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Goals for Today

- Review: Processes and Threads
- Thread Dispatching
- Cooperating Threads
- Concurrency examples

Note: Some slides and/or pictures in the following are adapted from slides ©2005 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne. Slides courtesy of Anthony D. Joseph, John Kubiatowicz, AJ Shankar, George Necula, Alex Aiken, Eric Brewer, Ras Bodik, Ion Stoica, Doug Tygar, and David Wagner.

Why Processes & Threads?

Goals:

- Multiprogramming: Run multiple applications concurrently
- Protection: Don’t want a bad application to crash system!

Solution:

Process: unit of execution and allocation
- Virtual Machine abstraction: give process illusion it owns machine (i.e., CPU, Memory, and IO device multiplexing)

Challenge:

- Process creation & switching expensive
- Need concurrency within same app (e.g., web server)

Solution:

Thread: Decouple allocation and execution
- Run multiple threads within same process

Putting it together: Process

(Unix) Process

A(int tmp) {
  if (tmp<2)
    B();
    printf(tmp);
  }
B() {
  C();
}
C() {
  A(2);
}
A(1);
...

Resources

Sequential stream of instructions

CPU state (PC, SP, registers..)

Memory

Stack

I/O State (e.g., file, socket contexts)
Putting it together: Processes

- Switch overhead: high
  - CPU state: low
  - Memory/IO state: high
- Process creation: high
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: yes
- Sharing overhead: high (involves at least a context switch)

Putting it together: Threads

- Switch overhead: low (only CPU state)
- Thread creation: low
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: No
- Sharing overhead: low (thread switch overhead low)

Putting it together: Multi-Cores

- Switch overhead: low
  (only CPU state)
- Thread creation: low
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: No
- Sharing overhead: low (thread switch overhead low)

Putting it together: Hyper-Threading

- Switch overhead between hardware-threads: very-low (done in hardware)
- Contention for ALUs/FPUs may hurt performance
**Process Control Block**

- The current state of process held in a process control block (PCB): (for a single-threaded process)

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>process state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program counter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>registers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>memory limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list of open files</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**CPU Switch From Process to Process**

- This is also called a “context switch”
- Code executed in kernel above is overhead
  - Overhead sets minimum practical switching time
  - Less overhead with SMT/Hyperthreading, but... contention for resources instead

**The Numbers**

**Context switch in Linux: 3-4 µsecs (Current Intel i7 & E5).**

Some surprises:
- Thread switching only slightly faster than process switching (100 ns).
- But switching across cores about 2x more expensive than within-core switching.
- Context switch time increases sharply with the size of the working set*, and can increase 100x or more.

* The working set is the subset of memory used by the process in a time window.

**Moral:** Context switching depends mostly on cache limits and the process or thread’s hunger for memory.

**The Numbers**

- Many process are multi-threaded, so thread context switches may be either within-process or across-processes. The latter involve changes in memory and I/O tables and are more like single-threaded process switches described earlier.
Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># threads per AS:</th>
<th># of addr spaces:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS/DOS, early Macintosh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embedded systems (Geoworks, VxWorks, JavaOS, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mach, OS/2, Linux Win NT to 8, Solaris, HP-UX, OS X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Real operating systems have either
  - One or many address spaces
  - One or many threads per address space

Thread State

- State shared by all threads in process/addr space
  - Content of memory (global variables, heap)
  - I/O state (file system, network connections, etc)

- State “private” to each thread
  - Kept in TCB = Thread Control Block
  - CPU registers (including, program counter)
  - Execution stack – what is this?

- Execution Stack
  - Parameters, temporary variables
  - Return PCs are kept while called procedures are executing

Review: Execution Stack Example

```
addrX:
  A(int tmp) {
    if (tmp<2)
      B();
    printf(tmp);
  }

addrY:
  B() {
    C();
  }

addrU:
  C() {
    A(2);
  }

addrV:
  A(1);

addrZ:
  exit;
```

- Stack holds function arguments, return address
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

```
addrX:
  A(int tmp) {
    if (tmp<2)
      B();
    printf(tmp);
  }

addrY:
  B() {
    C();
  }

addrU:
  C() {
    A(2);
  }

addrV:
  A(1);

addrZ:
  exit;
```

- Stack holds function arguments, return address
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages
Review: Execution Stack Example

- Stack holds function arguments, return address
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

A(int tmp) {
    if (tmp<2)
        B();
    printf(tmp);
}
B() {
    C();
}
C() {
    A(2);
}
A(1);
exit;

