## CS162 Operating Systems and Systems Programming Lecture 16


# Page Allocation and Replacement (con't) I/O Systems

October 25, 2006 Prof. John Kubiatowicz http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

## **Review: Page Replacement Policies**

#### • FIFO (First In, First Out) - Throw out oldest page. Be fair - let every page live in memory for same amount of time. - Bad, because throws out heavily used pages instead of infrequently used pages • MIN (Minimum): - Replace page that won't be used for the longest time - Great, but can't really know future... - Makes good comparison case, however · RANDOM: - Pick random page for every replacement - Typical solution for TLB's. Simple hardware - Pretty unpredictable - makes it hard to make real-time auarantees • LRU (Least Recently Used): - Replace page that hasn't been used for the longest time - Programs have locality, so if something not used for a while, unlikely to be used in the near future. - Seems like LRU should be a good approximation to MIN. 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 16.2


#### Review: Clock Algorithm: Not Recently Used



## Review: N<sup>th</sup> Chance version of Clock Algorithm

- N<sup>th</sup> chance algorithm: Give page N chances
  - OS keeps counter per page: # sweeps
  - On page fault, OS checks use bit:
    - » 1⇒clear use and also clear counter (used in last sweep)
       » 0⇒increment counter; if count=N, replace page
  - Means that clock hand has to sweep by N times without page being used before page is replaced
- How do we pick N?
  - Why pick large N? Better approx to LRU
    - » If N ~ 1K, really good approximation
  - Why pick small N? More efficient
  - » Otherwise might have to look a long way to find free page
- What about dirty pages?
  - Takes extra overhead to replace a dirty page, so give dirty pages an extra chance before replacing?
  - Common approach:
    - » Clean pages, use N=1
- » Dirty pages, use N=2 (and write back to disk when N=1) 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 16.4

#### Review: Second-Chance List Algorithm (VAX/VMS)



- Split memory in two: Active list (RW), SC list (Invalid)
- Access pages in Active list at full speed
- Otherwise, Page Fault
  - Always move overflow page from end of Active list to front of Second-chance list (SC) and mark invalid
  - Desired Page On SC List: move to front of Active list, mark RW
  - Not on SC list: page in to front of Active list, mark RW; page out LRU victim at end of SC list

		-	-
10	/25/	INZ	
10	120		

```
Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006
```

Goals for Today

- Finish Page Allocation Policies
- Working Set/Thrashing
- I/O Systems
  - Hardware Access
  - Device Drivers


Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne. Many slides generated from my lecture notes by Kubiatowicz.

```
10/25/06
```

Lec 16.5

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 16.6



- Keep set of free pages ready for use in demand paging
   Freelist filled in background by Clock algorithm or other technique ("Pageout demon")
  - Dirty pages start copying back to disk when enter list
- Like VAX second-chance list
  - If page needed before reused, just return to active set
- Advantage: Faster for page fault
- Can always use page (or pages) immediately on fault 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 16.7

#### Demand Paging (more details)

- Does software-loaded TLB need use bit? Two Options:
  - Hardware sets use bit in TLB; when TLB entry is replaced, software copies use bit back to page table
  - Software manages TLB entries as FIFO list; everything not in TLB is Second-Chance list, managed as strict LRU
- Core Map
  - Page tables map virtual page  $\rightarrow$  physical page
  - Do we need a reverse mapping (i.e. physical page  $\rightarrow$  virtual page)?
    - » Yes. Clock algorithm runs through page frames. If sharing, then multiple virtual-pages per physical page
    - » Can't push page out to disk without invalidating all PTEs

#### Allocation of Page Frames (Memory Pages)

- How do we allocate memory among different processes?
  - Does every process get the same fraction of memory? Different fractions?
  - Should we completely swap some processes out of memory?
- Each process needs *minimum* number of pages
  - Want to make sure that all processes that are loaded into memory can make forward progress
  - Example: IBM 370 6 pages to handle SS MOVE instruction:
    - » instruction is 6 bytes, might span 2 pages
    - » 2 pages to handle *from*
    - » 2 pages to handle to
- Possible Replacement Scopes:
  - Global replacement process selects replacement frame from set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
  - Local replacement each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames Lec 16.9

10/25/06	Kubiato	wicz (	CS162	©UCB	Fall	2006	

## **Fixed/Priority Allocation**

- Equal allocation (Fixed Scheme):
  - Every process gets same amount of memory
  - Example: 100 frames, 5 processes⇒process gets 20 frames
- Proportional allocation (Fixed Scheme)
  - Allocate according to the size of process
  - Computation proceeds as follows:
    - $s_i$  = size of process  $p_i$  and  $S = \Sigma s_i$

