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| Midterm Exam (March 13): Sample <br> Questions |
| :--- |
| - E2E principle |
| - Describe the end-to-end principle. Give one example in which |
| implementing a particular functionality at a lower layer breaks |
| this principle, and one example in which it does not. Explain. |
| - Fair Queueing |
| - (a) What problem does Fair Queueing address? Describe the |
| Fair Queuing algorithm. |
| - (b) What is the system virtual time and what it is used for? |
| - Differentiated Services |
| - Compare Assured and Premium services. How is each of |
| them implemented at edge and core routers? |
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| Lookup Problem |
| :--- |
| - Identify the output interface to forward an incoming |
| packet based on packet's destination address |
| - Forwarding tables summarize information by |
| maintaining a mapping between IP address prefixes |
| and output interfaces |
| - Route lookup $\rightarrow$ find the longest prefix in the table |
| that matches the packet destination address |



| First Level: Pointers |
| :---: |
| - Maintain 16-bit pointers to (1) next-hop (routing) |
| table or (2) to two level chuncks |
| -2 bits encode pointer type |
| -14 bits represent an index into routing table or into an |
| array containing level two chuncks |
| -Pointers are stored at consecutive memory <br> addresses <br> - Problem: find the pointer |



## First Level: Encoding Bit-masks

- Observation: not all 16-bit values are possible - Example: bit-mask 1001... is not possible (why not?)
- Let $a(n)$ be number of non-zero bit-masks of length $2^{n}$
- Compute a(n) using recurrence:


## $a(0)=1$

$a(n)=1+a(n-1)^{2}$

- For length 16 , we get only 677 possible values for bitmasks
- This can be encoded in 10 bits - Values $r_{i}$ in code words
- Store all possible bit-masks in a table, called maptable


## First Level: Finding Pointer Index

- Each entry in maptable is an offset of 4 bits:
- Offset of pointer in the group
- Number of memory accesses: 3 (7 bytes accessed)


| First Level: Memory Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Code word array: one code word per bit-mask - 64 Kb <br> - Based index array: one base index per four bitmask $-16 \mathrm{~Kb}$ <br> - Maptable: $677 \times 16$ entries, 4 bits each $-\sim 43.3 \mathrm{~Kb}$ <br> - Total: $123.3 \mathrm{~Kb}=15.4 \mathrm{~KB}$ |  |
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## First Level: Optimizations

- Reduce number of entries in Maptable by two:
- Don't store bit-masks 0 and 1; instead encode pointers directly into code word
- If $r$ value in code word larger than $676 \rightarrow$ direct encoding
- For direct encoding use $r$ value +6 -bit offset

Maptable: $677 \times 16$ entries, 4 bits each - ~ 43.3 Kb

| Levels 2 and 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Levels 2 and 3 consists of chunks <br> - A chunck covers a sub-tree of height $8 \rightarrow$ at most 256 heads <br> - Three types of chunks <br> - Sparse: 1-8 heads <br> - 8 -bit indices, eight pointers ( 24 B ) <br> - Dense: 9-64 heads <br> - Like level 1 , but only one base index (< 162 B) <br> - Very dense: 65-256 heads <br> - Like level 1 (< 552 B) <br> - Only 7 bytes are accessed to search each of levels 2 and 3 |  |
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| Limitations |
| :---: |
| . Only $2^{14}$ chuncks of each kind |
| - Can accommodate a growth factor of 16 |
| - Only 16-bit base indices |
| - Can accommodate a growth factor of 3-5 |
| . Number of next hops <= $2^{14}$ |
|  |


| Notes |
| :---: |
| - This data structure trades the table construction |
| time for lookup time (build time < 100 ms ) |
| - Good trade-off because routes are not supposed to |
| change often |
| - Lookup performance: |
| - Worst-case: 101 cycles |
| - A 200 MHz Pentium Pro can do at least 2 millions |
| lookups per second |
| - On average: ~ 50 cycles |
| - Open question: how effective is this data |
| structure in the case of IPv6 ? |
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| Classification Problem |
| :---: |
|  |
| - Classify an IP packet based on a number of fields |
| in the packet header, e.g., |
| - source/destination IP address ( 32 bits) |
| - source/destination port number (16 bits) |
| - TOS byte (8 bits) |
| - Type of protocol (8 bits) |
| - In general fields are specified by range |
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## Example of Classification Rules

- Access-control in firewalls
- Deny all e-mail traffic from ISP-X to Y
- Policy-based routing

Route IP telephony traffic from X to Y via ATM

- Differentiate quality of service

Ensure that no more than 50 Mbps are injected from ISP-X

| Characteristics of Real Classifiers <br> (Gupta \& McKeown, Sigcomm'99) |
| :---: |
| Results are collected over 793 packet classifiers |
| from 101 ISPs, with a total of 41,505 rules |
| - Classifiers do not contain many rules: mean = 50 rules, |
| max $=1134$ rules, only $0.7 \%$ contain over 1000 rules |
| - Many fields are specified by range, e.g., greater than |
| 1023, or 20-24 |
| - 14\% of classifiers had a rule with a non-contiguous |
| mask! |
| - Rules in the same classifier tend to share the same |
| fields |
| - 8\% of the rules are redundant, i.e., they can be |
| eliminated without changing classifier's behavior |
|  |
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| Hard Problem |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Even if regions don't overlap, with $n$ rules and $F$ fields we have the following lower-bounds <br> - $O(\log n)$ time and $O\left(n^{F}\right)$ space <br> - $\mathrm{O}\left(\log ^{\mathrm{F}-1} \mathrm{n}\right)$ time and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ space |  |
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## Simplifying Assumptions