Stack Pointer

Stack Growth

addrX: A(int tmp) {
    .
    .
    .
    .
}
addrY: printf(tmp);  
    .
    .
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}. B() {
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    .
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)
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• Stack holds function arguments, return address
• Permits recursive execution
• Crucial to modern languages
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    if (tmp<2)
        B();
    printf(tmp);
}
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    C();
}
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    A(2);
}
A(1);
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Stack Pointer
Stack Growth
addrX:
    A(int tmp) {
        ...
        B();
        ...
    }
addrY:
    printf(tmp);
    ...
    B() {
        ...
        C();
    }
addrU:
    ...
    C() {
        ...
        A(2);
    }
addrV:
    ...
    A(1);
addrZ:
    ...
    exit;
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Review: Execution Stack Example

```
A(int tmp) {
    if (tmp<2)
        B();
    printf(tmp);
}
B() {
    C();
}
C() {
    A(2);
}
A(1);
exit;
```

Output:
```
2
```

Review: Execution Stack Example
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Review: Execution Stack Example

```
addrX:
  A(int tmp) {
    if (tmp<2)
      B();
    printf(tmp);
  }
addrY:
  B() {
    C();
  }
addrU:
  C() {
    A(2);
  }
addrV:
  exit;
addrZ:
```

Output:

```
2
1
```

Single-Threaded Example

- Imagine the following C program:

```c
main() {
  ComputePI("pi.txt");
  PrintClassList("clist.text");
}
```

- What is the behavior here?
  - Program would never print out class list
  - Why? ComputePI would never finish

Use of Threads

- Version of program with Threads:

```c
main() {
  CreateThread(ComputePI("pi.txt"));
  CreateThread(PrintClassList("clist.text"));
}
```

- What does “CreateThread” do?
  - Start independent thread running given procedure

Memory Footprint of Two-Thread Example

- If we stopped this program and examined it with a debugger, we would see
  - Two sets of CPU registers
  - Two sets of Stacks

- Questions:
  - How do we position stacks relative to each other?
  - What maximum size should we choose for the stacks?
  - What happens if threads violate this?
  - How might you catch violations?
Per Thread State

• Each Thread has a Thread Control Block (TCB)
  – Execution State: CPU registers, program counter (PC), pointer to stack (SP)
  – Scheduling info: state, priority, CPU time
  – Various Pointers (for implementing scheduling queues)
  – Pointer to enclosing process (PCB)
  – Etc (add stuff as you find a need)

• OS Keeps track of TCBs in protected memory
  – In Array, or Linked List, or …

Multithreaded Processes

• PCB points to multiple TCBs:
  – Switching threads within a block is a simple thread switch
  – Switching threads across blocks requires changes to memory and I/O address tables.

Lifecycle of a Thread (or Process)

• As a thread executes, it changes state:
  – new: The thread is being created
  – ready: The thread is waiting to run
  – running: Instructions are being executed
  – waiting: Thread waiting for some event to occur
  – terminated: The thread has finished execution

• “Active” threads are represented by their TCBs
  – TCBs organized into queues based on their state

Ready Queues

• Note because of the actual number of live threads in a typical OS, and the (much smaller) number of running threads, most threads will be in a “ready” state.

• Thread not running ⇒ TCB is in some scheduler queue
Ready Queue And Various I/O Device Queues

- Thread not running ⇒ TCB is in some scheduler queue
  - Separate queue for each device/signal/condition
  - Each queue can have a different scheduler policy

Dispatch Loop

- Conceptually, the dispatching loop of the operating system looks as follows:

  ```
  Loop {
    RunThread();
    ChooseNextThread();
    SaveStateOfCPU(curTCB);
    LoadStateOfCPU(newTCB);
  }
  ```

  - This is an infinite loop
    - One could argue that this is all that the OS does
Running a thread

Consider first portion: RunThread()

• How do I run a thread?
  – Load its state (registers, stack pointer) into CPU
  – Load environment (virtual memory space, etc)
  – Jump to the PC

• How does the dispatcher get control back?
  – Internal events: thread returns control voluntarily
  – External events: thread gets preempted

Yielding through Internal Events

• Blocking on I/O
  – The act of requesting I/O implicitly yields the CPU

• Waiting on a “signal” from other thread
  – Thread asks to wait and thus yields the CPU

• Thread executes a yield()
  – Thread volunteers to give up CPU
    computePI() {
      while(TRUE) {
        ComputeNextDigit();
        yield();
      }
    }
  – Note that yield() must be called by programmer frequently!

