CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

Bayes' Nets: Independence



Fall 2023

[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. All CS188 materials are available at http://ai.berkeley.edu.]

Review: Bayes' Net Semantics



Probability Recap

- Conditional probability $P(x|y) = \frac{P(x,y)}{P(y)}$
- Product rule P(x,y) = P(x|y)P(y)

• Chain rule
$$P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_1, X_2)\dots$$

 $= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$

- X, Y independent if and only if: $\forall x, y : P(x, y) = P(x)P(y)$
- X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if and only if:

$$\forall x, y, z : P(x, y|z) = P(x|z)P(y|z) \qquad X \perp Y|Z$$

Bayes' Nets

- A Bayes' net is an efficient encoding of a probabilistic model of a domain
- Questions we can ask:



- Representation: given a BN graph, what kinds of distributions can it encode?
- Modeling: what BN is most appropriate for a given domain?



Bayes' Net Semantics



- A set of nodes, one per variable X
- A directed, acyclic graph
- A conditional distribution for each node
 - A collection of distributions over X, one for each combination of parents' values

 $P(X|a_1\ldots a_n)$

- CPT: conditional probability table
- Description of a noisy "causal" process



A Bayes net = Topology (graph) + Local Conditional Probabilities

Probabilities in BNs



- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
 - As a product of local conditional distributions
 - To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

• Example:





P(+*cavity*, +*catch*, -*toothache*)

Probabilities in BNs



Why are we guaranteed that setting

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

results in a proper joint distribution?

• Chain rule (valid for all distributions):

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | x_1 \dots x_{i-1})$$

<u>Assume</u> conditional independences:

$$P(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 Consequence: $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$

- Not every BN can represent every joint distribution
 - The topology enforces certain conditional independencies

Example: Coin Flips



P(h,h,t,h) =

Only distributions whose variables are absolutely independent can be represented by a Bayes ' net with no arcs.

Example: Traffic



P(+r,-t) =





Example: Alarm Network







В	Е	Α	P(A B,E)
+b	+e	+a	0.95
+b	+e	-a	0.05
+b	-е	+a	0.94
+b	-е	-a	0.06
-b	+e	+a	0.29
-b	+e	-a	0.71
-b	-е	+a	0.001
-b	-е	-a	0.999

Example: Alarm Network



+e

+e

-е

-e

-b

-b

-b

+a

-a

+a

-a

0.71

0.001

0.999

$$P(+b, -e, +a, -j, +m) =$$

Example: Alarm Network



Example: Traffic

Causal direction







P(T,R)

+r	+t	3/16
+r	-t	1/16
-r	+t	6/16
-r	-t	6/16

Example: Reverse Traffic

Reverse causality?





P(T,R)

+r	+t	3/16
+r	-t	1/16
-r	+t	6/16
-r	-t	6/16

Causality?

When Bayes' nets reflect the true causal patterns:

- Often simpler (nodes have fewer parents)
- Often easier to think about
- Often easier to elicit from experts
- BNs need not actually be causal
 - Sometimes no causal net exists over the domain (especially if variables are missing)
 - E.g. consider the variables *Traffic* and *Drips*
 - End up with arrows that reflect correlation, not causation
- What do the arrows really mean?
 - Topology may happen to encode causal structure
 - Topology really encodes conditional independence

 $P(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$



Size of a Bayes' Net

- How big is a joint distribution over N Boolean variables?
 - 2^N
- How big is an N-node net if nodes have up to k parents?
 O(N * 2^{k+1})

- Both give you the power to calculate
 - $P(X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n)$
- BNs: Huge space savings!
- Also easier to elicit local CPTs
- Also faster to answer queries (coming)





Bayes' Nets

- So far: how a Bayes' net encodes a joint distribution
- Next: how to answer queries about that distribution
 - Last Time:
 - First assembled BNs using an intuitive notion of conditional independence as causality
 - Then saw that key property is conditional independence
 - Main goal: answer queries about conditional independence and influence
- Today: how to answer numerical queries (inference)



Bayes' Nets



- Conditional Independences
- Probabilistic Inference
- Learning Bayes' Nets from Data

Bayes Nets: Assumptions

 Assumptions we are required to make to define the Bayes net when given the graph:

 $P(x_i|x_1\cdots x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$

- Beyond above "chain rule → Bayes net" conditional independence assumptions
 - Often additional conditional independences
 - They can be read off the graph
- Important for modeling: understand assumptions made when choosing a Bayes net graph



$$(x) \rightarrow (y) \rightarrow (z) \rightarrow (w)$$

• Conditional independence assumptions directly from simplifications in chain rule:

Additional implied conditional independence assumptions?

Independence in a BN

- Important question about a BN:
 - Are two nodes independent given certain evidence?
 - If yes, can prove using algebra (tedious in general)
 - If no, can prove with a counter example
 - Example:



- Question: are X and Z necessarily independent?
 - Answer: no. Example: low pressure causes rain, which causes traffic.
 - X can influence Z, Z can influence X (via Y)
 - Addendum: they *could* be independent: how?

