Last Time in Lecture 15

• Consistency Models
  • Classification: Sequential Consistency vs. Relaxed Consistency
  • Relaxed Consistency: TSO, Processor Consistency, Partial Store Ordering, Weak Ordering, Release Consistency

• Synchronization
  • Mutual Exclusion: avoid deadlock, avoid starvation, bounded waiting
  • ISA support: Compare-and-Swap, LL-SC
Memory Management

- Can separate into orthogonal functions:
  - Translation (mapping of virtual address to physical address)
  - Protection (permission to access word in memory)
  - Virtual memory (transparent extension of memory space using slower disk or flash storage)

- But most modern computer systems provide support for all the above functions with a single page-based memory-management system

https://www.tutorialspoint.com/operating_system/os_virtual_memory.htm
In a bare machine, the only kind of address is a physical address, corresponding to address lines of actual hardware memory.
Managing Memory in Bare Machines

- Early machines only ran one program at a time, with this program having unrestricted access to all memory and all I/O devices
  - This simple memory management model was also used in turn by the first minicomputer and first microcomputer systems
- Subroutine libraries became popular, were written in location-independent form
  - Different programs use different combination of routines
- To run program on bare machines, use linker or loader program to relocate library modules to actual locations in physical memory
Motivating Dynamic Address Translation

- In early machines, I/O was slow and each I/O transfer involved CPU (programmed I/O)
  - Higher throughput possible if CPU and I/O of 2 or more programs were overlapped
    → multiprogramming with DMA I/O devices, interrupts
- Location-independent programs
  - Programming and storage management ease
    → need for a base register
- Protection
  - Independent programs should not affect each other inadvertently
    → need for a bound register
- Multiprogramming drives requirement for resident supervisor software to manage context switches between multiple programs
Simple Base and Bound Translation

Base and bounds registers are visible/accessible only when processor is running in the *supervisor mode*
Separate Areas for Program and Data
(Scheme used on all Cray vector supercomputers prior to X1, 2002)

What is an advantage of this separation?
What about more base/bound pairs?
Can fold addition of base register into (register+immediate) address calculation using a carry-save adder (sums three numbers with only a few gate delays more than adding two numbers)
External Fragmentation with Segments

Can’t run Job 4, as not enough contiguous space. Must compact.
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Paged Memory Systems

- Program-generated (*virtual* or *logical*) address split into:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Page Table contains physical address of start of each fixed-sized page in virtual address space

- Paging makes it possible to store a large contiguous virtual memory space using non-contiguous physical memory pages
Private Address Space per User
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Paging Simplifies Allocation

- Fixed-size pages can be kept on OS free list and allocated as needed to any process
- Process memory usage can easily grow and shrink dynamically
- Paging suffers from *internal fragmentation* where not all bytes on a page are used
  - Much less of an issue than external fragmentation or compaction for common page sizes (4-8KB)
Page Tables Live in Memory
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Simple linear page tables are too large, so hierarchical page tables are commonly used (see later).

Common for modern OS to place page tables in kernel’s virtual memory (page tables can be swapped to secondary storage).
Coping with Limited Primary Storage

- Paging reduces fragmentation, but still many problems would not fit into primary memory, have to copy data to and from secondary storage (drum, disk)

- Two early approaches:
  - **Manual overlays**, programmer explicitly copies code and data in and out of primary memory
    - Tedious coding, error-prone (jumping to non-resident code?)
  - **Software interpretive coding** (Brooker 1960). Dynamic interpreter detects variables that are swapped out to drum and brings them back in
    - Simple for programmer, but inefficient

*Not just ancient black arts, e.g., IBM Cell microprocessor used in Playstation-3 had explicitly managed local store!*
Demand Paging in Atlas (1962)

“A page from secondary storage is brought into the primary storage whenever it is (implicitly) demanded by the processor.”

