Scientists mimic Guitar Hero to create subliminal passwords for coercion-proof security

Researchers from Stanford University will next week reveal a security system that can defeat the most aggressive attackers by ensuring that users cannot be coerced in to revealing their password, even under duress, because they simply never know it: it is a subliminal password.

TODAY

• Parallel Computing
  – Problems that can occur
  – Ways of avoiding problems
SO FAR

- functions
- data structures
- objects
- abstraction
- interpretation
- evaluation

One Program
One Computer
YESTERDAY & TODAY

• Multiple Programs!
  – On Multiple Computers
    (Networked and Distributed Computing)
  – On One Computer
    (Concurrency and Parallelism)
YESTERDAY: DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING

• Programs on different computers working together towards a goal.
  – Information Sharing & Communication
    Ex. Skype, The World Wide Web, Cell Networks
  – Large Scale Computing
    Ex. “Cloud Computing” and Map-Reduce
REVIEW: DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING

• Architecture
  – Client-Server
  – Peer-to-Peer
• Message Passing
• Design Principles
  – Modularity
  – Interfaces

http://www.peertopeerprograms.com/
TODAY: PARALLEL COMPUTATION

Simple Idea: Have more than one piece of code running at the same time.

Question is: why bother?
Parallel Computation: Why?

Primarily: Speed.

The majority of computers have multiple processors, meaning that we can actually have two (or more) pieces of code running at the same time!
THE LOAD-COMPUTE-STORE MODEL

For today, we will be using the following model for computation.

\[ x = x \times 2 \]

1. Load the variable(s)
2. Compute the right hand side
3. Store the result into the variable.
THE LOAD-COMPUTE-STORE MODEL

1. Load the variable(s)
2. Compute the right hand side
3. Store the result into the variable.

\[ x = x \times 2 \]

1. Load up \( x: x \rightarrow 5 \)
2. Compute \( x \times 2: 10 \)
3. Store the new value of: \( x \leftarrow 10 \)
ANNOUNCEMENTS

• Project 4 due Today.
  – Partnered project, in two parts.
  – Twelve questions, so please start early!
  – Two extra credit questions.

• Homework 14 due Today.
  – Now includes contest voting.
  – Assignment is short.

• Tomorrow at the end of lecture there is a course survey, please attend!
ANNOUNCEMENTS: FINAL

• Final is **Thursday, August 9**.
  – *Where*? 1 Pimentel.
  – *When*? 6PM to 9PM.
  – *How much*? All of the material in the course, from June 18 to August 8, will be tested.

• Closed book and closed electronic devices.

• One 8.5” x 11” ‘cheat sheet’ allowed.

• No group portion.

• We have emailed you if you have conflicts and have told us. If you haven’t told us yet, please *let us know* by yesterday. We’ll email you the room later today.

• Final review session 2 **Tonight**, from **8pm to 10pm** in the HP Auditorium (306 Soda).
Parallel Example

Thread 1
\[ x = x \times 2 \]

Idea is that we perform the steps of each thread together, interleaving them in any way we want.

L1: Load X
C1: Compute \((X \times 2)\)
S1: Store \((X \times 2)\) -> X

Thread 1: L1, C1, S1

Thread 2
\[ y = y + 1 \]

L2: Load Y
C2: Compute \((Y + 1)\)
S2: Store \((Y + 1)\) -> Y

Thread 2: L2, C2, S2

Memory
\[ x: 20 \]
\[ y: 4 \]
Parallel Example

Thread 1
\[ x = x \times 2 \]

Thread 2
\[ y = y + 1 \]

Idea is that we perform the steps of each thread together, interleaving them in any way we want.

Thread 1: L1, C1, S1

Thread 2: L2, C2, S2

Memory

\[ x: 20 \]
\[ y: 4 \]

L1, C1, S1, L2, C2, S2 OR
L1, L2, C1, S1, C2, S2 OR
L1, L2, C1, C2, S1, S2 OR
...

\[ \text{Cal} \]
So What?

Okay, what’s the point?

IDEALLY: It shouldn’t matter what different “shuffling” of the steps we do, the end result should be the same.

