# CS162
# Operating Systems and Systems Programming
# Lecture 25

## Protection and Security in Distributed Systems

April 27, 2010

Ion Stoica
http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162

## Goals for Today

- Security Properties
  - Authentication
  - Data integrity
  - Confidentiality
  - Non-repudiation
- Cryptographic Mechanisms

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne, and lecture notes by Kubiatowicz



## Protection vs Security

- Protection: one or more mechanisms for controlling the access of programs, processes, or users to resources
  - Page Table Mechanism
  - File Access Mechanism
- Security: use of protection mechanisms to prevent misuse of resources
  - Misuse defined with respect to policy
    - » E.g.: prevent exposure of certain sensitive information
    - » E.g.: prevent unauthorized modification/deletion of data
  - Requires consideration of the external environment within which the system operates
    - » Most well-constructed system cannot protect information if user accidentally reveals password
- What we hope to gain today and next time
  - Conceptual understanding of how to make systems secure
  - Some examples, to illustrate why providing security is really hard in practice



## Preventing Misuse

- Types of Misuse:
  - Accidental:
    - » If I delete shell, can't log in to fix it!
    - » Could make it more difficult by asking: "do you really want to delete the shell?"
  - Intentional:
    - » Some high school brat who can't get a date, so instead he transfers $3 billion from B to A.
    - » Doesn't help to ask if they want to do it (of course!)
- Three Pieces to Security
  - Authentication: who the user actually is
  - Authorization: who is allowed to do what
  - Enforcement: make sure people do only what they are supposed to do
- Loopholes in any carefully constructed system:
  - Log in as superuser and you've circumvented authentication
  - Log in as self and can do anything with your resources; for instance: run program that erases all of your files
  - Can you trust software to correctly enforce Authentication and Authorization?

## Security Requirements

- **Authentication**
  - Ensures that a user is who is claiming to be
- **Data integrity**
  - Ensure that data is not changed from source to destination or after being written on a storage device
- **Confidentiality**
  - Ensures that data is read only by authorized users
- **Non-repudiation**
  - Sender can't later claim didn't send data
  - Receiver can't claim didn't receive data



## Securing Communication: Cryptography

- Cryptography: *communication in the presence of adversaries*
- Studied for thousands of years
  - See the Simon Singh's *The Code Book* for an excellent, highly readable history
- Central goal: confidentiality
  - How to encode information so that an adversary can't extract it, but a friend can
- General premise: there is a key, possession of which allows decoding, but without which decoding is infeasible
  - Thus, key must be kept secret and not guessable



## Using Symmetric Keys

- **Same key for encryption and decryption**






## Symmetric Keys

- **Can just XOR plaintext with the key**
  - Easy to implement, but easy to break using frequency analysis
- **More sophisticated (e.g., block cipher) algorithms**
  - Works with a *block size* (e.g., 64 bits)
    - » To encrypt a stream, can encrypt blocks separately, or link them



## Symmetric Key Ciphers - DES & AES

- Data Encryption Standard (DES)
  - Developed by IBM in 1970s, standardized by NBS/NIST
  - 56-bit key (decreased from 64 bits at NSA's request)
  - Still fairly strong other than brute-forcing the key space
    - » But custom hardware can crack a key in < 24 hours
  - Today many financial institutions use Triple DES
    - = DES applied 3 times, with 3 keys totaling 168 bits
- Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)
  - Replacement for DES standardized in 2002
  - Key size: 128, 192 or 256 bits
- How fundamentally strong are they?
  - No one knows (no proofs exist)



## Authentication via Symmetric Crypto

- Authenticate entity by its secret key
- Example:
  - You know Alice's secret key
  - You are talking with a person claiming she is Alice
  - Question: How do you verify she is indeed Alice?
  - Answer: Just verify she knows Alice's secret key!



## Example: Client-Server Authentication

- Client's secret key: CHK
- Server's secret key: SHK
- Notation: E(m,k) – encrypt message m with key k
- x, y: nonces (random values)
  - Avoid replay attacks, e.g., attacker impersonating client or server
- K – *session key* used for data communication
  - minimize # of messages containing CHK / SHK






## Administrivia

- Final Exam
  - Friday, May 14, 7:00PM-10:00PM
  - All material from the course
    - » With slightly more focus on second half, but you are still responsible for all the material
  - Two sheets of notes, both sides
- Should be working on Project 4
  - Final Project due on Friday, May 7

## Integrity: Cryptographic Hashes

- Basic building block for integrity: *hashing*
  - Associate hash with byte-stream, receiver verifies match
    - » Assures data hasn't been modified, either accidentally - or maliciously
- Approach:
  - Sender computes a *digest* of message m, i.e., H(m)
    - » H() is a publicly known *hash function*
  - Send digest (d = H(m)) to receiver in a secure way, e.g.,
    - » Using another physical channel
    - » Using encryption
  - Upon receiving m and d, receiver re-computes H(m) to see whether result agrees with d



## Operation of Hashing for Integrity






## Standard Cryptographic Hash Functions

- MD5 (Message Digest version 5)
  - Developed in 1991 (Rivest)
  - Produces 128 bit hashes
  - Widely used (RFC 1321)
  - Broken:
    - » Recent work quickly finds collisions
- SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm)
  - Developed by NSA in 1995 as successor to MD5
  - Produces 160 bit hashes
  - Widely used (SSL/TLS, SSH, PGP, IPSEC)
  - Broken:
    - » Recent work finds collisions, though not really quickly … yet



## Asymmetric Encryption *(Public Key)*

- Idea: use two *different* keys, one to encrypt (*e*) and one to decrypt (*d*)
  - A key pair
- Crucial property: knowing *e* does not give away *d*
- Therefore *e* can be public: everyone knows it!
- If Alice wants to send to Bob, she fetches Bob's public key (say from Bob's home page) and encrypts with it
  - Alice can't decrypt what she's sending to Bob …
  - … but then, neither can anyone else (except Bob)

## Public Key / Asymmetric Encryption

- Sender uses receiver's public key
  - Advertised to everyone
- Receiver uses complementary private key
  - Must be kept secret






## Public Key Cryptography

- Invented in the 1970s
  - *Revolutionized* cryptography
  - (Was actually invented earlier by British intelligence)
- How can we construct an encryption/decryption algorithm using a key pair with the public/private properties?
  - Answer: Number Theory
- Most fully developed approach: RSA
  - Rivest / Shamir / Adleman, 1977; RFC 3447
  - Based on modular multiplication of very large integers
  - Very widely used (e.g., SSL/TLS for `https`)



## Properties of RSA

- Requires generating large, random prime numbers
  - Algorithms exist for quickly finding these (probabilistic!)
- Requires exponentiating very large numbers
  - Again, fairly fast algorithms exist
- Overall, much slower than symmetric key crypto
  - One general strategy: use public key crypto to exchange a (short) symmetric session key
    - » Use that key then with AES or such
- How difficult is recovering $d$, the private key?
  - Equivalent to finding prime factors of a large number
    - » Many have tried - believed to be very hard (= brute force only)
    - » (Though *quantum computers* can do so in polynomial time!)



## Simple Public Key Authentication

- Each side need only to know the other side's public key
  - No secret key need be shared
- A encrypts a nonce (random number) x
- B proves it can recover x
- A can authenticate itself to B in the same way



## Non-Repudiation: RSA Crypto & Signatures

- Suppose Alice has published public key $K_E$
- If she wishes to prove who she is, she can send a message x encrypted with her private key $K_D$ (i.e., she sends $D(x,K_D)$)
  - Anyone knowing Alice's public key $K_E$ can recover x, verify that Alice must have sent the message
    - » It provides a signature
  - Alice can't deny it ⇒ non-repudiation



## RSA Crypto & Signatures, con't






## Digital Certificates

- How do you know $K_E$ is Alice's public key?
- Trusted authority (e.g., Verisign) signs binding between Alice and $K_E$ with its private key $KV_{private}$
  - $C = E(\{Alice, K_E\}, KV_{private})$
  - C: digital certificate
- Alice: distribute her digital certificate, C
- Anyone: use trusted authority's $KV_{public}$, to extract Alice's public key from C
  - $\{Alice, K_E\} = D(C, KV_{public})$



## Summary of Our Crypto Toolkit

- If we can securely distribute a key, then
  - Symmetric ciphers (e.g., AES) offer fast, presumably strong confidentiality
- Public key cryptography does away with (potentially major) problem of secure key distribution
  - But: not as computationally efficient
    - » Often addressed by using public key crypto to exchange a session key
- Digital signature binds the public key to an entity

## Putting It All Together - HTTPS

- What happens when you click on `https://www.amazon.com`?
- `https` = "Use HTTP over SSL/TLS"
  - SSL = *Secure Socket Layer*
  - TLS = *Transport Layer Security*
    - Successor to SSL, and compatible with it
    - RFC 4346
  - Provides security layer (authentication, encryption) on top of TCP
    - Fairly transparent to the app



## HTTPS Connection (SSL/TLS), con't

- Browser (client) connects via TCP to Amazon's `HTTPS` server
- Client sends over list of crypto protocols it supports
- Server picks protocols to use for this session
- Server sends over its certificate
- (all of this is in the clear)






## Inside the Server's Certificate

- Name associated with cert (e.g., Amazon)
- Amazon's RSA public key
- A bunch of auxiliary info (physical address, type of cert, expiration time)
- Name of certificate's signatory (who signed it)
- A public-key signature of a hash (MD5) of all this
  - Constructed using the signatory's private RSA key, i.e.,
  - Cert = $E(H_{MD5}(KA_{public}, \text{www.amazon.com}, \ldots), KS_{private})$
    - » $KA_{public}$: Amazon's public key
    - » $KS_{private}$: signatory (certificate authority) public key
- …



## Validating Amazon's Identity

- How does the browser authenticate certifciate signatory?
  - Certificates of few certificate authorities (e.g., Verisign) are hardwired into the browser
- If it can't find the cert, then warns the user that site has not been verified
  - And may ask whether to continue
  - Note, can still proceed, just without authentication
- Browser uses public key in signatory's cert to decrypt signature
  - Compares with its own MD5 hash of Amazon's cert
- Assuming signature matches, now have high confidence it's indeed Amazon …
  - … assuming signatory is trustworthy

## Certificate Validation






## HTTPS Connection (SSL/TLS), con't

- Browser constructs a random *session key* K
- Browser encrypts K using Amazon's public key
- Browser sends E(K, $KA_{public}$) to server
- Browser displays
- All subsequent communication encrypted w/ symmetric cipher (e.g., AES128) using key K
  - E.g., client can authenticate using a password






## Authentication: Passwords

- Shared secret between two parties
- Since only user knows password, someone types correct password ⇒ must be user typing it
- Very common technique
- System must keep copy of secret to check against passwords
  - What if malicious user gains access to list of passwords?
    - » Need to obscure information somehow
  - Mechanism: utilize a transformation that is difficult to reverse without the right key (e.g. encryption)



## Passwords: Secrecy


- Example: UNIX /etc/passwd file
  - passwd→one way transform(hash)→encrypted passwd
  - System stores only encrypted version, so OK even if someone reads the file!
  - When you type in your password, system compares encrypted version
- Problem: Can you trust encryption algorithm?
  - Example: one algorithm thought safe had back door
    - » Governments want back door so they can snoop
  - Also, security through obscurity doesn't work
    - » GSM encryption algorithm was secret; accidentally released; Berkeley grad students cracked in a few hours

## Passwords: How easy to guess?

- Ways of Compromising Passwords
  - Password Guessing:
    - » Often people use obvious information like birthday, favorite color, girlfriend's name, etc…
  - Dictionary Attack:
    - » Work way through dictionary and compare encrypted version of dictionary words with entries in /etc/passwd
  - Dumpster Diving:
    - » Find pieces of paper with passwords written on them
    - » (Also used to get social-security numbers, etc)
- Paradox:
  - Short passwords are easy to crack
  - Long ones, people write down!
- Technology means we have to use longer passwords
  - UNIX initially required lowercase, 5-letter passwords: total of $26^5$=10million passwords
    - » In 1975, 10ms to check a password→1 day to crack
    - » In 2005, .01μs to check a password→0.1 seconds to crack
  - Takes less time to check for all words in the dictionary!



## Passwords: Making harder to crack

- How can we make passwords harder to crack?
  - Can't make it impossible, but can help
- Technique 1: Extend everyone's password with a unique number (stored in password file)
  - Called "salt". UNIX uses 12-bit "salt", making dictionary attacks 4096 times harder
  - Without salt, would be possible to pre-compute all the words in the dictionary hashed with the UNIX algorithm: would make comparing with /etc/passwd easy!
- Technique 2: Require more complex passwords
  - Make people use at least 8-character passwords with upper-case, lower-case, and numbers
    - » $70^8$=6x$10^{14}$=6million seconds=69 days@0.01μs/check
  - Unfortunately, people still pick common patterns
    - » e.g. Capitalize first letter of common word, add one digit



## Passwords: Making harder to crack (con't)

- Technique 3: Delay checking of passwords
  - If attacker doesn't have access to /etc/passwd, delay every remote login attempt by 1 second
  - Makes it infeasible for rapid-fire dictionary attack
- Technique 4: Assign very long passwords
  - Long passwords or pass-phrases can have more entropy (randomness→harder to crack)
  - Give everyone a smart card (or ATM card) to carry around to remember password
    - » Requires physical theft to steal password
    - » Can require PIN from user before authenticates self
  - Better: have smartcard generate pseudorandom number
    - » Client and server share initial seed
    - » Each second/login attempt advances to next random number
- Technique 5: "Zero-Knowledge Proof"
  - Require a series of challenge-response questions
    - » Distribute secret algorithm to user
    - » Server presents a number, say "5"; user computes something from the number and returns answer to server
    - » Server never asks same "question" twice
  - Often performed by smartcard plugged into system



## Authentication: Identifying Users

- How to identify users to the system?
  - Passwords
    - » Shared secret between two parties
    - » Since only user knows password, someone types correct password ⇒ must be user typing it
    - » Very common technique
  - Smart Cards
    - » Electronics embedded in card capable of providing long passwords or satisfying challenge → response queries
    - » May have display to allow reading of password
    - » Or can be plugged in directly; several credit cards now in this category

  - Biometrics
    - » Use of one or more intrinsic physical or behavioral traits to identify someone
    - » Examples: fingerprint reader, palm reader, retinal scan
    - » Becoming quite a bit more common

## Conclusion

- Security requirements
  - Authentication, Confidentiality, Integrity, Non-Repudiation
- Symmetrical (or Private Key) Encryption
  - Single Key used to encode and decode
  - Introduces key-distribution problem
- Public-Key Encryption
  - Two keys: a public key and a private key
- Secure Hash Function
  - Used to summarize data
  - Hard to find another block of data with same hash
- Passwords
  - Encrypt them to help hid them
  - Force them to be longer/not amenable to dictionary attack
  - Use zero-knowledge request-response techniques