# **CS162 Operating Systems and** Systems Programming Lecture 26

# Protection and Security in Distributed Systems II

November 29, 2006 Prof. John Kubiatowicz http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

## **Review:** Private Key Cryptography





- Important properties
  - Can't derive plain text from ciphertext (decode) without access to key
  - Can't derive key from plain text and ciphertext
  - As long as password stays secret, get both secrecy and authentication
- Symmetric Key Algorithms: DES, Triple-DES, AES Lec 26.3

# **Review:** Authentication: Identifying Users

#### • How to identify users to the system? - Passwords » Shared secret between two parties » Since only user knows password, someone types correct password $\Rightarrow$ must be user typing it » Very common technique - Smart Cards » Electronics embedded in card capable of providing long passwords or satisfying challenge $\rightarrow$ response queries » May have display to allow reading of password » Or can be plugged in directly; several credit cards now in this category - Biometrics » Use of one or more intrinsic physical or behavioral traits to identify someone » Examples: fingerprint reader, palm reader, retinal scan » Becoming guite a bit more common

11/29/06

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 26.2

## Goals for Today

- Use of Cryptographic Mechanisms
- Authorization Mechanisms
- Worms and Viruses

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne. Many slides generated from my lecture notes by Kubiatowicz.



#### **Public Key Encryption**





#### • Hash function Examples: MD5, SHA-1, SHA-256 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.7

#### Signatures/Certificate Authorities

**Public Key Encryption Details** 

- Can use X<sub>public</sub> for person X to define their identity
   Presumably they are the only ones who know X<sub>private</sub>.
   Often, we think of X<sub>public</sub> as a "principle" (user)
- Suppose we want X to sign message M? Use private key to encrypt the digest, i.e. H(M)<sup>Xprivate</sup>
  - Send both M and its signature:
  - » Signed message = [M,H(M)<sup>Xprivate</sup>]
  - Now, anyone can verify that M was signed by X
    - » Simply decrypt the digest with  $X_{\text{public}}$  » Verify that result matches H(M)
- $\cdot$  Now: How do we know that the version of  $X_{\text{public}}$  that we have is really from X???
  - Answer: Certificate Authority
    - » Examples: Verisign, Entrust, Etc.
  - X goes to organization, presents identifying papers » Organization signs X's key: [X<sub>public</sub>, H(X<sub>public</sub>)<sup>CAprivate</sup>]

    - » Called a "Certificate"
  - Before we use X<sub>public</sub>, ask X for certificate verifying key » Check that signature over X<sub>public</sub> produced by trusted authority
- How do we get keys of certificate authority?
   Compiled into your browser, for instance! 11/29/06

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 26.6

#### Security through SSL

- SSL Web Protocol
  - Port 443: secure http
  - Use public-key encryption for key-distribution



- Server has a certificate signed by certificate authority - Contains server info (organization, IP address, etc)
  - Also contains server's public key and expiration date
- Establishment of Shared, 48-byte "master secret"
  - Client sends 28-byte random value n, to server
  - Server returns its own 28-byte random value n<sub>s</sub>, plus its certificate cert.
  - Client verifies certificate by checking with public key of certificate authority compiled into browser
    - » Also check expiration date
  - Client picks 46-byte "premaster" secret (pms), encrypts it with public key of server, and sends to server
  - Now, both server and client have n<sub>c</sub>, n<sub>s</sub>, and pms » Each can compute 48-byte master secret using one-way and collision-resistant function on three values
- » Random "nonces" n and n make sure master secret fresh Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.9 11/29/06

# SSL Pitfalls

- Netscape claimed to provide secure comm. (SSL)
  - So you could send a credit card # over the Internet
- Three problems (reported in NYT):
  - Algorithm for picking session keys was predictable (used time of day) - brute force key in a few hours
  - Made new version of Netscape to fix #1, available to users over Internet (unencrypted!)
    - » Four byte patch to Netscape executable makes it always use a specific session key
    - » Could insert backdoor by mangling packets containing executable as they fly by on the Internet.
    - » Many mirror sites (including Berkeley) to redistribute new version – anyone with root access to any machine on LAN at mirror site could insert the backdoor
  - Buggy helper applications can exploit *any* bug in either Netscape, or its helper applications Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006
- 11/29/06

#### Lec 26.10

### Cryptographic Summary

 Private Key Encryption (also Symmetric Key) - Pros: Very Fast

» can encrypt at network speed (even without hardware) - Cons: Need to distribute secret key to both parties

- Public Key Encryption (also Asymmetric Key)
  - Pros: Can distribute keys in public

» Need certificate authority (Public Key Infrastructure)

- Cons: Very Slow

» 100—1000 times slower than private key encryption

- Session Key
  - Randomly generated private key used for single session
  - Often distributed via public key encryption
- Secure Hash
  - Fixed length summary of data that is hard to spoof
- Message Authentication Code (MAC)
  - Technique for using secure hash and session key to verify individual packets (even at the IP level)
  - IPSEC: IP Protocol 50/51, authentic/encrypted IP
- Signature over Document
- -Hash of document encrypted with private key Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 11/29/06

Lec 26,11

# **Administrivia**

- MIDTERM II: Monday December 4<sup>th</sup>!
  - 4:00-7:00pm, 10 Evans
  - All material from last midterm and up to today
  - Includes virtual memory
  - One page of handwritten notes, both sides
- Final Exam
  - December 16<sup>th</sup>, 8:00-11:00, Bechtel Auditorium

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

- Covers whole course (except final lecture)
- Two pages of handwritten notes, both sides
- Last Day of Class Next Wednesday
  - One more section on Thursday?
- Final Topics suggestions (so far):
  - Google OS
  - Parallel OS
  - Cybersecurity attacks
  - Peer-to-peer systems

#### Recall: Authorization: Who Can Do What?

object

D,

D<sub>2</sub>

 $D_3$ 

D,

 $F_1$ 

read

read

write

 $F_2$ 

read

 $F_3$ 

read

execute

read

write

printer

print

- How do we decide who is authorized to do actions in the system?
- Access Control Matrix: contains all permissions in the system
  - Resources across top
    - » Files, Devices, etc...
  - Domains in columns
    - » A domain might be a user or a group of permissions
    - » E.g. above: User  $D_3$  can read  $F_2$  or execute  $F_3$
  - \_- In practice, table would be huge and sparse!

#### Two approaches to implementation

- Access Control Lists: store permissions with each object » Still might be lots of users!
  - » UNIX limits each file to: r,w,x for owner, group, world
  - » More recent systems allow definition of groups of users and permissions for each group
- Capability List: each process tracks objects has permission to touch
  - » Popular in the past, idea out of favor today
  - » Consider page table: Each process has list of pages it has access to, not each page has list of processes ...

11/29/06

```
Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006
```

Lec 26.13

### How fine-grained should access control be?

- Example of the problem:
  - Suppose you buy a copy of a new game from "Joe's Game World" and then run it.
  - It's running with your userid
    - » It removes all the files you own, including the project due the next day...
- How can you prevent this?
  - Have to run the program under some userid.
    - » Could create a second *games* userid for the user, which has no write privileges.
    - » Like the "nobody" userid in UNIX can't do much
  - But what if the game needs to write out a file recording scores?
    - » Would need to give write privileges to one particular file (or directory) to your *games* userid.
  - But what about non-game programs you want to use, such as Quicken?
    - » Now you need to create your own private *quicken* userid, if you want to make sure tha the copy of Quicken you bought can't corrupt non-quicken-related files

#### - But - how to get this right??? Pretty complex... 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz C5162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 26,14

## Authorization Continued

- Principle of least privilege: programs, users, and systems should get only enough privileges to perform their tasks
  - Very hard to do in practice
    - » How do you figure out what the minimum set of privileges is needed to run your programs?
  - People often run at higher privilege then necessary » Such as the "administrator" privilege under windows
- One solution: Signed Software
  - Only use software from sources that you trust, thereby dealing with the problem by means of authentication
  - Fine for big, established firms such as Microsoft, since they can make their signing keys well known and people trust them
    - » Actually, not always fine: recently, one of Microsoft's signing keys was compromised, leading to malicious software that looked valid
  - What about new startups?
    - » Who "validates" them?
    - » How easy is it to fool them?