- In practice, you get the average not the worstcase, e.g., number of overlapping regions for the largest classifier 4316 vs. theoretical worst case $10{ }^{13}$
- The number of rules is reasonable small, i.e., at most several thousands
- The rules do not change often

Recursive Flow Classification (RFC) Algorithm

- Problem formulation:

Map $S$ bits (i.e., the bits of all the $F$ fields) to $T$ bits (i.e., the class identifier)

- Main idea:

Create a $2^{\mathrm{s}}$ size table with pre-computed values; each entry contains the class identifier

- Only one memory access needed
- ...but this is impractical $\rightarrow$ require huge memory

RFC Algorithm

- Use recursion: trade speed (number of memory accesses) for memory footprint
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Example of Packet Flow in RFC

| Example |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Four fields $\rightarrow$ six chunks <br> - Source and destination IP addresses $\rightarrow$ two chuncks each <br> - Protocol number $\rightarrow$ one chunck <br> - Destination port number $\rightarrow$ one chunck |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 6: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rule\# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chunk } \# 0(5 \mathrm{rcc} \\ & \mathrm{L} \text { bits } 31.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chunk\#1 (5ic } \\ & \text { L3 bits } 15.0) \end{aligned}$ | Chunk\#2 (Dst <br> L3 bits 31.16) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chunk\#3 (Dst } \\ & \text { L3 bits } 15.0 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chunk+1 (LL } \\ & \text { protocol) [8 } \\ & \text { bits] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chunk }=5 \\ \text { (Dstm } L+\text { [16 } \\ \text { bis] }] \end{gathered}$ | Action |
| (0) | 0.830 .0 | 0.77000 | 0.00 .0 | +600.0 | udp (17) | * | permit |
| (1) | 0.830 .0 | 10,0255 | 0.00 .0 | +600.0 | udp | range 2030 | permit |
| (2) | 0.830 .0 | 0.7700 | 0.0255 .255 | 0.0/255.255 | * | 21 | permit |
| (3) | 0.0/255.255 | 0.0255.255 | 0.0255 .255 | $0.0 / 255.255$ | * | 21 | deny |
| ${ }^{(+)}$ | 0.0/255.255 | 00.255.255 | 0.0255 .255 | 0.0/255.255 | * | * | permit |
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## RFC Lookup Performance

- Dataset: classifiers used in practice
- Hardware: 31.25 millions pps using three stage pipeline, and 4-bank 64 Mb SRAMs at 125 MHz
- Software: > 1million pps on a 333 MHz Pentium


## RFC Scalling

- RFC does not handle well large (general) classifiers
- As the number of rules increases, the memory requirements increase dramatically, e.g., for 1500 rules you may need over 4.5 MB with a three stage classifier
- Proposed solution: adjacency groups
- Idea: group rules that generate the same actions and use same fields
- Problems: can't tell which rule was matched


## Summary

- Routing lookup and packet classification $\rightarrow$ two of the most important challenges in designing high speed routers
- Very efficient algorithms for routing lookup $\rightarrow$ possible to do lookup at the line speed
- Packet classification still an area of active research
- Key difficulties in designing packet classification:
- Requires multi-field classification which is an inherently hard problem
- If we want per flow QoS insertion/deletion need also to be fast
- Harder to make update-lookup tradeoffs like in Lulea's algorithm
istoica@cs.berkeley.edu

| RFC Algorithm: Example |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Phase 0: <br> - Possible values for destination port number: 80, 20-21, >1023, * <br> - Use two bits to encode <br> - Reduction: $16 \rightarrow 2$ <br> - Possible values for protocol: udp, tcp, * <br> - Use two bits to encode <br> - Reduction: $8 \rightarrow 2$ <br> - Phase 1: <br> - Concatenate from phase 1, five possible values: $\{80, u d p\}$, \{20-21, udp\}, \{80,tcp\}, $\{>1023$,tcp\}, everything else <br> - Use three bits to encode <br> - Reduction $4 \rightarrow 3$ | Network- <br> layer <br> Destination <br> (addrimask) <br> 152.163 .190 <br> 69.0000 <br> 152.168 .3 .0 <br> 0.0 .255 <br> 152.163 .3 .0 <br> 0.0 .255 <br> 152.1683 .0 <br> 0.00255 <br> 152.163 .198. <br> 40.0 .0 .0 <br> 152.163 .198. <br> 40.0 .0 .0 | Network- <br> layer <br> Source <br> (addr/mask) <br> 152.163 .80 .1 <br> $1 / 0.000$ <br> 152.163 .200 <br> 1570.000 <br> 152.163 .200 <br> 1570.0000 <br> 152.163 .200 <br> 1570.000 <br> 152.163 .160 <br> o0.03.255 <br> 152.163 .36 .0 <br> 100.0255 <br> y.edu | Transport- <br> Layer <br> Destination <br>  <br> eq www <br> range 20-21 <br> eq www <br> 811023 <br> 811023 |  |

