Recap: Security Requirements in Distributed Systems

- **Authentication**
  - Ensures that a user is who is claiming to be

- **Data integrity**
  - Ensure that data is not changed from source to destination or after being written on a storage device

- **Confidentiality**
  - Ensures that data is read only by authorized users

- **Non-repudiation**
  - Sender/client can’t later claim didn’t send/write data
  - Receiver/server can’t claim didn’t receive/write data

Review: Digital Certificates

- How do you know $\ell$ is Alice’s public key?
- Main idea: trusted authority signs a binding (Alice’s public key, Alice) with its private key.

\[
E((\ell, \text{Alice}), K_{\text{verisign_private}}) = \text{Alice}
\]

Bob

\[
D(E((\ell, \text{Alice}), K_{\text{verisign_private}}), K_{\text{verisign_public}}) = \text{Alice}
\]

Goals for Today

- **Host Compromise**
  - Attacker gains control of a host

- **Denial-of-Service**
  - Attacker prevents legitimate users from gaining service

- Attack can be both
  - E.g., host compromise that provides resources for denial-of-service
Host Compromise

- One of earliest major Internet security incidents
  - Morris Worm (1988): compromised almost every BSD-derived machine on Internet
- Today: estimated that a single worm could compromise 10M hosts in < 5 min using a zero-day exploit
- Attacker gains control of a host
  - Reads data (e.g., passwords, credit card numbers, …)
  - Compromises another host
  - Launches denial-of-service attack on another host
  - Erases data
  - Encrypts data and demands a ransom
    » Cryptolocker virus (2013)
Definitions

- **Worm**
  - Replicates itself usually using buffer overflow attack
- **Virus**
  - Program that attaches itself to another (usually trusted) program or document
- **Trojan horse**
  - Program that allows a hacker a back door to compromised machine
- **Botnet (Zombies)**
  - A collection of programs running autonomously and controlled remotely
  - Can be used to spread out worms, mounting DDoS attacks

Trojan Example

- Nov/Dec e-mail message sent containing holiday message and a link or attachment
- Goal: trick user into opening link/attachment (social engineering)
- Adds keystroke logger or turns into zombie
- How? Typically by using a buffer overflow exploit

Buffer Overflow

- Part of the request sent by the attacker too large to fit into buffer program uses to hold it
- Spills over into memory beyond the buffer
- Allows remote attacker to inject executable code

```c
void get_cookie(char *packet) {
    ... (200 bytes of local vars) ...
    munch(packet);
    ...
}
void munch(char *packet) {
    int n;
    char cookie[512];
    ...
    code here computes offset of cookie in packet, stores it in n
    strcpy(cookie, &packet[n]);
    ...
}
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Stack:

- `get_cookie()`'s stack frame
- `munch()`'s stack frame
- `strcpy()`'s stack frame
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Buffer Overflow

- The scenario above depended on the stack growing down.

- Can we prevent these kinds of overruns by growing the stack up instead – so overruns run into empty space instead of the stack?
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Buffer Overflow

- The scenario above depended on the stack growing down.

- Can we prevent these kinds of overruns by growing the stack up instead – so overruns run into empty space instead of the stack?

- Not very effective – there are other opportunities to write into a return address.

```
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Buffer Overflow in upward stack
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    . . .
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Administrivia

- Project 4 design due date changed
  - Tuesday 4/29 by 11:59PM
- Midterm II is April 28th 4-5:30pm in 245 Li Ka Shing and 100 GPB
  - Covers Lectures #13-23, projects, handouts, readings
  - Closed book and notes, no calculators
  - One double-sides handwritten page of notes allowed
  - Review session: Fri Apr 25th 4-6pm in 245 Li Ka Shing
  - Three years of finals and 2nd midterms exams online:
    » Fall 2013, Spring 2013, Spring 2012, Fall 2011, Spring 2011

Buffer Overflow Solution?

- Make stack (and heap) non-executable
  - OS sets the No Execute (NX) bit in stack and heap Page Table Entries
  - Windows introduced support for this as Data Execution Protection in Windows XP SP 2

- Prevents buffer overflow from injecting code into app
- Does this solve the buffer overflow problem?
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Buffer Overflow Solution?

• Make stack (and heap) non-executable
  • OS sets the *No Execute* (NX) bit in stack and heap Page Table Entries
  • Windows introduced support for this as *Data Execution Protection* in Windows XP SP 2

• Prevents buffer overflow from injecting code into app

• Does this solve the buffer overflow problem?

• Remarkably NO!
  • Return-oriented Programming (first described in 1997)
  • Attack refined in response to NX bit and DEP

Return-oriented Programming

• Attacker can’t inject code anymore, but doesn’t need to!
  – Application and system libraries have all the code an attacker needs, sort of…

• Look for *useful* fragments of code followed by a return instruction – these are called “gadgets”

• Instead of injecting code, attacker injects arguments for and addresses of existing code fragments (gadget + args!)

