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Review: Bayes' Net Semantics


## Probability Recap

- Conditional probability $\quad P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}$
- Product rule

$$
P(x, y)=P(x \mid y) P(y)
$$

- Chain rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}\right) & =P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \ldots \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ independent if and only if: $\quad \forall x, y: P(x, y)=P(x) P(y)$
- X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if and only if:

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x, y \mid z)=P(x \mid z) P(y \mid z) \quad X \Perp Y \mid Z
$$

## Bayes' Nets

- A Bayes' net is an efficient encoding of a probabilistic model of a domain
- Questions we can ask:

- Inference: given a fixed BN , what is $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{e})$ ?
- Representation: given a BN graph, what kinds of distributions can it encode?
- Modeling: what BN is most appropriate for a given domain?


## Bayes' Net Semantics

- A set of nodes, one per variable $X$
- A directed, acyclic graph
- A conditional distribution for each node
- A collection of distributions over $X$, one for each combination of parents' values

$$
P\left(X \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)
$$

- CPT: conditional probability table


$$
P\left(X \mid A_{1} \ldots A_{n}\right)
$$

- Description of a noisy "causal" process

A Bayes net = Topology (graph) + Local Conditional Probabilities

## Probabilities in BNs

- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
- As a product of local conditional distributions
- To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \text { parents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Example:


$$
P(+ \text { cavity, +catch, -toothache })
$$

## Probabilities in BNs

- Why are we guaranteed that setting

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \text { parents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

results in a proper joint distribution?

- Chain rule (valid for all distributions): $\quad P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)$
- Assume conditional independences: $\quad P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right)=P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Consequence: $\quad P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$
- Not every BN can represent every joint distribution
- The topology enforces certain conditional independencies


## Example: Coin Flips



$$
P(h, h, t, h)=
$$

## Example: Traffic



## Example: Alarm Network



## Example: Alarm Network

| $B$ | $P(B)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+b$ | 0.001 |
| $-b$ | 0.999 |


$P(+b,-e,+a,-j,+m)=$

| $A$ | $J$ | $P(J \mid A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+a$ | $+j$ | 0.9 |
| +a | -j | 0.1 |
| -a | +j | 0.05 |
| -a | -j | 0.95 |


| $B$ | $E$ | $A$ | $P(A \mid B, E)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+b$ | $+e$ | $+a$ | 0.95 |
| $+b$ | $+e$ | $-a$ | 0.05 |
| $+b$ | $-e$ | $+a$ | 0.94 |
| $+b$ | $-e$ | $-a$ | 0.06 |
| $-b$ | $+e$ | $+a$ | 0.29 |
| $-b$ | $+e$ | $-a$ | 0.71 |
| $-b$ | $-e$ | $+a$ | 0.001 |
| $-b$ | $-e$ | $-a$ | 0.999 |

## Example: Alarm Network

| $B$ | $P(B)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+b$ | 0.001 |
| $-b$ | 0.999 |



| $A$ | $J$ | $P(J \mid A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +a | +j | 0.9 |
| +a | -j | 0.1 |
| -a | +j | 0.05 |
| -a | -j | 0.95 |


$P(+b,-e,+a,-j,+m)=$
$P(+b) P(-e) P(+a \mid+b,-e) P(-j \mid+a) P(+m \mid+a)=$
$0.001 \times 0.998 \times 0.94 \times 0.1 \times 0.7$

## Example: Traffic

- Causal direction


| $P(T, R)$ |
| :---: |
| +r |
| +t $3 / 16$  <br> $+r$ -t $1 / 16$ <br> $-r$ +t $6 / 16$ <br> $-r$ -t $6 / 16$ |

## Example: Reverse Traffic

- Reverse causality?

$P(T, R)$

| $+r$ | +t | $3 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +r | -t | $1 / 16$ |
| -r | +t | $6 / 16$ |
| -r | -t | $6 / 16$ |

## Causality?

- When Bayes' nets reflect the true causal patterns:
- Often simpler (nodes have fewer parents)
- Often easier to think about
- Often easier to elicit from experts
- BNs need not actually be causal
- Sometimes no causal net exists over the domain
 (especially if variables are missing)
- E.g. consider the variables Traffic and Drips
- End up with arrows that reflect correlation, not causation
- What do the arrows really mean?
- Topology may happen to encode causal structure
- Topology really encodes conditional independence

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right)=P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

## Size of a Bayes' Net

- How big is a joint distribution over N Boolean variables?