D-separation: Outline



D-separation: Outline

Study independence properties for triples

Analyze complex cases in terms of member triples

 D-separation: a condition / algorithm for answering such queries

Causal Chains

This configuration is a "causal chain"



$$P(x, y, z) = P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)$$

- Guaranteed X independent of Z ? No!
 - One example set of CPTs for which X is not independent of Z is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
 - Example:
 - Low pressure causes rain causes traffic, high pressure causes no rain causes no traffic
 - In numbers:

$$P(+y | +x) = 1, P(-y | -x) = 1,$$

 $P(+z | +y) = 1, P(-z | -y) = 1$

Causal Chains

This configuration is a "causal chain"



P(x, y, z) = P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)

Guaranteed X independent of Z given Y?

$$P(z|x,y) = \frac{P(x,y,z)}{P(x,y)}$$
$$= \frac{P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)}{P(x)P(y|x)}$$

$$= P(z|y)$$

Yes!

Evidence along the chain "blocks" the influence

Common Cause

This configuration is a "common cause"



P(x, y, z) = P(y)P(x|y)P(z|y)

- Guaranteed X independent of Z ? No!
 - One example set of CPTs for which X is not independent of Z is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
 - Example:
 - Project due causes both forums busy and lab full
 - In numbers:
 - P(+x | +y) = 1, P(-x | -y) = 1, P(+z | +y) = 1, P(-z | -y) = 1

Common Cause

This configuration is a "common cause"



P(x, y, z) = P(y)P(x|y)P(z|y)

Guaranteed X and Z independent given Y?

$$P(z|x,y) = \frac{P(x,y,z)}{P(x,y)}$$

 $=\frac{P(y)P(x|y)P(z|y)}{P(y)P(x|y)}$

= P(z|y)

Yes!

 Observing the cause blocks influence between effects.

Common Effect

 Last configuration: two causes of one effect (v-structures)



- Are X and Y independent?
 - Yes: the ballgame and the rain cause traffic, but they are not correlated
 - Still need to prove they must be (try it!)
- Are X and Y independent given Z?
 - No: seeing traffic puts the rain and the ballgame in competition as explanation.
- This is backwards from the other cases
 - Observing an effect activates influence between possible causes.

The General Case



The General Case

- General question: in a given BN, are two variables independent (given evidence)?
- Solution: analyze the graph
- Any complex example can be broken into repetitions of the three canonical cases



Reachability

- Recipe: shade evidence nodes, look for paths in the resulting graph
- Attempt 1: if two nodes are connected by an undirected path not blocked by a shaded node, they are conditionally independent
- Almost works, but not quite
 - Where does it break?
 - Answer: the v-structure at T doesn't count as a link in a path unless "active"



Active / Inactive Paths

- Question: Are X and Y conditionally independent given evidence variables {Z}?
 - Yes, if X and Y "d-separated" by Z
 - Consider all (undirected) paths from X to Y
 - No active paths = independence!
- A path is active if each triple is active:
 - Causal chain A → B → C where B is unobserved (either direction)
 - Common cause A ← B → C where B is unobserved
 - Common effect (aka v-structure)
 A → B ← C where B or one of its descendents is observed
- All it takes to block a path is a single inactive segment
- Note: These triples are all active (and similar for the other cases, i.e. the variables on either end of the triple can be observed or unobserved)



D-Separation

• Query:
$$X_i \perp X_j | \{X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_n}\}$$
?

- Check all (undirected!) paths between X_i and X_j
 - If one or more active, then independence not guaranteed

$$X_i \bowtie X_j | \{X_{k_1}, \dots, X_{k_n}\}$$

 Otherwise (i.e. if all paths are inactive), then independence is guaranteed

$$X_i \perp \perp X_j | \{ X_{k_1}, \dots, X_{k_n} \}$$



 $\begin{array}{ll} R \bot B & \text{Yes} \\ R \bot B | T \\ R \bot B | T' \end{array}$





Variables:

- R: Raining
- T: Traffic
- D: Roof drips
- S: I'm sad
- Questions:
 - $T \! \perp \! D$
 - $T \perp\!\!\!\perp D | R$ Yes $T \perp\!\!\!\perp D | R, S$



Structure Implications

 Given a Bayes net structure, can run dseparation algorithm to build a complete list of conditional independences that are necessarily true of the form

$$X_i \perp \!\!\!\perp X_j | \{ X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_n} \}$$

This list determines the set of probability distributions that can be represented



Computing All Independences



Topology Limits Distributions

- Given some graph topology
 G, only certain joint
 distributions can be
 encoded
- The graph structure guarantees certain (conditional) independences
- (There might be more independence)
- Adding arcs increases the set of distributions, but has several costs
- Full conditioning can encode any distribution



Bayes Nets Representation Summary

- Bayes nets compactly encode joint distributions
- Guaranteed independencies of distributions can be deduced from BN graph structure
- D-separation gives precise conditional independence guarantees from graph alone
- A Bayes' net's joint distribution may have further (conditional) independence that is not detectable until you inspect its specific distribution

Bayes' Nets

- Representation
- Conditional Independences
 - Probabilistic Inference
 - Enumeration (exact, exponential complexity)
 - Variable elimination (exact, worst-case exponential complexity, often better)
 - Probabilistic inference is NP-complete
 - Sampling (approximate)
 - Learning Bayes' Nets from Data