11/29/06

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

#### Lec 26.15

## How to perform Authorization for Distributed Systems?



- Issues: Are all user names in world unique? - No! They only have small number of characters
  - with the sing have small humber of characteristics with the single state of the si
  - » However, someone thought their friend was kubi@mit.edu and I got very private email intended for someone else...
  - Need something better, more unique to identify person
- Suppose want to connect with any server at any time?
  - Need an account on every machine! (possibly with different user name for each account)
  - OR: Need to use something more universal as identity » Public Keys! (Called "Principles")
    - » People are their public keys Kubiatowicz CS162 @UCB Fall 2006



#### Analysis of Previous Scheme

Positive Points:

- Identities checked via signatures and public keys
  - » Client can't generate request for data unless they have private key to go with their public identity » Server won't use ACLs not properly signed by owner of file
- No problems with multiple domains, since identities designed to be cross-domain (public keys domain neutral)
- Revocation:
  - What if someone steals your private key?
    - » Need to walk through all ACL's with your key and change...! » This is very expensive
  - Better to have unique string identifying you that people place into ACLs
    - » Then, ask Certificate Authority to give you a certificate matching unique string to your current public key
    - » Client Request: (request + unique ID)<sup>Cprivate</sup>; give server certificate if they ask for it.
    - » Key compromise must distribute "certificate revocation", since can't wait for previous certificate to expire.
  - What if you remove someone from ACL of a given file? » If server caches old ACL, then person retains access!
    - » Here, cache inconsistency leads to security violations!

11/29/06

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

Lec 26.18

# **Analysis Continued**

#### Who signs the data?

- Or: Flow does the client know they are getting valid data?
- Signed by server?

» What 'if server compromised? Should client trust server?

- Signed by owner of file?
  - » Better, but now only owner can update file!
  - » Pretty inconvenient!
- Signed by group of servers that accepted latest update? » If must have signatures from all servers  $\Rightarrow$  Safe, but one
  - bad server can prevent update from happening » Instead: ask for a threshold number of signatures
  - » Byzantine agreement can help here
- How do you know that data is up-to-date?
  - Valid signature only means data is valid older version
  - Freshness attack:
    - » Malicious server returns old data instead of recent data
    - » Problem with both ACLs and data
    - » E.g.: you just got a raise, but enemy breaks into a server and prevents payroll from seeing latest version of update
  - Hard problem

11/29/06

- » Needs to be fixed by invalidating old copies or having a
  - trusted group of servers (Byzantine Agrement?) Lec 26,19

# **Involuntary Installation**

- What about software loaded without your consent?
  - Macros attached to documents (such as Microsoft Word)
  - Active X controls (programs on web sites with potential access to whole machine)
  - Spyware included with normal products
- Active X controls can have access to the local machine
  - Install software/Launch programs
- Sony Spyware [Sony XCP] (October 2005)
  - About 50 recent CDs from Sony automatically install software when you played them on Windows machines » Called XCP (Extended Copy Protection)
    - » Modify operating system to prevent more than 3 copies and to prevent peer-to-peer sharing
  - Side Effects:
    - » Reporting of private information to Sony
    - » Hiding of generic file names of form \$sys\_xxx; easy for other virus writers to exploit
    - » Hard to remove (crashes machine if not done carefully)
  - Vendors of virus protection software declare it spyware » Computer Associates, Symantec, even Microsoft

#### **Enforcement**

- Enforcer checks passwords, ACLs, etc
  - Makes sure the only authorized actions take place
  - Bugs in enforcer things for malicious users to exploit
- In UNIX, superuser can do anything
  - Because of coarse-grained access control, lots of stuff has to run as superuser in order to work
- If there is a bug in any one of these programs, you lose! • Paradox
  - Bullet-proof enforcer
    - » Only known way is to make enforcer as small as possible
    - » Easier to make correct, but simple-minded protection model
  - Fancy protection
    - » Tries to adhere to principle of least privilege
    - » Really hard to get right
- Same argument for Java or C++: What do you make private vs public?
  - Hard to make sure that code is usable but only necessary modules are public
  - Pick something in middle? Get bugs and weak protection! Lec 26.21
- 11/29/06

Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006

## State of the World

 State of the World in Security - Authentication: Encryption » But almost no one encrypts or has public key identity - Authorization: Access Control » But many systems only provide very coarse-grained access » In UNIX, need to turn off protection to enable sharing - Enforcement: Kernel mode » Hard to write a million line program without bugs » Any bug is a potential security loophole! Some types of security problems - Abuse of privilege » If the superuser is evil, we're all in trouble/can't do anything » What if sysop in charge of instructional resources went crazy and deleted everybody's files (and backups)??? - Imposter: Pretend to be someone else » Example: in unix, can set up an .rhosts file to allow logins from one machine to another without retyping password » Allows "rsh" command to do an operation on a remote node » Result: send rsh request, pretending to be from trusted user—install .rhosts file granting you access 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.22

- Other Security Problems
- Virus:
  - A piece of code that attaches itself to a program or file so it can spread from one computer to another, leaving infections as it travels
  - Most attached to executable files, so don't get activated until the file is actually executed
  - Once caught, can hide in boot tracks, other files, OS
- Worm:
  - Similar to a virus, but capable of traveling on its own
  - Takes advantage of file or information transport features
  - Because it can replicate itself, your computer might send out hundreds or thousands of copies of itself
- Trojan Horse:
  - Named after huge wooden horse in Greek mythology given as gift to enemy; contained army inside
  - At first glance appears to be useful software but does damage once installed or run on your computer

#### if (argc < 2)return -1;

#define BUFFER SIZE 256

int process(int argc,

else { strcpy(buffer,argv[1]); return 0;

char \*argv[])



Before attack After attack

- Technique exploited by many network attacks
  - Anytime input comes from network request and is not checked for size
  - Allows execution of code with same privileges as running program - but happens without any action From user!
- How to prevent?
  - Don't code this way! (ok, wishful thinking)
  - New mode bits in Intel, Amd, and Sun processors
  - » Put in page table; says "don't execute code in this page" Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26,24

Lec 26,23

#### The Morris Internet Worm

#### · Internet worm (Self-reproducing)

- Author Robert Morris, a first-year Cornell grad student
- Launched close of Workday on November 2, 1988
- Within a few hours of release, it consumed resources to the point of bringing down infected machines



- Techniques
  - Exploited UNIX networking features (remote access)
  - Bugs in *finger* (buffer overflow) and *sendmail* programs (debug mode allowed remote login)
  - Dictionary lookup-based password cracking
- Grappling hook program uploaded main worm program 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.25

### Some other Attacks

- Trojan Horse Example: Fake Login
  - Construct a program that looks like normal login program
  - Gives "login:" and "password:" prompts
    - » You type information, it sends password to someone, then either logs you in or says "Permission Denied" and exits
  - In Windows, the "ctrl-alt-delete" sequence is supposed to be really hard to change, so you "know" that you are getting official login program
- Is SONY XCP a Trojan horse?
- Salami attack: Slicing things a little at a time
  - Steal or corrupt something a little bit at a time
  - E.g.: What happens to partial pennies from bank interest? » Bank keeps them! Hacker re-programmed system so that partial pennies would go into his account.
    - » Doesn't seem like much, but if you are large bank can be millions of dollars
- Eavesdropping attack
  - Tap into network and see everything typed
  - Catch passwords, etc
- Lesson: never use unencrypted communication!

Lec 26.26

# **Tenex Password Checking**

- Tenex early 70's, BBN
  - Most popular system at universities before UNIX
  - Thought to be very secure, gave "red team" all the source code and documentation (want code to be publicly available, as in UNIX)
  - In 48 hours, they figured out how to get every password in the system
- Here's the code for the password check:

```
for (i = 0; i < 8; i++)
if (userPasswd[i] != realPasswd[i])
go to error</pre>
```

- How many combinations of passwords?
  - 256<sup>8</sup>?
  - Wrong!