Tom Kilburn

Primary memory as a cache for secondary memory

User sees 32 x 6 x 512 words of storage
Hardware Organization of Atlas
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Atlas Demand Paging Scheme

On a page fault:

- Input transfer into a free page is initiated
- The Page Address Register (PAR) is updated
- If no free page is left, a page is selected to be replaced (based on usage)
- The replaced page is written on the drum
  – to minimize drum latency effect, the first empty page on the drum was selected
- The page table is updated to point to the new location of the page on the drum
Size of Linear Page Table

- With 32-bit addresses, 4-KB pages & 4-byte PTEs:
  - $2^{20}$ PTEs, i.e, 4 MB page table per user
- Larger pages?
  - Internal fragmentation (Not all memory in page is used)
  - Larger page fault penalty (more time to read from disk)
- What about 64-bit virtual address space???
  - Even 1MB pages would require $2^{44}$ 8-byte PTEs (35 TB!)

What is the “saving grace”?
Hierarchical Page Table
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Two-Level Page Tables in Physical Memory
Every instruction and data access needs address translation and protection checks.

A good VM design needs to be fast (~ one cycle) and space efficient.
Translation-Lookaside Buffers (TLB)

Address translation is very expensive!
In a two-level page table, each reference becomes several memory accesses

Solution: Cache translations in TLB

TLB hit \implies Single-Cycle Translation
TLB miss \implies Page-Table Walk to refill

\begin{itemize}
\item virtual address
\item hit?
\item physical address
\item VPN = virtual page number
\item PPN = physical page number
\item offset
\end{itemize}
**TLB Designs**

- Typically 32-128 entries, usually fully associative
  - Each entry maps a large page, hence less spatial locality across pages ➔ more likely that two entries conflict
  - Sometimes larger TLBs (256-512 entries) are 4-8 way set-associative
  - Larger systems sometimes have multi-level (L1 and L2) TLBs

- Random or FIFO replacement policy

- TLB Reach: Size of largest virtual address space that can be simultaneously mapped by TLB
  - Example: 64 TLB entries, 4KB pages, one page per entry

  - TLB Reach = \( 64 \text{ entries} \times 4 \text{ KB} = 256 \text{ KB (if contiguous)} \)

  \[ \text{________________________________________________________} \]
Handling a TLB Miss

- **Software (MIPS, Alpha)**
  - TLB miss causes an exception and the operating system walks the page tables and reloads TLB. A privileged “untranslated” addressing mode used for walk.
  - Software TLB miss can be very expensive on out-of-order superscalar processor as requires a flush of pipeline to jump to trap handler.

- **Hardware (SPARC v8, x86, PowerPC, RISC-V)**
  - A memory management unit (MMU) walks the page tables and reloads the TLB.
  - If a missing (data or PT) page is encountered during the TLB reloading, MMU gives up and signals a Page Fault exception for the original instruction.

**NOTE:** A given ISA can use either TLB miss strategy
Hierarchical Page Table Walk: SPARC v8

MMU does this table walk in hardware on a TLB miss
Assumes page tables held in untranslated physical memory
Page Fault Handler

- When the referenced page is not in DRAM:
  - The missing page is located (or created)
  - It is brought in from disk, and page table is updated
    - Another job may be run on the CPU while the first job waits for the requested page to be read from disk
  - If no free pages are left, a page is swapped out
    - Pseudo-LRU replacement policy, implemented in software

- Since it takes a long time to transfer a page (msecs), page faults are handled completely in software by OS
  - Untranslated addressing mode is essential to allow kernel to access page tables

- Keeping TLBs coherent with page table changes might require expensive “TLB shootdown”
  - Interrupt other processors to invalidate stale TLB entries
  - Some mainframes had hardware TLB coherence
Handling VM-related exceptions

- Handling a TLB miss needs a hardware or software mechanism to refill TLB.
- Handling page fault (e.g., page is on disk) needs restartable exception so software handler can resume after retrieving page:
  - Precise exceptions are easy to restart.
  - Can be imprecise but restartable, but this complicates OS software.
- A protection violation may abort process:
  - But often handled the same as a page fault.
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