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## The Logic Language

The Logic language was invented for Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs Based on Prolog (1972)
Expressions are facts or queries, which contain relations
Expressions and relations are Scheme lists
For example, (likes john dogs) is a relation

## Simple Facts

A simple fact expression in the Logic language declares a relation to be true Let's say I want to track the heredity of a pack of dogs
anguage Syntax:
A relation is a Scheme list
A fact expression is a Scheme list of relation
-ogic> (fact (parent delano herbert))
logic> (fact (parent abraham barack))
logic> (fact (parent abraham clinton))
logic> (fact (parent fillmore abraham))
logic> (fact (parent fillmore delano))
logic> (fact (parent fillmore grover))

logic> (fact (parent eisenhower fillmore))


## Compound Facts and Queries

## Compound Queries

An assignment must satisfy all relations in a query.
(query <relationo> <relation ${ }_{1}>$... <relationN>)
is satisfied if all the <relation ${ }_{k}$ are true.
logic> (fact (child ?c ?p) (parent ?p ?c))
logic> (query (parent ?grampa ?kid)
Success!
grampa: fillmore kid: abraham
logic> (query (child ?y ?x)
Success!
Success!
y: delaham fillmore
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { y: delano } & \text { x: fillmore } \\ \text { y: grover } & \text { x: fillmore }\end{array}$


## Recursive Facts

A fact is recursive if the same relation is mentioned in a hypothesis and the conclusion.
Logic> (fact (ancestor ?a ?y) (parent ?a ?y))
logic> (fact (ancestor ?a ?y) (parent ?a ?z) (ancestor ?z ?y))
logic> (query (ancestor ?a herbert))
Success!
a: delano
a: fillmore
a: eisenhower
osic>
Success!
a: fillmore
a: eisenhower


## Hierarchical Facts

Relations can contain relations in addition to symbols.



| Lists in Logic <br> Expressions begin with query or fact followed by relations. <br> Expressions and their relations are Scheme lists. <br> () $\left.\begin{array}{ll}l l \\ c & d\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}c & d\end{array}\right)$ <br> (fact (app () ?x ?x)) Simple fact: Conclusion <br>  <br>  <br> (query (app ?left (c d) (e b c d))) <br> Success! <br> left: (e b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { What ?left can append with } \\ (c d) \text { to create (e b c d) }\end{array}\right.$ <br> The interpreter lists all bindings that it can find to satisfy the query. |
| :---: |
|  |  |

$\square$

## Pattern Matching

The basic operation of the Logic interpreter is to attempt to unify two relations.
Unification is finding an assignment to variables that makes two relations the same.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b
\end{array}\right) & \binom{a}{b} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
a
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right\rangle \text { True, }\{x:(a b)\} \\
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(a & b
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(a & y
\end{array}\right) \quad ? z \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \text { True, }\{y: b, z: c\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Unifying Variables

Two relations that contain variables can be unified as well.


Substituting values for variables may require multiple steps.
This process is called grounding. Two unified expressions have the same grounded form.
lookup('?x') $\Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{a}\right.$ ? y c) lookup('?y') $\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ ground('? $\left.\mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b}$ c)

## Unification

Unification recursively unifies each pair of corresponding elements in two relations, accumulating an assignment

1. Look up variables in the current environment.
2. Establish new bindings to unify elements.
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```
Searching for Proofs
The Logic interpreter searches (fact (app () ?x ?x))
#
lol
(app ?left (c d) (e b c d))
        {a: e, y: (c d), z: (b c d), (eft: (?a - ?r)}}\\mathrm{ (app (e. ?r) (c d) (e b c d))
(app (?a . ?r) ?y (?a . ?z))
conclusion <- hypothesis
(app ?r (c d) (b c d))
        {a2: b) y2: (c d), z2: (c d), r: (aa2. Pr2)} \ (app (b . Pr2) (c d) (b c d))
(app (?a2 . ?r2) ?y2 (?a2 . ?z2)) Variables are local
        conclusion <- hypothesis Variables are local
        to facts & queries
        {r2: 0), x: (c d)} (app () (c d) (c d))
                            ?left:(e. (b)) }=>\mathrm{ (e b)
    ?r: (b . ()) }=>\mathrm{ (b)
(app () ?x ?x)

\section*{Depth-First Search}

The space of facts is searched exhaustively, starting from the query and following a depth-first exploration order.

Depth-first search: Each proof approach is explored exhaustively before the next.
def search(clauses, env):
for fact in facts:
Environment now contains
hv_head \(=\) an environment extending env new unifying bindings
if unify(conclusion of fact, first clause, env_head):
for env_rule in search(hypotheses of fact, env_head):
,
yield each successful result
Limiting depth of the search avoids infinite loops.
Each time a fact is used, its variables are renamed
Bindings are stored in separate frames to allow backtracking.
```