• Uses existing return call to run sequence of gadgets

Return-oriented Programming

• Find gadgets in application binary and system libraries
  – First find a return instruction (in code or executable string)
  – Then, look backwards for instruction sequences that pop values from the stack into registers
  – Or, look backwards for instruction sequences that do function attacker wants (e.g., syscall for shell access!)
  – Finally, write sequence of gadget addresses/args onto stack

• Automated gadget finding tool: ROPgadget
  – Demo: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a8_fDdWB2-M

• “Defense”: Use 64-bit Address Space Layout Randomization
  – But, must use 64-bit application and libraries (DLLs)
  – All must to use ASLR
Automated Compromise: Worms

- When attacker compromises a host, they can instruct it to do whatever they want

- Instructing it to find more vulnerable hosts to repeat the process creates a worm: a program that self-replicates across a network
- Often spread by picking 32-bit Internet addresses at random to probe ...
- … but this isn’t fundamental

- As the worm repeatedly replicates, it grows exponentially fast because each copy of the worm works in parallel to find more victims

Worm Spreading

\[ f = \frac{e^{K(t-T)} - 1}{1 + e^{K(t-T)}} \]

- \( f \) – fraction of hosts infected
- \( K \) – rate at which one host can compromise others
- \( T \) – start time of the attack

Worm Examples

- Morris worm (1988)
- Code Red v2 (2001)
  - 369K hosts in 10 hours
- MS Slammer (January 2003)
  - Around 70k hosts in 10 minutes
- Theoretical worms
  - Zero-day exploit, efficient infection and propagation
  - 1M hosts in 1.3 sec
  - $50B+ damage

Morris Worm (1988)

- Infect multiple types of machines (Sun 3 and VAX)
  - Was supposed to be benign: estimate size of Internet
- Used multiple security holes including
  - Buffer overflow in fingerd
  - Debugging routines in sendmail
  - Password cracking
- Intend to be benign but it had a bug
  - Fixed chance the worm wouldn’t quit when reinfecting a machine \( \rightarrow \) number of worm on a host built up rendering the machine unusable
### Code Red Worm (2001)

- Attempts to connect to TCP port 80 (i.e., HTTP port) on a randomly chosen host
- If successful, the attacking host sends a crafted HTTP GET request to the victim, attempting to exploit a buffer overflow
- Worm “bug”: all copies of the worm use the same random generator and seed to scan new hosts
  - DoS attack on those hosts
  - Slow to infect new hosts
- 2nd generation of Code Red fixed the bug!
  - It spread much faster

### MS SQL Slammer (January 2003)

- Host zero never found
- Author never found
- Average programmer
  - several bugs in random number generator
  - significant chunks of IPV4 address space not covered and therefore safe.

### MS SQL Slammer (January 2003)

- Uses UDP port 1434 to exploit a buffer overflow in MS SQL server
  - 376-bytes plus UDP and IP headers: one packet
- Effect
  - Generate massive amounts of network packets
  - Brought down as many as 5 of the 13 internet root name servers
- Others
  - The worm only spreads as an in-memory process: it never writes itself to the hard drive
  - Solution: close UDP port on firewall and reboot
Hall of Shame

- Software that have had many stack overflow bugs:
  - BIND (most popular DNS server)
  - RPC (Remote Procedure Call, used for NFS)
    » NFS (Network File System), widely used at UCB
  - Sendmail (most popular UNIX mail delivery software)
  - IIS (Windows web server)
  - SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol, used to manage routers and other network devices)

Quiz 22.1: Security

- Q1: True _ False _ A digital certificate provides a binding between a host’s identity and their public key
- Q2: True _ False _ A server must store a user’s password in plaintext form so it can be checked against a submitted password
- Q3: True _ False _ Worms require human intervention to propagate
- Q4: True _ False _ Using a type-safe language eliminates the risk of buffer overflows
Quiz 22.1: Security

- Q1: True ✗ False ✗ A digital certificate provides a binding between a host's identity and their public key
- Q2: True ✗ False ✗ A server must store a user's password in plaintext form so it can be checked against a submitted password
- Q4: True ✗ False ✗ Worms require human intervention to propagate
- Q5: True ✗ False ✗ Using a type-safe language eliminates the risk of buffer overflows

Potential Solutions

- Don’t write buggy software
  - Program defensively – validate all user-provided inputs
  - Deprecate gets, strcpy, and other unbounded functions
  - Use code checkers (slow, incomplete coverage)
- Use Type-safe Languages (Java, Perl, Python, …)
  - Eliminate unrestricted memory access of C/C++
- Use OS, HW support for no-execute regions (stack, heap)
  - Still have risks of Return-oriented Programming
- Leverage OS architecture features
  - Use 64-bit Address space randomization (app AND all DLLs)
  - Compartmentalize access rights in programs
- Add network firewalls

Network Firewall

- Security device whose goal is to prevent computers from outside to gain control to inside machines
- Hardware or software

Firewall (cont’d)

- Restrict traffic between Internet and devices (machines) behind it based on
  - Source address and port number
  - Payload
  - Stateful analysis of data
- Examples of rules
  - Block any external packets not for port 80 (i.e., HTTP port)
  - Block any email with an attachment or attachment type
  - Block any external packets with an internal IP address
    - Ingress filtering
Firewalls: Properties

