Set Tracking:
 - Use algorithm to try to track working set of application
 - When swapping process back in, swap in working set

Summary

• Replacement policies

- FIFO: Place pages on queue, replace page at end
- MIN: Replace page that will be used farthest in future
- LRU: Replace page used farthest in past
- Clock Algorithm: Approximation to LRU
 - Arrange all pages in circular list
 - Sweep through them, marking as not "in use"
 - If page not "in use" for one pass, than can replace
- Nth-chance clock algorithm: Another approx LRU
 - Give pages multiple passes of clock hand before replacing
- Second-Chance List algorithm: Yet another approx LRU
 - Divide pages into two groups, one of which is truly LRU and managed on page faults.
- Working Set:
 - Set of pages touched by a process recently
- Thrashing: a process is busy swapping pages in and out
 - Process will thrash if working set doesn't fit in memory
 - Need to swap out a process

10/24/05

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 15.33