

Week 4 – 04-02-12 Midterm 1 Review

Scheme Questions

What will Scheme print in response to the following expressions? If an expression produces an error or runs forever without producing a result, just say "error"; If the value of an expression is a procedure, just say "procedure."

(word `(+ 2 3) (+ 2 3))	ERROR
((lambda (x y z) (* 5 y)) 3 4 7)	20
((if 3 - *) 23 2)	21
(lambda (x) (/ x 0))	procedure
(butfirst `(help))	()
(let ((+ -)) (+ 8 2))	6
(every - (filter number? `(the 1 after 909)))	(-1 -909)
(let ((a 2) (b (+ a 3))) (word a b))	ERROR
((lambda (a b c) (b c a)) 1 + 4)	5
(se `(tell `me `why))	ERROR
(every pigl (se `() (word 61 `a) (se `is `a) `great `(class)))	

(a61ay isay aay eatgray assclay)

```
(let ((a (square 2))  
      (b (+ 3 4)))  
  (let ((c (+ a (let ((d 3))  
                  (+ b d))))  
        (e 14))  
    (* (+ a b) (- e c))))
```

```
( (lambda (a b)  
  ( (lambda (c e)  
    (* (+ a b) (- e c)))  
    (+ a ( (lambda (d) (+ b d)) 3 )  
          14 )  
  (square 2)  
  (+ 3 4) )
```

Higher Order Function

Write a procedure called **make-manip** which takes two procedures, *pred* and *manip* and returns a manipulator! A manipulator is a procedure that takes a sentence as its argument and returns a sentence in which every element from which *pred* returns true is manipulated with *manip*, and all of the other elements are the same. For example:

```
>((make-manip odd? 1+) `(3 6 9 12))
(4 6 10 12)
```

No Helper functions!

Write one version using HOF and no explicit recursion.

```
(define (make-manip pred funct)
  (lambda (x)
    (every (lambda (y) (if (pred y) (funct y) y)) x)) )
```

Write another using no HOF.

```
(define (make-manip pred funct)
  (lambda (x)
    (cond ((empty? x) '())
          ((pred (first x))
            (se (funct (first x)) ((make-manip pred funct) (bf x))))
          (else (se (first x) ((make-manip pred funct) (bf x))))))
```

Normal vs. Applicative Order

True or False:

```
(define (f x) (* x x x))
```

Evaluating $(f (g y))$ evaluates $(g y)$ more often in applicative order than in normal order.

FALSE

Suppose you were given the following definitions:

```
(define (double x) (+ x x))
```

```
(define (foo x y z) (+ x y z))
```

```
(define (bar x y z k) k)
```

How many times is $+$ called for

```
(foo (double (+ 1 1)) (double (+ 1 1)) (+ 1 1))
```

...under normal order? **8**

...under applicative order? **6**

How many times is $+$ called for

```
(bar (double (+ 1 1)) (double (+ 1 1)) (+ 1 1) 1)
```

...under normal order? **0**

...under applicative order? **5**

Big O

True or False:

If foo is $\Theta(n)$ and bar is $\Theta(n^2)$, then you can always compute $(foo\ 1000)$ faster than $(bar\ 1000)$ on the same computer.

TRUE

Given These Definitions:

```
(define (f x)
```

```
  (if (< x 0)
```

```
      1
```

```
      (f (- x 3))))
```

```
(define (g y)
```

```
  (if (< y 104)
```

```
      0
```

```
(* (f y) (f (- y 4))))))
```

```
(define (h z)
  (if (< z 4)
      0
      (+ (h (- z 2))
         (h (- z 1))))))
```

State whether or not these statements are true or false:

FALSE h generates an iterative process (i.e. uses $\Theta(1)$ space)

TRUE f is $\Theta(x)$.

FALSE h is $\Theta(z^2)$

TRUE f and g have the same order of growth

FALSE g and h have the same order of growth

Project Questions

Write a strategy four-cards that hits only if a player has fewer than four cards

```
(define (four-cards customer-hand-so-far dealer-up-card)
  (< (count customer-hand-so-far) 4))
```

Write a procedure n-cards that takes an argument n and returns a strategy that hits only if a player has fewer than n cards

```
(define (n-cards n)
  (lambda (customer-hand-so-far dealer-up-card)
    (< (count customer-hand-so-far) n)))
```

Recursive vs. Iterative

Write a procedure (**insert value insert-before sent**) that'll return a sentence with 'value' inserted in the list (counting from 1):

```
(insert 'a 3 '(1 2 3 4)) → (1 2 a 3 4)
(insert x 'a '(a b c d)) → (x a b c d)
(insert a '4 '(1 2 3 4)) → (1 2 3 a 4)
```

You can assume that **insert-before** will always be in the sentence. You may not use any mutators (if you know of them)

Write a version using a recursive process....

```
(define (insert val insert-before sent)
  (if (equal? insert-before (first sent))
      (se val sent)
      (se (first sent)
          (insert val insert-before (bf sent)))))
```

and another with an iterative process.

```
(define (insert val insert-before sent)
  (define (helper sent-so-far sent)
    (if (equal? insert-before (first sent))
        (se sent-so-far (first val sent))
        (helper (se sent-so-far (first sent))
                 (bf sent))))
  (helper '() sent) )
```

OR

```
(define (insert val insert-before sent)
  (define (helper sent-so-far sent)
    (cond ((empty? sent) sent-so-far)
          ((equal? insert-before (first sent))
           (helper (se sent-so-far (se val sent))
                   '()))
          (else (helper (se sent-so-far (first sent))
                        (bf sent)))))
  (helper '() sent) )
```

Programming Methodology

Greg wanted to write a procedure that would split a non-empty word into a sentence of consecutive, identical letters as follows:

```
(split `(aaabbcdddaa) → (aaa bb c ddd aa)
(split `abababab) → (a b a b a b a b)
(split `aaa) → (aaa)
(split `a) → (a)
```

Here's what he wrote:

```
1: (define (split wd)
2:   (split-help (first wd) (bf wd)))
3:
4: (define (split-help cur wd)
5:   (cond ((empty? wd) (se))
6:         ((equal? cur (first wd))
7:          (split-help (word cur (first wd)) (bf wd)))
8:         (else
9:          (se cur (split-help (first wd) (bf wd))))))
```

There are two bugs.

Part A:

What does (split `abc) return? **(a b)**

On which line number is the bug that causes this error? Line **5**

What should the line say?

(cond ((empty? wd) (se cur)) ...

Part B:

Where's the other bug? Line **6**

What should the line say?

((equal? (first cur) (first wd)) ...