CS 61C: Great Ideas in Computer Architecture (Machine Structures)  
*Traps, Exceptions, Virtual Machines*  
Instructors:  
Randy H. Katz  
David A. Patterson  
http://inst.eecs.Berkeley.edu/~cs61c/fa10

---

Review  
- Virtual Memory, Paging really used for Protection, Translation, Some OS optimizations  
- Not really routinely paging to disk  
- Can think of as another level of memory hierarchy, but not really used like caches today

---

Agenda  
- Review  
- Performance Impact of Virtual memory  
- Exceptions  
- Administrivia  
- Virtual Machines (if time permits)  
- Summary

---

Impact of Paging  
L1 cache hit = 1 clock cycles, hit 95% of accesses  
L2 cache hit = 10 clocks, hit 60% of L1 misses  
DRAM = 200 clock cycles (~100 nanoseconds)  
Disk = 20,000,000 clocks (~10 milliseconds)  
- Average Memory Access Time (no paging) =  
  \[-95\%\times 1 + 5\%\times 60\%\times 10 + 5\%\times 50\%\times 200 = 5.25 \text{ clocks}\]  
- Average Memory Access Time (paging) =  
  \[-95\%\times 1 + 5\%\times 50\%\times 10 + 5\%\times 50\%\times \text{Hit}_{\text{memory}}\times 100 + 5\%\times 50\%\times (1-\text{Hit}_{\text{memory}})\times 20,000,000\]  
- How much slower if Hit$_{\text{memory}}$ = 99.9%?  
- What Hit$_{\text{memory}}$ leads to 100X slowdown?
Memory Management Today

• Slowdown too great to run much bigger programs than memory
  — Called Thrashing
  — Buy more memory or run program on bigger computer or reduce size of problem

• Paging system today still used for
  — Translation (mapping of virtual address to physical address)
  — Protection (permission to access word in memory)
  — Sharing of memory between independent tasks

Impact of TLBs on Performance

• Each TLB miss to Page Table ~ L1 Cache miss
• Page sizes are 4 KB to 8 KB (4 KB on x86)
• TLB has typically 128 entries
  — Set associative or Fully associative

  *TLB Reach: Size of largest virtual address space that can be simultaneously mapped by TLB:
• 128 * 4 KB = 512 KB = 0.5 MB!
• What can you do to have better performance?

Improving TLB Performance

• Add larger page size that operating system can use in situations when OS knows that object is big and protection of whole object OK
  — x86 has 4 KB pages + 2 MB and 4 MB “superpages”
• Have 2 Levels of TLB just like 2 levels of cache instead of going directly to Page Table on L1 TLB miss

Nehalem Virtual Memory Details

• 48-bit virtual address space, 40-bit physical address space
• Two-level TLB
  • I-TLB (L1) has shared 128 entries 4-way associative for 4KB pages, plus 7 dedicated fully-associative entries per SMT thread for large page (2/4MB) entries
  • D-TLB (L1) has 64 entries for 4KB pages and 32 entries for 2/4MB pages, both 4-way associative, dynamically shared between SMT threads
  • Unified L2 TLB has 512 entries for 4KB pages only, also 4-way associative
  • Data TLB Reach (4 KB only) L1: 64*4 KB = 0.25 MB, L2:512*4 KB = 2MB (superpages) L1: 32 *2-4 MB = 64-128 MB
Using Large Pages from Application?

- Difficulty is communicating from application to operating system that want to use large pages
- Linux: “Huge pages” via a library file system and memory mapping; beyond 61C
  - See http://lwn.net/Articles/375096/
- Max OS X: no support for applications to do this (OS decides if should use or not)

Address Translation & Protection

- Every instruction and data access needs address translation and protection checks
  
  *A good VM design needs to be fast (~ one cycle) and space efficient*

Exceptions and Interrupts

- “Unexpected” events requiring change in flow of control
  - Different ISAs use the terms differently
- Exception
  - Arises within the CPU
    - e.g., undefined opcode, overflow, syscall, ...
- Interrupt
  - From an external I/O controller
- Dealing with them without sacrificing performance is hard

Handling Exceptions

- In MIPS, exceptions managed by a System Control Coprocessor (CP0)
- Save PC of offending (or interrupted) instruction
  - In MIPS: save in special register called *Exception Program Counter (EPC)*
- Save indication of the problem
  - In MIPS: saved in special register called *Cause* register
  - We’ll assume 1-bit
    - 0 for undefined opcode, 1 for overflow
- Jump to exception handler code at address $8000\,0180_{\text{hex}}$
Exception Properties

- Restartable exceptions
  - Pipeline can flush the instruction
  - Handler executes, then returns to the instruction
    - Refetched and executed from scratch
- PC saved in EPC register
  - Identifies causing instruction
  - Actually PC + 4 is saved because of pipelined implementation
    - Handler must adjust PC to get right address

Handler Actions

- Read Cause register, and transfer to relevant handler
- Determine action required
- If restartable exception
  - Take corrective action
  - Use EPC to return to program
- Otherwise
  - Terminate program
  - Report error using EPC, cause, ...