BUT, what if two threads are using the same data?
Parallel Example

Thread 1
\[ x = x \times 2 \]

Thread 2
\[ x = x + 1 \]

Idea is that we perform the steps of each thread together, interleaving them in any way we want.

L1: Load X
C1: Compute \((x \times 2)\)
S1: Store \((x \times 2)\) \(\rightarrow X\)

L2: Load X
C2: Compute \((x + 1)\)
S2: Store \((x + 1)\) \(\rightarrow X\)

Thread 1: L1, C1, S1
Thread 2: L2, C2, S2
Parallel Example

**Thread 1**
\[ x = x \times 2 \]
- **L1:** Load \( X \)
- **C1:** Compute \((X \times 2)\)
- **S1:** Store \((X \times 2)\) \(\rightarrow X\)

**Thread 2**
\[ x = x + 1 \]
- **L2:** Load \( X \)
- **C2:** Compute \((X + 1)\)
- **S2:** Store \((X + 1)\) \(\rightarrow X\)

```
L1, C1, S1
```

```
L2, C2, S2
```

Memory
\[ x: 20 \]

```
\text{"x \times 2 is 20"}
```

```
\text{"x + 1 is 11"}
```

```
L1, C1, L2, C2, S2, S1
```

---

```
x: 10
```

```
x: 20
```
OH NOES!!1!ONE

We got a wrong answer!

This is one of the dangers of using parallelism in a program!

What happened here is that we ran into the problem of non-atomic (multi-step) operations. A thread could be interrupted by another and result in incorrect behavior!

So, smarty pants, how do we fix it?
Suppose we initialize the value $z$ to 3. Given the two threads, which are run at the same time, what are all the possible values of $z$ after they run?

$z = z \times 33$

$y = z + 0$

$z = z + y$
**Practice: Parallelism**

Suppose we initialize the value $z$ to 3. Given the two threads, which are run at the same time, what are all the possible values of $z$ after they run?

$$z = z \times 33$$

$$y = z + 0$$

$$z = z + y$$

99, 6, 198, 102
How do we know if a given work of art is great?

I don't want to waste my time on art that sucks!!

You could see if other people like it!

But that's just popularity! And being popular isn't the same as being great. High school and the weekly top 40 countdown taught me that!

ZING

You could see what the critics say!

True, but they don't all agree with each other!

Then take the critical consensus!

That's just popularity with a different clique! Isn't there some OBJECTIVE measure of greatness?

...If it passes the test of time?

That's just popularity over a longer time range! And nothing's popular forever, so on a long enough timeline, everybody sucks!!!

Oh nooo!

I'm trapped on a planet of eventually sucky duds

(C) 2012 Ryan North

LOCKS

We need a way to make sure that only one person messes with a piece of data at a time!

from Threading import Lock
x_lock = Lock()

Try to get exclusive rights to the lock. If succeeds, keep working. Otherwise, wait for lock to be released.

Give up your exclusive rights so someone else can take their turn.

Lock methods are atomic, meaning that we don’t need to worry about someone interrupting us in the middle of an acquire or release.
SOUNDS GREAT!

Now only one thread will manipulate the value x at a time if we always wrap code touching x in a lock acquire and release.

So our code works as intended!

BUT

“With great power comes great responsibility!”
MISUSING OUR POWER

from threading import Lock
x_lock1 = Lock()
x_lock2 = Lock()

THREAD 1
x_lock1.acquire()
x = x * 2
x_lock1.release()

THREAD 2
x_lock2.acquire()
x = x + 1
x_lock2.release()

Won’t work! They aren’t being locked out using the same lock, we just went back to square 1.
**MISUSING OUR POWER**

```python
from threading import Lock
x_lock = Lock()

x_lock.acquire()
LONG_COMPUTATION()
x = x * 2
x_lock.release()

x_lock.acquire()
x = x + 1
x_lock.release()
```

If `LONG_COMPUTATION` doesn’t need `x`, we just caused thread 2 to have to wait a LONG time for a bunch of work that could have happened in parallel. This is inefficient!
**MISUSING OUR POWER**

```python
from threading import Lock

x_lock = Lock()

def start_turn(num):
    sleep(num)
    x_lock.acquire()
```

```python
start_turn(THREAD_NUM)
x = x * 2
x_lock.release()
```

```python
start_turn(THREAD_NUM)
x = x + 1
x_lock.release()
```

If we didn’t actually want thread 2 to be less likely to get access to `x` first each time, then this is an *unfair* solution that favors the first (lowered numbered) threads.