- Easier to deploy firewall than secure all internal hosts
- Doesn’t prevent user exploitation/social networking attacks
- Tradeoff between availability of services (firewall passes more ports on more machines) and security
  - If firewall is too restrictive, users will find way around it, thus compromising security
  - E.g., tunnel all services using port 80

Denial of Service

- Huge problem in current Internet
  - Major sites attacked: Yahoo!, Amazon, eBay, CNN, Microsoft
  - 12,000 attacks on 2,000 domains in 1 week (2001)
  - Almost all attacks launched from compromised hosts
- CyberBunker.com 300Gb/s DDoS attack against Spamhaus
  - Spring 2013: more than 600,000 packets/second!
  - 35 yr old Dutchman “S.K.” arrested in Spain on 4/26
  - Was using van with “various antennas” as mobile office
- General Form
  - Prevent legitimate users from gaining service by overloading or crashing a server
  - E.g., SYN attack

Recap: TCP 3-Way Handshaking

- Goal: agree on a set of parameters: the start sequence number for each side
  - Starting sequence numbers are random.

  ![TCP 3-Way Handshaking Diagram]

  - Client (initiator): SYN, SeqNum = x
  - Server: SYN and ACK, SeqNum = y and Ack = x + 1
  - ACK, Ack = y + 1
  - Server must remember y (usually in a state machine)

SYN Attack’s Effect on Victim

- Buggy implementations allow unfinished connections to eat all memory, leading to crash
- Better implementations limit the number of unfinished connections
  - Once limit reached, new SYNs are dropped
- Effect on victim’s users
  - Users can’t access the targeted service on the victim because the unfinished connection queue is full → DoS
SYN Attack

- Attacker: send at max rate TCP SYN with random spoofed source address to victim
  - Spoofing: use a different source IP address than own
  - Random spoofing allows one host to pretend to be many

- Victim receives many SYN packets
  - Send SYN+ACK back to spoofed IP addresses
  - Holds some memory until 3-way handshake completes
    » Usually never, so victim times out after long period (e.g., 3 minutes)

Solution: SYN Cookies

- Server: send SYN-ACK with sequence number y, where
  - y = HMAC(client_IP_addr, client_port, server_key)
  - HMAC(): Hash Message Authentication Code
    and forget about the connection attempt (don't use any resources)

- Client: send ACK containing y+1

- Server:
  - verify if y = HMAC(client_IP_addr, client_port, server_key)
  - If verification passes, allocate memory

- Note: server doesn’t allocate any memory if the client’s address is spoofed

Other Denial-of-Service Attacks

- Reflection
  - Cause one non-compromised host to attack another
  - E.g., host A sends DNS request or TCP SYN with source V to server R. R sends reply to V

Reflector (R)
Internet
Attacker (A)

Victim (V)
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Identifying and Stop Attacking Machines

- Develop techniques for defeating spoofed source addresses
- Egress filtering
  - A domain’s border router drop outgoing packets which do not have a valid source address for that domain
  - If universal, could abolish spoofing
- IP Traceback
  - Routers probabilistically tag packets with an identifier
  - Destination can infer path to true source after receiving enough packets

Distributed Denial-of-Service Attacks

- Zombie botnet used to generate massive traffic flows/packet rates
- March 19, 2013: Spamhaus hit with 300 Gb/s DDoS attack by Cyberbunker

Review: Two-Factor Authentication

- Authentication typically involves:
  - Something the user knows (e.g. password, friend’s face)
  - Something the user has (ATM card, security token, dongle)
  - Something the user is (face, voice, fingerprints, bio-signs)
- Two-factor authentication involves two of these factors
  - Smartphone app provides a pseudorandom PIN for password
  - Credit Card – Chip and PIN
  - Password plus PIN from security token

- Authentication typically involves:
  - Something the user knows (e.g. password, friend’s face)
  - Something the user has (ATM card, fob, dongle)
  - Something the user is (face, voice, fingerprints, bio-signs)
- Two-factor authentication involves two of these factors
  - Password plus PIN from security token
Stepping Stone Compromise

- Today's most sophisticated attacks
  - Multi-step/compromise attack
- RSA SecurID token
  - 2-factor authentication device
  - Code changes every few seconds
  - Data on codes stolen in March 2011
- 760 companies attacked using stolen SecurID info
  - 20% of Fortune 100
  - Charles Schwab & Co., Cisco Systems, eBay, European Space Agency, Facebook, Freddie Mac, Google, General Services Administration, IBM, Intel Corp., IRS, MIT, Motorola, Northrop Grumman, Verisign, VMWare, Wachovia, Wells Fargo, …

Advanced Persistent Threats

1. Phishing and Zero day attack

A handful of users are targeted by two phishing attacks; one user opens Zero day payload (CVE-2011-0609)


2. The user machine is accessed remotely by Poison Ivy tool

Summary

- Security is one of the biggest problems today
  - Host Compromise
    - Poorly written software
    - Partial solutions: better OS security architecture, type-safe languages, firewalls
  - Denial-of-Service
    - No easy solution: DoS can happen at many levels
    - DDoS attacks can be very difficult to defeat