## CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

## Bayes' Nets
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## Review: Probabilistic Inference

- Probabilistic inference: compute a desired probability from other known probabilities (e.g. conditional from joint)
- We generally compute conditional probabilities
- P (on time | no reported accidents) $=0.90$
- These represent the agent's beliefs given the evidence
- Probabilities change with new evidence:
- P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m.) $=0.95$
- P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m., raining) $=0.80$
- Observing new evidence causes beliefs to be updated



## Review: Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
- Evidence variables:
- Query* variable:
- Hidden variables:

- We want:
* Works fine with multiple query variables, too

$$
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)
$$

- Step 3: Normalize
of Query and evidence


$$
P\left(Q, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)=\sum_{h_{1} \ldots h_{r}} P(\underbrace{Q, h_{1} \ldots h_{r}, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}}_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}})
$$

## Review: Inference by Enumeration

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})$ ?
- P(W | winter)?
- P(W | winter, hot)?

| S | T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| summer | hot | sun | 0.30 |
| summer | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot | sun | 0.10 |
| winter | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

## Review: Inference by Enumeration

- Obvious problems:
- Worst-case time complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
- Space complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ to store the joint distribution


## Review: The Product Rule

- Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}
$$



## Review: The Product Rule

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y)
$$

- Example:

| $P(W)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| R | P |
| sun | 0.8 |
| rain | 0.2 |


| $P(D \mid W)$ |
| :--- |
| D |
| W |
| wet |
| dry |
| sun |
| wet |
| dry |
| rain |
| 0.1 |


| $P(D, W)$ |
| :--- |
| D |
| W |
| wet |
| sun |
| dry |
| sun |
| wet |
| dry |
| rain |
| rain |

## Review: The Chain Rule

- More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Why is this always true?


## Probabilistic Models

- Models describe how (a portion of) the world works
- Models are always simplifications
- May not account for every variable
- May not account for all interactions between variables
- "All models are wrong; but some are useful."
- George E. P. Box

- What do we do with probabilistic models?
- We (or our agents) need to reason about unknown variables, given evidence
- Example: explanation (diagnostic reasoning)
- Example: prediction (causal reasoning)
- Example: value of information

Independence


## Independence

- Two variables are independent if:

$$
\forall x, y: P(x, y)=P(x) P(y)
$$

- This says that their joint distribution factors into a product two simpler distributions
- Another form:

$$
\forall x, y: P(x \mid y)=P(x)
$$

- We write: $\quad X \Perp Y$
- Independence is a simplifying modeling assumption
- Empirical joint distributions: at best "close" to independent
- What could we assume for \{Weather, Traffic, Cavity, Toothache\}?



## Example: Independence?

| $P_{1}(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

$P(T)$

| T | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |


| T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.3 |
| hot | rain | 0.2 |
| cold | sun | 0.3 |
| cold | rain | 0.2 |

## Example: Independence

- N fair, independent coin flips:

| $P\left(X_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: |
| H |
| T |

$P\left(X_{2}\right)$

| $H$ | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 0.5 |

$P\left(X_{n}\right)$

| H | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | 0.5 |



## Conditional Independence



## Conditional Independence

- P(Toothache, Cavity, Catch)
- If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:
- $\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +toothache, +cavity) $=\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +cavity)
- The same independence holds if I don't have a cavity:
- $\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +toothache, -cavity $)=\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | -cavity $)$
- Catch is conditionally independent of Toothache given Cavity:
- P(Catch | Toothache, Cavity) $=\mathrm{P}($ Catch | Cavity $)$

- Equivalent statements:
- P(Toothache | Catch , Cavity) $=\mathrm{P}$ (Toothache | Cavity)
- P (Toothache, Catch | Cavity) $=\mathrm{P}$ (Toothache | Cavity) P (Catch | Cavity)
- One can be derived from the other easily


## Conditional Independence

- Unconditional (absolute) independence very rare (why?)
- Conditional independence is our most basic and robust form of knowledge about uncertain environments.
- $X$ is conditionally independent of $Y$ given $Z$

$$
X \Perp Y \mid Z
$$

if and only if:

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x, y \mid z)=P(x \mid z) P(y \mid z)
$$

or, equivalently, if and only if

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x \mid z, y)=P(x \mid z)
$$

## Conditional Independence

- What about this domain:
- Traffic
- Umbrella
- Raining



## Conditional Independence

- What about this domain:
- Fire
- Smoke
- Alarm



## Conditional Independence and the Chain Rule

- Chain rule:

$$
P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}\right)=P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \ldots
$$

- Trivial decomposition:
$P($ Traffic, Rain, Umbrella $)=$ $P$ (Rain) $P$ (Traffic $\mid$ Rain $) P$ (Umbrella|Rain, Traffic)
- With assumption of conditional independence:

$P($ Traffic, Rain, Umbrella $)=$ $P$ (Rain) $P$ (Traffic|Rain) $P$ (Umbrella|Rain)
- Bayes'nets / graphical models help us express conditional independence assumptions


## Ghostbusters Chain Rule

- Each sensor depends only on where the ghost is

| ors are t, given the | $P(T, B, G)=P(G) P(T \mid G) P(B \mid G)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | B | G | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{G})$ |
|  | +t | +b | +g | 0.16 |
|  | +t | +b | -g | 0.16 |
| 0.50 | +t | -b | +g | 0.24 |
|  | +t | -b | -g | 0.04 |
|  | -t | +b | +g | 0.04 |
| 0.50 | -t | +b | -g | 0.24 |
|  | -t | -b | +g | 0.06 |
|  | -t | -b | -g | 0.06 |



## Bayes'Nets: Big Picture



## Bayes' Nets: Big Picture

- Two problems with using full joint distribution tables as our probabilistic models:
- Unless there are only a few variables, the joint is WAY too big to represent explicitly
- Hard to learn (estimate) anything empirically about more than a few variables at a time

- Bayes' nets: a technique for describing complex joint distributions (models) using simple, local distributions (conditional probabilities)
- More properly called graphical models
- We describe how variables locally interact
- Local interactions chain together to give global, indirect interactions
- For about 10 min, we'll be vague about how these interactions are specified



## Example Bayes' Net: Insurance



## Example Bayes' Net: Car



## Graphical Model Notation

- Nodes: variables (with domains)
- Can be assigned (observed) or unassigned (unobserved)
- Arcs: interactions
- Similar to CSP constraints
- Indicate "direct influence" between variables
- Formally: encode conditional independence (more later)
- For now: imagine that arrows mean
 direct causation (in general, they don't!)


## Example: Coin Flips

- N independent coin flips

- No interactions between variables: absolute independence


## Example: Traffic

- Variables:
- R: It rains
- T : There is traffic

- Model 1: independence

- Model 2: rain causes traffic

- Why is an agent using model 2 better?


## Example: Traffic II

- Let's build a causal graphical model!
- Variables
- T:Traffic
- R: It rains
- L: Low pressure
- D: Roof drips
- B: Ballgame
- C: Cavity



## Example: Alarm Network

- Variables
- B: Burglary
- A: Alarm goes off
- M: Mary calls
- J: John calls
- E: Earthquake!



## Bayes' Net Semantics



## Bayes' Net Semantics

- A set of nodes, one per variable $X$
- A directed, acyclic graph
- A conditional distribution for each node
- A collection of distributions over $X$, one for each combination of parents' values

$$
P\left(X \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)
$$

- CPT: conditional probability table


$$
P\left(X \mid A_{1} \ldots A_{n}\right)
$$

- Description of a noisy "causal" process

A Bayes net = Topology (graph) + Local Conditional Probabilities

## Probabilities in BNs

- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
- As a product of local conditional distributions
- To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \text { parents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Example:


$$
P(+ \text { cavity, +catch, -toothache })
$$

## Probabilities in BNs

- Why are we guaranteed that setting

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \text { parents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

results in a proper joint distribution?

- Chain rule (valid for all distributions): $\quad P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)$
- Assume conditional independences: $\quad P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right)=P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Consequence: $\quad P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$
- Not every BN can represent every joint distribution
- The topology enforces certain conditional independencies


## Example: Coin Flips



$$
P(h, h, t, h)=
$$

## Example: Traffic



## Example: Alarm Network



## Example: Traffic

- Causal direction


| $P(T, R)$ |
| :---: |
| +r |
| +t $3 / 16$  <br> $+r$ -t $1 / 16$ <br> $-r$ +t $6 / 16$ <br> $-r$ -t $6 / 16$ |

## Example: Reverse Traffic

- Reverse causality?

$P(T, R)$

| $+r$ | +t | $3 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +r | -t | $1 / 16$ |
| -r | +t | $6 / 16$ |
| -r | -t | $6 / 16$ |

## Causality?

- When Bayes' nets reflect the true causal patterns:
- Often simpler (nodes have fewer parents)
- Often easier to think about
- Often easier to elicit from experts
- BNs need not actually be causal
- Sometimes no causal net exists over the domain
 (especially if variables are missing)
- E.g. consider the variables Traffic and Drips
- End up with arrows that reflect correlation, not causation
- What do the arrows really mean?
- Topology may happen to encode causal structure
- Topology really encodes conditional independence

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right)=P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

## Bayes' Nets

- So far: how a Bayes' net encodes a joint distribution
- Next: how to answer queries about that distribution
- Today:
- First assembled BNs using an intuitive notion of conditional independence as causality
- Then saw that key property is conditional independence
- Main goal: answer queries about conditional independence and influence
- After that: how to answer numerical queries (inference)


