1 A* Search

For the graph below, let $g(u, v)$ be the weight of the edge between any nodes $u$ and $v$. Let $h(u, v)$ be the value returned by the heuristic for any nodes $u$ and $v$. Remember the heuristic serves to estimate the distance between two nodes $u$ and $v$.

![Graph with edge weights and heuristics]

- Edge weights:
  - $g(A, B) = 1$
  - $g(B, C) = 3$
  - $g(C, F) = 2$
  - $g(C, G) = 4$
  - $g(F, G) = 1$
  - $g(A, D) = 2$
  - $g(D, E) = 3$
  - $g(E, G) = 3$

- Heuristics:
  - $h(A, G) = 8$
  - $h(B, G) = 6$
  - $h(C, G) = 5$
  - $h(F, G) = 1$
  - $h(D, G) = 6$
  - $h(E, G) = 3$

(a) Given the weights and heuristic values for the graph above, what would A* search return as the shortest path from $A$ to $G$?

A* would return the path $A-D-E-G$.

A* is different from Dijkstra’s because it finds the shortest distance from a start node to a specific goal node $g$ (rather than to all nodes). Instead of choosing the node with the smallest $\text{dist}(v)$ value to pop off the fringe, in A* we choose the node with the smallest $\text{dist}(v) + h(v, g)$ sum. Remember, $\text{dist}(v)$ represents the distance from the start node to node $v$. The search is finished when we pop the goal node off of the fringe.

In the chart below, we keep track of $\text{dist}$ values at each iteration. Note that we stop as soon as we pop $G$. 

In order to now derive the path from this table, we start with G and find the node that we traversed right before G. This will be the node that was popped when G obtained its final dist value (the value it has when it’s popped). We notice this node is E. We then find the node we traversed right before E and so on. This gets us A-D-E-G.

(b) Is the heuristic admissible? Why or why not? A heuristic is admissible if it never overestimates the distance it is estimating.

The heuristic is not admissible because $h(C, G) = 5$, but the shortest path from C to G has length 3.

This is why A* returns A-D-E-G (total path distance of 8) when A-B-C-F-G (total path distance of 7) is actually the shortest path. Without an admissible heuristic, A* cannot guarantee that it will return the shortest path.
(a) Perform Prim’s algorithm to find a minimum spanning tree of the graph above. Pick A as the initial node. If there are multiple nodes with the same cost, process them in alphabetical order. Prim’s algorithm adds the shortest edge connecting some node already in the tree to one that isn’t yet. This continues until all nodes are in the tree (note this happens when there are $n - 1$ edges, where $n$ is the number of nodes). Initially, A is the only node in our tree.
(b) Use Kruskal’s algorithm to find a valid minimum spanning tree of the graph above. If there are multiple edges with the same cost, process them in alphabetical order. Will Prim’s and Kruskal’s always return the same MST?

Kruskal’s algorithm goes through every edge in increasing order of weight. If the edge selected connects two subtrees that haven’t yet been connected, it adds that edge to the MST. This continues until all nodes are connected into one tree.
Prim’s and Kruskal’s happen to output the same MST here, but it is also possible for Kruskal’s algorithm to return a different MST based on how its sorting breaks ties. For example, instead of the C-E edge that was chosen last, we could have chosen the D-E edge. This would create the following MST:
(c) Draw the final state of the tree that results from union find operations executed when running Kruskal’s on the graph above. When selecting a new root, break ties alphabetically.

**Without path compression:** To run Kruskal’s we need to execute union find operations. This is so we can find which subtree a node currently belongs to as well as union two subtrees when we connect them by an edge.
With path compression: Just like before, to run Kruskal’s we need to execute union find operations. This is so we can find which subtree a node currently belongs to as well as union two subtrees when we connect them by an edge. However, with path compression we also want to compress paths whenever possible. This means that whenever we run a find operation we compress the path to the root.
When we call find(D) to check whether we want to add edge (C, D), we compress D’s path to the root: