CS162 Operating Systems and Systems Programming Lecture 23

Network Communication Abstractions / **Remote Procedure Call**

November 21, 2005 Prof. John Kubiatowicz http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

Review: Reliable Networking

	 Layering: building complex services from simpler ones Datagram: an independent, self-contained network message whose arrival, arrival time, and content are not guaranteed Performance metrics Overhead: CPU time to put packet on wire Throughput: Maximum number of bytes per second Latency: time until first bit of packet arrives at receiver Arbitrary Sized messages: Fragment into multiple packets; reassemble at destination Ordered messages: Use sequence numbers and reorder at destination Reliable messages: Use Acknowledgements Want a window larger than 1 in order to increase throughput
= bad	Review: TCP Windows and Sequence Numbers • TCP provides a stream abstraction: • Reliable byte stream between two processes on different machines over Internet (read, write, flush) • Input is an unbounded stream of bytes • Output is identical stream of bytes (same order) Image: Sender has three regions: Sender has three regions:
e	 Window (colored region) adjusted by sender Receiver has three regions:
yed? essage	Received Received Not yet Given to app Buffered received Receiver - Maximum size of window advertised to sender at setup
c 23.3	11/21/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 23.4



- Receiver acknowledges (by sending "ack") when packet received properly at destination
- Timeout at sender: if no ack, retransmit
- Some guestions:
 - If the sender doesn't get an ack, does that mean the receiver didn't get the original message? » No
 - What it ack gets dropped? Or if message gets delay » Sender doesn't get ack, retransmits. Receiver gets me twice, acks each.

Le

Goals for Today

- Finish discussion of TCP
- Messages
 - Send/receive
 - One vs. two-way communication
- Distributed Decision Making
 - Two-phase commit/Byzantine Commit
- Remote Procedure Call

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne

				_
- 1	17	21	/0	5

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 23.5



• Vanilla TCP Acknowledgement

- Every message encodes Sequence number and Ack
- Can include data for forward stream and/or ack for reverse stream
- Selective Acknowledgement
 - Acknowledgement information includes not just one number, but rather ranges of received packets
 - Must be specially negotiated at beginning of TCP setup
- » Not widely in use (although in Windows since Windows 98) 11/21/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 23.7

Window-Based Acknowledgements (TCP)



Congestion Avoidance

Congestion

- How long should timeout be for re-sending messages?
 - » Too long→wastes time if message lost
- » Too short—retransmit even though ack will arrive shortly
- Stability problem: more congestion \Rightarrow ack is delayed \Rightarrow unnecessary timeout \Rightarrow more traffic \Rightarrow more congestion
 - » Closely related to window size at sender: too big means putting too much data into network
- How does the sender's window size get chosen?
 - Must be less than receiver's advertised buffer size
 - Try to match the rate of sending packets with the rate that the slowest link can accommodate
 - Sender uses an adaptive algorithm to decide size of N
 - » Goal: fill network between sender and receiver
 - » Basic technique: slowly increase size of window until acknowledgements start being delayed/lost
- Specifically TCP solution: "slow start"
 - Start sending slowly
 - If no timeout, slowly increase window size (throughput)

- Timeout \Rightarrow congestion, so cut window size in half 11/21/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 23.8

Sequence-Number Initialization

- How do you choose an initial sequence number?
 - When machine boots, ok to start with sequence #0?
 - » No: could send two messages with same sequence #!
 - » Receiver might end up discarding valid packets, or duplicate ack from original transmission might hide lost packet
 - Also, if it is possible to predict sequence numbers, might be possible for attacker to hijack TCP connection
- Some ways of choosing an initial sequence number:
 - Time to live: each packet has a deadline.
 - » If not delivered in X seconds, then is dropped
 - » Thus, can re-use sequence numbers if wait for all packets in flight to be delivered or to expire
 - Epoch #: uniquely identifies which set of sequence numbers are currently being used
 - » Epoch # stored on disk, Put in every message
 - » epoch # incremented on crash and/or when run out of sequence #
 - Pseudo-random increment to previous sequence number

```
» Used by several implementations at this time
11/21/05
                        Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005
```

```
Administrivia
```

- · Cal Bears Rock!
 - 27 to 3 over Stanford
 - Quite a game: down at Stanford but more Cal fans than Stanford Fans
 - Also: Stanford fans don't seem to understand "the wave"
- My office hours
 - No office hours Thursday (Thanksgiving)
- Project 4 design document
 - Due Monday November 28th
- MIDTERM II: Wednesday November 30th or Monday December 5th?
 - 5:30-8:30pm, 10 Evans
 - All material from last midterm and up to previous class
 - Includes virtual memory

• Final Topics: Any suggestions?

- Final Exam
 - December 17th, 12:30 3:30, 220 Hearst Gym

```
11/21/05
```

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 23,10

Use of TCP: Sockets

- · Socket: an abstraction of a network I/O gueue - Embodies one side of a communication channel » Same interface regardless of location of other end » Could be local machine (called "UNIX socket") or remote machine (called "network socket") - First introduced in 4.2 BSD UNIX: big innovation at time » Now most operating systems provide some notion of socket • Using Sockets for Client-Server (C/C++ interface): - On server: set up "server-socket" » Create socket, Bind to protocol (TCP), local address, port » call listen(): tells server socket to accept incoming requests
 - » Perform multiple accept() calls on socket to accept incoming connection request
 - » Each successful accept() returns a new socket for a new connection; can pass this off to handler thread
 - On client:
 - » Create socket, Bind to protocol (TCP), remote address, port
 - » Perform connect() on socket to make connection
 - » If connect() successful, have socket connected to server

while(true) { Socket client = sock.accept();

PrintWriter pout = new

Socket Example (Java)

PrintWriter(client.getOutputStream(),true);

pout.println("Here is data sent to client!");

//Makes socket, binds addr/port, calls listen()

ServerSocket sock = new ServerSocket(6013);

client.close();

client:

server:

```
// Makes socket, binds addr/port, calls connect()
        Socket sock = new Socket("169.229.60.38",6018);
        BufferedReader bin =
           new BufferedReader(
             new InputStreamReader(sock.getInputStream));
        String line;
        while ((line = bin.readLine())!=null)
           System.out.println(line);
        sock.close();
11/21/05
                    Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005
                                                     Lec 23,12
```

11/21/05

Lec 23,11

Lec 23.9

Distributed Applications



Using Messages: Send/Receive behavior

- When should send (message, mbox) return?
 - When receiver gets message? (i.e. ack received)
 - When message is safely buffered on destination?
 - Right away, if message is buffered on source node?
- Actually two questions here:
 - When can the sender be sure that receive actually received the message?
 - When can sender reuse the memory containing message?
- Mailbox provides 1-way communication from $T1 \rightarrow T2$
 - T1 \rightarrow buffer \rightarrow T2
 - Very similar to producer/consumer
 - » Send = V, Receive = P
 - » However, can't tell if sender/receiver is local or not!

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 23,14

Messaging for Producer-Consumer Style

• Using send/receive for producer-consumer style:



- No need for producer/consumer to keep track of space in mailbox: handled by send/receive
 - One of the roles of the window in TCP: window is size of buffer on far end
 - Restricts sender to forward only what will fit in buffer

Messaging for Request/Response communication



General's Paradox

• General's paradox:

- Constraints of problem:
 - » Two generals, on separate mountains
 - » Can only communicate via messengers
 - » Messengers can be captured
- Problem: need to coordinate attack
 - » If they attack at different times, they all die
 - » If they attack at same time, they win
- Named after Custer, who died at Little Big Horn because he arrived a couple of days too early
- Can messages over an unreliable network be used to guarantee two entities do something simultaneously?
 - Remarkably, "no", even if all messages get through



- No way to be sure last message gets through!

Lec 23,17

Two-Phase Commit

- Since we can't solve the General's Paradox (i.e. simultaneous action), let's solve a related problem - Distributed transaction: Two machines agree to do something, or not do it, atomically Two-Phase Commit protocol does this - Use a persistent, stable log on each machine to keep track of whether commit has happened » If a machine crashes, when it wakes up it first checks its log to recover state of world at time of crash - Prepare Phase: » The global coordinator requests that all participants will promise to commit or rollback the transaction » Participants record promise in log, then acknowledge » If anyone votes to abort, coordinator writes "Abort" in its log and tells everyone to abort; each records "Abort" in log - Commit Phase: » After all participants respond that they are prepared, then the coordinator writes "Commit" to its log » Then asks all nodes to commit; they respond with ack » After receive acks, coordinator writes "Got Commit" to log - Log can be used to complete this process such that all
 - 11/21/05
- Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

machines either commit or don't commit

Lec 23,18

Two phase commit example

- Simple Example: A=ATM machine, B=The Bank
 - Phase 1: Prepare Phase
 - » A writes "Begin transaction" to log A→B: OK to transfer funds to me?
 - » Not enough funds:
 - $B \rightarrow A$: transaction aborted; A writes "Abort" to log » Enough funds:
 - B: Write new account balance & promise to commit to log $B \rightarrow A$: OK, I can commit
 - Phase 2: A can decide for both whether they will commit » A: write new account balance to log
 - » Write "Commit" to log
 - » Send message to B that commit occurred; wait for ack
- » Write "Got Commit" to log
- What if B crashes at beginning?
- Wakes up, does nothing; A will timeout, abort and retry
- What if A crashes at beginning of phase 2?
 - Wakes up, sees that there is a transaction in progress; sends "Abort" to B
- What if B crashes at beginning of phase 2?

 B comes back up, looks at log; when A sends it "Commit" message, it will say, "oh, ok, commit"
 11/21/05 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 23.19

Distributed Decision Making Discussion

- Why is distributed decision making desirable? - Fault Tolerance!
 - A group of machines can come to a decision even if one or more of them fail during the process
 - » Simple failure mode called "failstop" (different modes later) - After decision made, result recorded in multiple places
- Undesirable feature of Two-Phase Commit: Blocking
 - One machine can be stalled until another site recovers:
 - » Site B writes "prepared to commit" record to its log, sends a "yes" vote to the coordinator (site A) and crashes » Site A crashes
 - » Site B wakes up, check its log, and realizes that it has voted "yes" on the update. It sends a message to site A asking what happened. At this point, B cannot decide to abort, because update may have committed
 - » B is blocked until A comes back
 - A blocked site holds resources (locks on updated items, pages pinned in memory, etc) until learns fate of update
- Alternative: There are alternatives such as "Three Phase Commit" which don't have this blocking problem
- What happens if one or more of the nodes is malicious?

- Malicious: attempting to compromise the decision making 11/21/05 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 23.20



Remote Procedure Call

- Raw messaging is a bit too low-level for programming
 - Must wrap up information into message at source
 - Must decide what to do with message at destination
 - May need to sit and wait for multiple messages to arrive
- Better option: Remote Procedure Call (RPC)
 - Calls a procedure on a remote machine
 - Client calls:
 - remoteFileSystem→Read("rutabaga");
 - Translated automatically into call on server: fileSys→Read("rutabaga");
- Implementation:
 - Request-response message passing (under covers!)
 - "Stub" provides glue on client/server
 - » Client stub is responsible for "marshalling" arguments and "unmarshalling" the return values
 - » Server-side stub is responsible for "unmarshalling" arguments and "marshalling" the return values.
- Marshalling involves (depending on system)
- Converting values to a canonical form, serializing objects, copying arguments passed by reference, etc. 11/21/05 Rubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 Lec 23.23

RPC Information Flow



RPC Details

- Equivalence with regular procedure call
 - Parameters ⇔ Request Message

- Result ⇔ Reply message

- Name of Procedure: Passed in request message
- Return Address: mbox2 (client return mail box)
- Stub generator: Compiler that generates stubs
 - Input: interface definitions in an "interface definition language (IDL)"
 - » Contains, among other things, types of arguments/return
 - Output: stub code in the appropriate source language
 - » Code for client to pack message, send it off, wait for result, unpack result and return to caller
 - » Code for server to unpack message, call procedure, pack results, send them off
- Cross-platform issues:
 - What if client/server machines are different architectures or in different languages?
 - » Convert everything to/from some canonical form
 - » Tag every item with an indication of how it is encoded (avoids unnecessary conversions).

11/21/05

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005

Lec 23.25

Lec 23,27

RPC Details (continued)

 How does client know which mbox to send to? - Need to translate name of remote service into network endpoint (Remote machine, port, possibly other info) - Binding: the process of converting a user-visible name into a network endpoint » This is another word for "naming" at network level » Static: fixed at compile time » Dynamic: performed at runtime Dynamic Binding - Most RPC systems use dynamic binding via name service » Name service provides dynmaic translation of service—mbox - Why dynamic binding? » Access control: check who is permitted to access service » Fail-over: If server fails, use a different one What if there are multiple servers? - Could give flexibility at binding time » Choose unloaded server for each new client - Could provide same mbox (router level redirect) » Choose unloaded server for each new request » Only works if no state carried from one call to next What if multiple clients? - Pass pointer to client-specific return mbox in request Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005 11/21/05 Lec 23.26

Problems with RPC

Non-Atomic failures

- Different failure modes in distributed system than on a single machine
- Consider many different types of failures
 - » User-level bug causes address space to crash
 - » Machine failure, kernel bug causes all processes on same machine to fail
 - » Some machine is compromised by malicious party
- Before RPC: whole system would crash/die
- After RPC: One machine crashes/compromised while others keep working
- Can easily result in inconsistent view of the world
 - » Did my cached data get written back or not?
 - » Did server do what I requested or not?
- Answer? Distributed transactions/Byzantine Commit
- Performance
 - Cost of Procedure call « same-machine RPC « network RPC
 - Means programmers must be aware that RPC is not free » Caching can help, but may make failure handling complex

11/21/05

- "Remote" procedure call (2-way communication)
- RPC's can be used to communicate between address spaces on different machines on the same machine

- Shared Memory with Semaphores, monitors, etc...

Cross-Domain Communication/Location Transparency
How do address spaces communicate with one another?

- Services can be run wherever it's most appropriate
- Access to local and remote services looks the same
- Examples of modern RPC systems:

- Pipes (1-way communication)

- CORBA (Common Object Request Broker Architecture)
- DCOM (Distributed COM)

- File System

- RMI (Java Remote Method Invocation)

Microkernel operating systems

• Example: split kernel into application-level servers. - File system looks remote, even though on same machine



- Why split the OS into separate domains?
 - Fault isolation: bugs are more isolated (build a firewall)
 - Enforces modularity: allows incremental upgrades of pieces of software (client'or server)
 - Location transparent: service can be local or remote
 - » For example in the X windowing system: Each X client can be on a separate machine from X server; Neither has to run on the machine with the frame buffer.

11/21/05	Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2005	Lec 23.29

Conclusion

• TCP: Reliable byte stream between two processes on different machines over Internet (read, write, flush) - Uses window-based acknowledgement protocol

- Congestion-avoidance dynamically adapts sender window to account for congestion in network
- Two-phase commit: distributed decision making
 - First, make sure everyone guarantees that they will commit if asked (prepare)
 - Next, ask everyone to commit
- Byzantine General's Problem: distributed decision making with malicious failures
 - One general, n-1 lieutenants: some number of them may be malicious (often "f" of them)
 - All non-malicious lieutenants must come to same decision
 - If general not malicious, lieutenants must follow general
 - Only solvable if $n \ge 3f+1$
- Remote Procedure Call (RPC): Call procedure on remote machine
 - Provides same interface as procedure
- Automatic packing and unpacking of arguments without user programming (in stub)

Lec 23.30