Lec 26.27

## **Defeating Password Checking**

- $\cdot\,$  Tenex used VM, and it interacts badly with the above code
  - Key idea: force page faults at inopportune times to break passwords quickly
- Arrange 1<sup>st</sup> char in string to be last char in pg, rest on next pg
  - Then arrange for pg with 1<sup>st</sup> char to be in memory, and rest to be on disk (e.g., ref lots of other pgs, then ref 1<sup>st</sup> page) alaaaaaa

aaaaaa

page in memory | page on disk

- Time password check to determine if first character is correct!
  - If fast, 1<sup>st</sup> char is wrong
  - If slow, 1<sup>st</sup> char is right, pg fault, one of the others wrong
  - So try all first characters, until one is slow
  - Repeat with first two characters in memory, rest on disk
- Only 256 \* 8 attempts to crack passwords

- Fix is easy, don't stop until you look at all the characters 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.28

#### Defense in Depth: Layered Network Security Shrink Wrap Software Woes • How do I minimize the damage when security fails? - For instance: I make a mistake in the specification · Can I trust software installed by the computer - Or: A bug lets something run that shouldn't? manufacturer? • Firewall: Examines every packet to/from public internet - Not really, most major computer manufacturers - Can disable all traffic to/from certain ports have shipped computers with viruses - Can route certain traffic to DMZ (De-Militarized Zone) » Semi-secure area separate from critical systems - How? Can do network address translation » Forgot to update virus scanner on "gold" master » Inside network, computers have private IP addresses machine » Connection from inside—outside is translated » E.g. $[10.0.0.2, port 2390] \rightarrow [169.229.60.38, port 80]$ Software companies, PR firms, and others $[12.4.35.2, \text{port } 5592] \rightarrow [169.229.60.38, \text{port } 80]$ routinely release software that contains viruses computers • Linux hackers say "Start with the source" - Does that work? access between DMZ and DMZ access from Interne ompany's computer DMZ 11/29/06 Lec 26.29 11/29/06 Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006 Lec 26.30

# Ken Thompson's self-replicating program

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Bury Trojan horse in binaries, so no evidence in source
  - Replicates itself to every UNIX system in the world and even to new UNIX's on new platforms. No visible sign.
  - Gave Ken Thompson ability to log into any UNIX system
- Two steps: Make it possible (easy); Hide it (tricky)
- Step 1: Modify login.c

```
A: if (name == "ken")
don't check password
log in as root
```

- Easy to do but pretty blatant! Anyone looking will see.

# • Step 2: Modify C compiler

- Instead of putting code in login.c, put in compiler:
  - B: if see trigger1 insert A into input stream
- Whenever compiler sees trigger1 (say /\*gobbledygook\*/), puts A into input stream of compiler
- Now, don't need A in login.c, just need trigger1

#### 11/29/06

Lec 26.31

11/29/06

# Self Replicating Program Continued

#### • Step 3: Modify compiler source code:

- C: if see trigger2
  - insert B+C into input stream
- Now compile this new C compiler to produce binary
- Step 4: Self-replicating code!
  - Simply remove statement C in compiler source code and place "trigger2" into source instead
    - » As long as existing C compiler is used to recompile the C compiler, the code will stay into the C compiler and will compile back door into login.c
    - » But no one can see this from source code!
- When porting to new machine/architecture, use existing C compiler to generate cross-compiler
  - Code will migrate to new architecture!
- Lesson: never underestimate the cleverness of computer hackers for hiding things!

### Conclusion

- · Distributed identity
  - Use cryptography (Public Key, Signed by PKI)
- Use of Public Key Encryption to get Session Key
  - Can send encrypted random values to server, now share secret with server
  - Used in SSL, for instance
- $\cdot$  Authorization
  - Abstract table of users (or domains) vs permissions
  - Implemented either as access-control list or capability list
- Issues with distributed storage example
  - Revocation: How to remove permissions from someone?
  - Integrity: How to know whether data is valid
  - Freshness: How to know whether data is recent
- Buffer-Overrun Attack: exploit bug to execute code

11/29/06	Kubiatowicz CS162 ©UCB Fall 2006	Lec 26.33