 $\dot{m}$  = total number of frames

$$a_i$$
 = allocation for  $p_i = \frac{S_i}{S} \times m$ 


- Priority Allocation:
  - Proportional scheme using priorities rather than size » Same type of computation as previous scheme
  - Possible behavior: If process  $p_i$  generates a page fault, select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number
- Perhaps we should use an adaptive scheme instead???
- What if some application just needs more memory? Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 10/25/06 Lec 16.10

#### **Administrivia**

- Would you like an extra 5% for your course grade?
- Attend lectures and sections!
  - 5% of your grade is class participation
  - Midterm 1 was only 15%
- We have an anonymous feedback link on the course homepage
  - Please use to give feedback on course
  - Monday: We will have a survey to fill out
- · Looking into giving phase one autograder additional suite of priority scheduling tests
  - Submit to proj1-test
  - This will be a one-time change to help those groups still working on their priority schedulers
  - Not ready yet, but may be soon (will post announcement)
- Project 2 due tomorrow at midnight!
  - Autograder is running intermitantly choose your tests carefully!


## **Page-Fault Frequency Allocation**

• Can we reduce Capacity misses by dynamically changing the number of pages/application?



- Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate
  - If actual rate too low, process loses frame
  - If actual rate too high, process gains frame
- Question: What if we just don't have enough memory?

10/25/06



# Working-Set Model page reference table ... 2615777751623412344434344413234443444

 $WS(t_2) = \{3,4\}$ 

- $\Delta \equiv$  working-set window  $\equiv$  fixed number of page references
  - Example: 10,000 instructions

 $WS(t_1) = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7\}$ 

- $WS_i$  (working set of Process  $P_i$ ) = total set of pages referenced in the most recent  $\Delta$  (varies in time)
  - if  $\Delta$  too small will not encompass entire locality
  - if  $\Delta$  too large will encompass several localities
  - if  $\Delta$  =  $\infty$   $\Rightarrow$  will encompass entire program
- $D = \Sigma |WS_i| = \text{total demand frames}$
- · if  $D > m \Rightarrow$  Thrashing
  - Policy: if D > m, then suspend one of the processes
- This can improve overall system behavior by a lot! 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 16.15

# What about Compulsory Misses?

- Recall that compulsory misses are misses that occur the first time that a page is seen
  - Pages that are touched for the first time
  - Pages that are touched after process is swapped out/swapped back in
- Clustering:
  - On a page-fault, bring in multiple pages "around" the faulting page
  - Since efficiency of disk reads increases with sequential reads, makes sense to read several sequential pages
- Working Set Tracking:
  - Use algorithm to try to track working set of application
  - When swapping process back in, swap in working set

#### Demand Paging Summary

- Replacement policies
  - FIFO: Place pages on queue, replace page at end
  - MIN: Replace page that will be used farthest in future
  - LRU: Replace page used farthest in past
- Clock Algorithm: Approximation to LRU
  - Arrange all pages in circular list
  - Sweep through them, marking as not "in use"
  - If page not "in use" for one pass, than can replace
- N<sup>th</sup>-chance clock algorithm: Another approx LRU
  - Give pages multiple passes of clock hand before replacing
- Second-Chance List algorithm: Yet another approx LRU
  - Divide pages into two groups, one of which is truly LRU and managed on page faults.
- Working Set:
  - Set of pages touched by a process recently
- Thrashing: a process is busy swapping pages in and out
  - Process will thrash if working set doesn't fit in memory
    - Need to swap out a process
- 10/25/06


Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 16.17


#### The Requirements of I/O

- So far in this course:
  - We have learned how to manage CPU, memory
- What about I/O?
  - Without I/O, computers are useless (disembodied brains?)
  - But... thousands of devices, each slightly different
     » How can we standardize the interfaces to these devices?
  - Devices unreliable: media failures and transmission errors » How can we make them reliable???
  - Devices unpredictable and/or slow
    - » How can we manage them if we don't know what they will do or how they will perform?
- Some operational parameters:
  - Byte/Block
    - » Some devices provide single byte at a time (e.g. keyboard)
    - » Others provide whole blocks (e.g. disks, networks, etc)
  - Sequential/Random
    - » Some devices must be accessed sequentially (e.g. tape)
    - » Others can be accessed randomly (e.g. disk, cd, etc.)
  - Polling/Interrupts
    - » Some devices require continual monitoring





## Example Device-Transfer Rates (Sun Enterprise 6000)



• Device Rates vary over many orders of magnitude - System better be able to handle this wide range

- Better not have high overhead/byte for fast devices!

- Better not waste time waiting for slow devices

## The Goal of the I/O Subsystem

• Block Devices: e.g. disk drives, tape drives, DVD-ROM • Provide Uniform Interfaces, Despite Wide Range of - Access blocks of data **Different** Devices - Commands include open(), read(), write(), seek() - This code works on many different devices: - Raw I/O or file-system access - Memory-mapped file access possible FILE fd = fopen("/dev/something","rw"); for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) { • Character Devices: e.g. keyboards, mice, serial ports, fprintf(fd,"Count %d\n",i); some USB devices - Single characters at a time close(fd); - Commands include get(), put() - Why? Because code that controls devices ("device - Libraries layered on top allow line editing driver") implements standard interface. • Network Devices: e.g. Ethernet, Wireless, Bluetooth • We will try to get a flavor for what is involved in - Different enough from block/character to have own actually controlling devices in rest of lecture interface - Unix and Windows include socket interface - Can only scratch surface! » Separates network protocol from network operation » Includes select() functionality - Usage: pipes, FIFOs, streams, queues, mailboxes Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 16.21 10/25/06 Lec 16.22

## How Does User Deal with Timing?

#### • Blocking Interface: "Wait"

- When request data (e.g. read() system call), put process to sleep until data is ready
- When write data (e.g. write() system call), put process to sleep until device is ready for data
- Non-blocking Interface: "Don't Wait"
  - Returns quickly from read or write request with count of bytes successfully transferred
  - Read may return nothing, write may write nothing
- Asynchronous Interface: "Tell Me Later"
  - When request data, take pointer to user's buffer, return immediately; later kernel fills buffer and notifies user
  - When send data, take pointer to user's buffer, return immediately; later kernel takes data and notifies user

# Main components of Intel Chipset: Pentium 4

Want Standard Interfaces to Devices

- Northbridge:
  - Handles memory
  - Graphics
- Southbridge: I/O
  - PCI bus
  - Disk controllers
  - USB controllers
  - Audio
  - Serial I/O
  - Interrupt controller
  - Timers


Lec 16.23

10/25/06

4 PG


8 Hi-Spee

85.0R/




## Transfering Data To/From Controller

- Programmed I/O:
  - Each byte transferred via processor in/out or load/store
- Pro: Simple hardware, easy to program
- Con: Consumes processor cycles proportional to data size
- Direct Memory Access:
  - Give controller access to memory bus
  - Ask it to transfer data to/from memory directly
- Sample interaction with DMA controller (from book):



#### A Kernel I/O Structure



10/25/06

Lec 16.27

#### **Device Drivers**

- Device Driver: Device-specific code in the kernel that interacts directly with the device hardware
  - Supports a standard, internal interface
  - Same kernel I/O system can interact easily with different device drivers
  - Special device-specific configuration supported with the ioctl() system call
- Device Drivers typically divided into two pieces:
  - Top half: accessed in call path from system calls
    - » Implements a set of standard, cross-device calls like open(), close(), read(), write(), ioctl(), strategy()
    - » This is the kernel's interface to the device driver
    - » Top half will start I/O to device, may put thread to sleep until finished
  - Bottom half: run as interrupt routine
    - » Gets input or transfers next block of output
    - » May wake sleeping threads if I/O now complete

10/25/06	Kubiatowicz	<b>C</b> 5162	©UCB	Fall	2006

# I/O Device Notifying the OS

- The OS needs to know when:
  - The I/O device has completed an operation
  - The I/O operation has encountered an error
- · I/O Interrupt:
  - Device generates an interrupt whenever it needs service
  - Handled in bottom half of device driver
    - » Often run on special kernel-level stack
  - Pro: handles unpredictable events well
  - Con: interrupts relatively high overhead


#### · Polling:

- OS periodically checks a device-specific status register
- » I/O device puts completion information in status register
- » Could use timer to invoke lower half of drivers occasionally
- Pro: low overhead
- Con: may waste many cycles on polling if infrequent or unpredictable I/O operations
- Actual devices combine both polling and interrupts
  - For instance: High-bandwidth network device:
    - » Interrupt for first incoming packet
    - » Poll for following packets until hardware empty

Lec 16.31

Lec 16.29

# Life Cycle of An I/O Request



#### Summary

- Working Set:
  - Set of pages touched by a process recently
- Thrashing: a process is busy swapping pages in and out
  - Process will thrash if working set doesn't fit in memory
  - Need to swap out a process
- I/O Devices Types:
  - Many different speeds (0.1 bytes/sec to GBytes/sec)
  - Different Access Patterns:
    - » Block Devices, Character Devices, Network Devices
  - Different Access Timing:
    - » Blocking, Non-blocking, Asynchronous
- $\cdot$  I/O Controllers: Hardware that controls actual device
  - Processor Accesses through I/O instructions, load/store to special physical memory
  - Report their results through either interrupts or a status register that processor looks at occasionally (polling)
- Device Driver: Device-specific code in kernel 10/25/06 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2006