This example is a bit contrived, but this does happen! It usually takes a bit of code for it to pop up, however.
MISUSING OUR POWER

from threading import Lock
x_lock = Lock()
y_lock = Lock()

What if thread 1 acquires the x_lock and thread 2 acquires the y_lock?

Now nobody can do work! This is called **deadlock**.
THE 4 TYPES OF PROBLEMS

In general, you can classify the types of problems you see from parallel code into 4 groups:

– Incorrect Results
– Inefficiency
– Unfairness
– Deadlock
HOW DO WE AVOID ISSUES?

Honestly, there isn’t a one-size-fits-all solution.

You have to be careful and think hard about what you’re doing with your code.

In later courses (CS162), you learn common conventions that help avoid these issues, but there’s still the possibility that problems will occur!
ANOTHER TOOL: SEMAPHORES

Locks are just a really basic tool available for managing parallel code.

There’s a LARGE variety of tools out there that you might encounter.

For now, we’re going to quickly look at one more classic:

– Semaphores
ANOTHER TOOL: SEMAPHORES

What if I want to allow only up to a certain number of threads manipulate the same piece of data at the same time?

fruit_bowl = ["banana", "banana", "banana"]

def eat_thread():
    fruit_bowl.pop()
    print("ate a banana!")

def buy_thread():
    fruit_bowl.append("banana")
    print("bought a banana")

A Semaphore is a classic tool for this situation!
ANOTHER TOOL: SEMAPHORES

from threading import Semaphore

fruit_bowl = [“banana”, “banana”, “banana”]

fruit_sem = Semaphore(len(fruit_bowl)) #3

def eat_thread():
    fruit_sem.acquire()  # Decrement the counter. If the counter is 0, wait until someone increments it before subtracting 1 and moving on.
    fruit_bowl.pop()
    print(“ate a banana!”)

def buy_thread():
    fruit_bowl.append(“banana”)
    print(“bought a banana”)
    fruit_sem.release()  # Increment the count
PRACTICE: WHAT COULD GO WRONG?

What, if anything, is wrong with the code below?

```python
from threading import Lock
x_lock = Lock()
y_lock = Lock()

def thread1():
    global x, y
    x_lock.acquire()
    if x % 2 == 0:
        y_lock.acquire()
        x = x + y
        y_lock.release()
    x_lock.release()

def thread2():
    global x, y
    y_lock.acquire()
    for i in range(50):
        y = y + i
    x_lock.acquire()
    print(x + y)
    y_lock.release()
    x_lock.release()
```

```python
print(x + y)
```
Practice: What Could Go Wrong?

What, if anything, is wrong with the code below?

```python
from threading import Lock

the_lock = Lock()

def thread1():
    global x
    the_lock.acquire()
    x = fib(5000)
    the_lock.release()

def thread2():
    global x
    the_lock.acquire()
    for i in range(50):
        x = x + i
    the_lock.release()
```

What could go wrong with this code?
CONCLUSION

• Parallelism in code is important for making efficient code!
• Problems arise when we start manipulating data shared by multiple threads.
• We can use locks or semaphores to avoid issues of incorrect results.
• There are 4 main problems that can occur when writing parallel code.
• **Preview:** A Powerful Pattern for Distributed Computing, MapReduce
EXTRAS: SERIALIZERS

Honestly, this is just a cool way to use locks with functions.

```python
def make_serializer():
    serializer_lock = Lock()
    def serialize(fn):
        def serialized(*args):
            serializer_lock.acquire()
            result = fn(*args)
            serializer_lock.release()
            return result
    return serialized
return serialize
```

```python
x_serializer = make_serializer()
x = 5

@x_serializer
def thread1():
    global x
    x = x * 20

@x_serializer
def thread2():
    global x
    x = x + 500
```