## $2^{N}$

- How big is an N -node net if nodes have up to $k$ parents?
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{*} 2^{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)$
- Both give you the power to calculate

$$
P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}\right)
$$

- BNs: Huge space savings!
- Also easier to elicit local CPTs
- Also faster to answer queries (coming)



## Bayes' Nets

- So far: how a Bayes' net encodes a joint distribution
- Next: how to answer queries about that distribution
- Last Time:
- First assembled BNs using an intuitive notion of conditional independence as causality
- Then saw that key property is conditional independence
- Main goal: answer queries about conditional independence and influence
- Today: how to answer numerical queries (inference)



## Bayes' Nets

Representation

- Conditional Independences
- Probabilistic Inference
- Learning Bayes' Nets from Data


## Bayes Nets: Assumptions

- Assumptions we are required to make to define the Bayes net when given the graph:

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)=P\left(x_{i} \mid \operatorname{parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Beyond above "chain rule $\rightarrow$ Bayes net" conditional independence assumptions
- Often additional conditional independences
- They can be read off the graph
- Important for modeling: understand assumptions made
 when choosing a Bayes net graph


## Example



- Conditional independence assumptions directly from simplifications in chain rule:
- Additional implied conditional independence assumptions?


## Independence in a BN

- Important question about a BN:
- Are two nodes independent given certain evidence?
- If yes, can prove using algebra (tedious in general)
- If no, can prove with a counter example
- Example:

- Question: are $X$ and $Z$ necessarily independent?
- Answer: no. Example: low pressure causes rain, which causes traffic.
- X can influence Z, Z can influence X (via Y)
- Addendum: they could be independent: how?


## D-separation: Outline



## D-separation: Outline

- Study independence properties for triples
- Analyze complex cases in terms of member triples
- D-separation: a condition / algorithm for answering such queries


## Causal Chains

- This configuration is a "causal chain"


$$
P(x, y, z)=P(x) P(y \mid x) P(z \mid y)
$$

- Guaranteed X independent of Z ? No!
- One example set of CPTs for which $X$ is not independent of $Z$ is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
- Example:
- Low pressure causes rain causes traffic, high pressure causes no rain causes no traffic
- In numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(+y \mid+x)=1, P(-y \mid-x)=1, \\
& P(+z \mid+y)=1, P(-z \mid-y)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Causal Chains

- This configuration is a "causal chain"


$$
P(x, y, z)=P(x) P(y \mid x) P(z \mid y)
$$

- Guaranteed $X$ independent of $Z$ given $Y$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(z \mid x, y) & =\frac{P(x, y, z)}{P(x, y)} \\
& =\frac{P(x) P(y \mid x) P(z \mid y)}{P(x) P(y \mid x)} \\
& =P(z \mid y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Yes!

- Evidence along the chain "blocks" the influence


## Common Cause

- This configuration is a "common cause"

- Guaranteed X independent of Z ? No!
- One example set of CPTs for which $X$ is not independent of $Z$ is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
- Example:
- Project due causes both forums busy and lab full
- In numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(+x \mid+y)=1, P(-x \mid-y)=1, \\
& P(+z \mid+y)=1, P(-z \mid-y)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P(x, y, z)=P(y) P(x \mid y) P(z \mid y)
$$

## Common Cause

- This configuration is a "common cause"


$$
P(x, y, z)=P(y) P(x \mid y) P(z \mid y)
$$

- Guaranteed $X$ and $Z$ independent given $Y$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(z \mid x, y) & =\frac{P(x, y, z)}{P(x, y)} \\
& =\frac{P(y) P(x \mid y) P(z \mid y)}{P(y) P(x \mid y)} \\
& =P(z \mid y) \\
& \text { Yes! }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Observing the cause blocks influence between effects.


## Common Effect

- Last configuration: two causes of one effect (v-structures)

- Are $X$ and $Y$ independent?
- Yes: the ballgame and the rain cause traffic, but they are not correlated
- Still need to prove they must be (try it!)
- Are X and Y independent given Z ?
- No: seeing traffic puts the rain and the ballgame in competition as explanation.
- This is backwards from the other cases
- Observing an effect activates influence between possible causes.

The General Case


## The General Case

- General question: in a given BN , are two variables independent (given evidence)?
- Solution: analyze the graph
- Any complex example can be broken into repetitions of the three canonical cases



## Reachability

- Recipe: shade evidence nodes, look for paths in the resulting graph
- Attempt 1: if two nodes are connected by an undirected path not blocked by a shaded node, they are conditionally independent
- Almost works, but not quite
- Where does it break?
- Answer: the v-structure at T doesn't count as a link in a path unless "active"



## Active / Inactive Paths

- Question: Are $X$ and $Y$ conditionally independent given evidence variables $\{Z\}$ ?
- Yes, if $X$ and $Y$ "d-separated" by $Z$
- Consider all (undirected) paths from $X$ to $Y$
- No active paths = independence!
- A path is active if each triple is active:
- Causal chain $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ where $B$ is unobserved (either direction)
- Common cause $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$ where $B$ is unobserved
- Common effect (aka v-structure)
$A \rightarrow B \leftarrow C$ where $B$ or one of its descendents is observed
- All it takes to block a path is a single inactive segment
- Note: These triples are all active (and similar for the other cases, i.e. the variables on either end of the triple can be observed or unobserved)




Active Triples









## D-Separation

- Query: $\quad X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid\left\{X_{k_{1}}, \ldots, X_{k_{n}}\right\}$ ?
- Check all (undirected!) paths between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$
- If one or more active, then independence not guaranteed

$$
X_{i} \mathbb{X} X_{j} \mid\left\{X_{k_{1}}, \ldots, X_{k_{n}}\right\}
$$

- Otherwise (i.e. if all paths are inactive), then independence is guaranteed

$$
X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid\left\{X_{k_{1}}, \ldots, X_{k_{n}}\right\}
$$



## Example

| $R \Perp B$ | Yes |
| :--- | ---: |
| $R \Perp B \mid T$ |  |
| $R \Perp B \mid T^{\prime}$ |  |



## Example

$L \Perp T^{\prime} \mid T \quad$ Yes<br>$L \Perp B$<br>Yes<br>$L \Perp B \mid T$<br>$L \Perp B \mid T^{\prime}$<br>$L \Perp B \mid T, R \quad$ Yes



## Example

- Variables:
- R: Raining
- T: Traffic
- D: Roof drips
- S: I’m sad
- Questions:

$$
T \Perp D
$$



## Structure Implications

- Given a Bayes net structure, can run dseparation algorithm to build a complete list of conditional independences that are necessarily true of the form

$$
X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid\left\{X_{k_{1}}, \ldots, X_{k_{n}}\right\}
$$

- This list determines the set of probability distributions that can be represented


Computing All Independences
compute All the INDEPENDENCE!


## Topology Limits Distributions

- Given some graph topology G, only certain joint distributions can be encoded

- The graph structure guarantees certain
(conditional) independences
- (There might be more independence)
- Adding arcs increases the set of distributions, but has several costs
- Full conditioning can encode any distribution

```
{X\PerpY,X\PerpZ,Y # Z,
X\PerpZ|Y,X\PerpY|Z,Y\PerpZ|X}
(X) Z
\(\{X \Perp Y, X \Perp Z, Y \Perp Z\),
\(X \Perp Z|Y, X \Perp Y| Z, Y \Perp Z \mid X\}\)
```







## Bayes Nets Representation Summary

- Bayes nets compactly encode joint distributions
- Guaranteed independencies of distributions can be deduced from BN graph structure
- D-separation gives precise conditional independence guarantees from graph alone
- A Bayes' net's joint distribution may have further (conditional) independence that is not detectable until you inspect its specific distribution


## Bayes' Nets

## Representation

Conditional Independences

- Probabilistic Inference
- Enumeration (exact, exponential complexity)
- Variable elimination (exact, worst-case exponential complexity, often better)
- Probabilistic inference is NP-complete
- Sampling (approximate)
- Learning Bayes' Nets from Data