Stack for Yielding a Thread

• How do we run a new thread?
  run_new_thread() {
    newThread = PickNewThread();
    switch(curThread, newThread);
    ThreadHouseKeeping(); /* deallocates finished threads */
  }
  Finished thread not killed right away. Why?
  – Move them in “exit/terminated” state
  – ThreadHouseKeeping() deallocates finished threads

• How does dispatcher switch to a new thread?
  – Save anything next thread may trash: PC, regs, SP
  – Maintain isolation for each thread
**Review: Two Thread Yield Example**

- Consider the following code blocks:
  ```c
  proc A() {
    B();
  }
  proc B() {
    while(TRUE) {
      yield();
    }
  }
  ```

- Suppose we have two threads:
  - Threads S and T

**Detour: Interrupt Controller**

- Interrupts invoked with interrupt lines from devices
- Interrupt controller chooses interrupt request to honor
  - Mask enables/disables interrupts
  - Priority encoder picks highest enabled interrupt
  - Software interrupt set/cleared by software
  - Interrupt identity specified with ID line
- CPU can disable all interrupts with internal flag
- Non-maskable interrupt line (NMI) can’t be disabled

**Review: Preemptive Multithreading**

- Use the timer interrupt to force scheduling decisions

- Timer Interrupt routine:
  ```c
  TimerInterrupt() {
    DoPeriodicHouseKeeping();
    run_new_thread();
  }
  ```

- This is often called **preemptive multithreading**, since threads are preempted for better scheduling
  - Solves problem of user who doesn’t insert yield();

**Why allow cooperating threads?**

- People cooperate; computers help/enhance people’s lives, so computers must cooperate
  - By analogy, the non-reproducibility/non-determinism of people is a notable problem for “carefully laid plans”
- Advantage 1: Share resources
  - One computer, many users
  - One bank balance, many ATMs
    - What if ATMs were only updated at night?
  - Embedded systems (robot control: coordinate arm & hand)
- Advantage 2: Speedup
  - Overlap I/O and computation
  - Multiprocessors – chop up program into parallel pieces
- Advantage 3: Modularity
  - Chop large problem up into simpler pieces
    - To compile, for instance, gcc calls cpp | cc1 | cc2 | as | ld
    - Makes system easier to extend
Threaded Web Server

- Multithreaded version:
  ```
  serverLoop() {
    connection = AcceptCon();
    ThreadCreate(ServiceWebPage(), connection);
  }
  ```
- Advantages of threaded version:
  - Can share file caches kept in memory, results of CGI scripts, other things.
  - Threads are much cheaper to create than processes, so this has a lower per-request overhead.
- What if too many requests come in at once?

Thread Pools

- Problem with previous version: Unbounded Threads
  - When web-site becomes too popular – throughput sinks.
- Instead, allocate a bounded "pool" of threads, representing the maximum level of multiprogramming.

ATM Bank Server

- ATM server problem:
  - Service a set of requests
  - Do so without corrupting database
  - Don't hand out too much money

ATM bank server example

- Suppose we wanted to implement a server process to handle requests from an ATM network:
  ```
  BankServer() {
    while (TRUE) {
      ReceiveRequest(&op, &acctId, &amount);
      ProcessRequest(op, acctId, amount);
    }
  }
  ```
- How could we speed this up?
  - More than one request being processed at once.
  - Multiple threads (multi-proc, or overlap comp and I/O).
Can Threads Help?

- One thread per request!
- Requests proceeds to completion, blocking as required:
  
  ```
  Deposit(acctId, amount) {
    acct = GetAccount(actId); /* May use disk I/O */
    acct->balance += amount;
    StoreAccount(acct); /* Involves disk I/O */
  }
  ```
- Unfortunately, shared state can get corrupted:
  
  ```
  Thread 1
  load r1, acct->balance
  add r1, amount1
  store r1, acct->balance
  
  Thread 2
  load r1, acct->balance
  add r1, amount2
  store r1, acct->balance
  ```

Problem is at the lowest level

- Most of the time, threads are working on separate data, so scheduling doesn’t matter:
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread A</th>
<th>Thread B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x = 1;</td>
<td>y = 2;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- However, What about (Initially, y = 12):
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread A</th>
<th>Thread B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x = 1;</td>
<td>y = 2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = y+1;</td>
<td>y = y'2;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  - What are the possible values of x?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread A</th>
<th>Thread B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x = 1;</td>
<td>y = 2;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = y+1;</td>
<td>y = y'2;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  x = 5
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</tbody>
</table>

  x = 3
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**Summary**

- Concurrent threads are a very useful abstraction
  - Allow transparent overlapping of computation and I/O
  - Allow use of parallel processing when available

- Concurrent threads introduce problems when accessing shared data
  - Programs must be insensitive to arbitrary interleavings
  - Without careful design, shared variables can become completely inconsistent

- Next lecture: deal with concurrency problems