Due: Tuesday, 19 February 2008 at 9:00AM

Handing in homework. Copy the homework framework into a copy of your personal working directory (call it DIR) with the command:

```
svn copy svn+ssh://cs61b-tj@HOST/staff/hw3 DIR/hw3
```

Alternatively, you might have set up your working directories like this:

```
cd DIRECTORY-WHERE-I-KEEP-SVN-STUFF svn co svn+ssh://cs164-tj@HOST/LOGIN {\it LOGIN} svn co svn+ssh://cs164-tj@HOST/staff staff
```

in which case, you can do this:

```
cd DIRECTORY-WHERE-I-KEEP-SVN-STUFF svn update staff svn copy staff/hw3 LOGIN
```

In either case, commit hw3, complete the assignment, commit the final hw3, and hand it in with

```
cd DIRECTORY-WHERE-I-KEEP-SVN-STUFF/LOGIN svn copy hw3 tags/hw3-1 svn commit
```

or with

```
svn copy svn+ssh://cs164-tj@HOST/\{LOGIN/\{hw3,tags/hw3-1\}\}
```

(In the Unix shells, "foo/bar,baz" is the same as "foo/bar foo/baz").

Unless the problem specifies otherwise, please put your solutions in a file named hw3.txt.

1. [From Aho, Sethi, Ullman] Indicate what language is described by each of the following grammars. In each case, S is the only non-terminal. Some symbols are quoted to make it clear that they are terminals.

```
a. S \to 0 S 1 | 0 1
b. S \to + S S | - S S | a
c. S \to S "(" S ")" S | \epsilon
d. S \to a S b S | b S a S | \epsilon
e. S \to a | S + S | S S | S "*" | "(" S ")"
```

Homework #3

2. Identify each ambiguous grammar in problem 1 above, and give an unambiguous grammar that recognizes the same language (any such grammar—don't worry about associativity or precedence, since there are no semantic actions.)

- **3.** For 1d above, give two distinct leftmost derivations for the string *abab*. For each derivation, show the corresponding parse tree and the rightmost derivation for that same parse tree.
- **4.** [From Aho, Sethi, Ullman] Show that all binary (base 2) numerals produced by the following grammar denote numbers that are divisible by 3:

$$N \rightarrow 11 \mid 1001 \mid N \mid 0 \mid N \mid N$$

Does this grammar generate all non-negative binary numerals that are divisible by 3?

- **5.** A context-free grammar is *regular* if every production has either the form $A \to xB$, or the form $A \to x$, where A and B are non-terminals and x is a string of 0 or more terminal symbols. Show that the language described by any such grammar can be recognized by a NDFA (hence the term *regular*).
- **6.** [From Aho, Sethi, Ullman] Try to design a context-free grammar for each of the following languages (it is not always possible). Whenever possible, make it a regular grammar.
 - a. The set of all strings of 0's and 1's where every 0 is immediately followed by at least one 1.
 - b. Strings of 0's and 1's with an equal number of 0's and 1's.
 - c. Strings of 0's and 1's with an unequal number of 0's and 1's.
 - d. Strings of 0's and 1's that do not contain the substring 011.
 - e. Strings of 0's and 1's of the form xy where x and y are equal-length strings and $x \neq y$.
 - f. Strings of 0's and 1's of the form xx.
- 7. Write a BNF grammar describing the language of boolean expressions whose value is true. The terminal symbols are '1' (true), '0' (false), '*' (logical and), '+' (logical or), unary '-' (logical not) and left and right parentheses (for grouping). Assume the usual precedence rules, with logical "not" having highest precedence. That is, 1, 1*1, 1+0, 1*1*-(0+1*0), and -0 are all in the language, while 0, 0+0, prog—0*1—, and 1*1*(0+1*0) are not. Your grammar may be ambiguous (that is, you may specify operator precedence and associativity separately). Put your solution in a file 7.y. Start with the skeleton in 7.y in the files for this homework assignment.

Homework #3

8. Consider the following ambiguous grammar:

The start symbol is prog; ID and the quoted characters are the terminals.

- a. Produce an (improper) LL(1) parsing table for this grammar. Since it is ambiguous, some slots will have more than one production; list all of them. Show the FIRST and FOLLOW sets.
- b. Modify the grammar to be LL(1) and repeat part a with it.

In this case, we're just interested in recognizing the language, so don't worry about preserving precedence and associativity.