Exceptions in a Pipeline

- Another form of control hazard
- Consider overflow on add in EX stage
  - Prevent $1 from being clobbered
  - Complete previous instructions
  - Flush add and subsequent instructions
  - Set Cause and EPC register values
  - Transfer control to handler
- Similar to mispredicted branch
  - Use much of the same hardware

Exception Example

- Exception on add in
  40 sub $11, $2, $4
  44 and $12, $2, $5
  48 or $13, $2, $6
  4c add $1, $2, $1
  50 $lt $15, $6, $7
  54 lw $16, 50($7)
  58 lui $14, 1000
  ...
- Handler
  80000180 sw $25, 1000($0)
  80000184 sw $26, 1004($0)
  ...

Administrivia: Remaining Lectures

- Wed 11/24: Economics of Cloud Computing
- Mon 11/29: ½ Anatomy of Nehalem Microprocessor
  - ½ on Cal Computing History in 1981: Reduced Instruction Set Computers (RISC)
- Wed 12/1: ½ on Top 3 Extra Credit for SGEMM
  - ½ on Cal Computing History in 1989: Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID)
- Friday 12/3: Course summary, Cal Heritage, HKN course evaluation

Administrivia: Assignments

- Project 4: Single Cycle Processor in Logicsim
  - Due Part 2 due Saturday 11/27
  - Face-to-Face: 12/2 In Lab (signup on whiteboard)
- Extra Credit: Fastest Project 3 (due 11/29 11:59)
- Final Review: Mon Dec 6, 2-5PM (10 Evans)
- Final: Mon Dec 13 8AM-11AM (220 Hearst Gym)
Administrivia: Extra Credit

• Starting today 11/22, option to use one single instance of a Compute Cluster node (cc1.4xlarge) until 11/29 if you prefer
  — No one else on the server
• We expect that the same optimizations that work well on Amazon will also work well on instructional computers, but no guarantees
• Andrew will run on instructional computers when done to decide who is fastest

Cluster Compute Node vs. 61C Node

• 61C Servers: 2 x Intel E5520 quad-core “Nehalem”
  — Mac OS, Apple-patched GCC 4.2.1
  — 2.26 GHz clock rate
  — 12 GB 1066 MHz DDR3 memory
  — 8 MB L3, 2 HW threads/core, 1 Gbit/s Ethernet
• CCN: 2 x Intel Xeon X5570, quad-core “Nehalem”
  — Linux OS, GCC 4.3.5
  — 2.93 GHz (1.30x 5520)
  — 23 GB, 1333 MHz DDR3 (1.25X 5520) memory
  — 8 MB L3, 2 HW threads/core, 10 Gbit/s Ethernet
  — API name: cc1.4xlarge

Multiple Exceptions

• Pipelining overlaps multiple instructions
  — Could have multiple exceptions at once
  — E.g., Page fault in LW same clock cycle as Overflow of following instruction ADD
• Simple approach: deal with exception from earliest instruction e.g., LW exception serviced 1st
  — Flush subsequent instructions
• Called Precise exceptions
• In complex pipelines
  — Multiple instructions issued per cycle
  — Out-of-order completion
  — Maintaining precise exceptions is difficult!

Imprecise Exceptions

• Just stop pipeline and save state
  — Including exception cause(s)
• Let the software handler work out
  — Which instruction(s) had exceptions
  — Which to complete or flush
  • May require “manual” completion
• Simplifies hardware, but more complex handler software
• Not feasible for complex multiple-issue out-of-order pipelines to always get exact instruction
• All computers today offer precise exceptions(?)
Beyond Virtual Memory

- Desire for even greater protection than virtual memory
  - E.g., Amazon Web Services allows independent tasks run on same computer
- Can a “small” operating system simulate the hardware of some machine so that
  - Another operating system can run in that simulated hardware?
  - More than one instance of that operating system run on the same hardware at the same time?
  - More than one different operating system can share the same hardware at the same time?
- Answer: Yes

Solution – Virtual Machine

- A virtual machine provides interface identical to underlying bare hardware
  - I.e., all devices, interrupts, memory, page tables, etc.
- Virtualization has some performance impact
  - Feasible with modern high-performance computers
- Examples
  - IBM VM/370 (1970s technology!)
  - VMWare
  - Xen (used by AWS)
  - Microsoft Virtual PC

Virtual Machines

- **Host Operating System:**
  - OS actually running on the hardware
  - Together with virtualization layer, it simulates environment for ...
- **Guest Operating System:**
  - OS running in the simulated environment
- The resources of the physical computer are shared to create the virtual machines
  - Processor scheduling by OS can create the appearance that each user has own processor
  - Disk partitioned to provide virtual disks

Virtual Machine Monitor

- Maps virtual resources to physical resources
  - Memory, I/O devices, CPUs
- Guest code runs on native machine in user mode
  - Traps to VMM on privileged instructions and access to protected resources
- Guest OS may be different from host OS
- VMM handles real I/O devices
  - Emulates generic virtual I/O devices for guest
**Example: Timer Virtualization**

- In native machine, on timer interrupt:
  - OS suspends current process, handles interrupt, selects and resumes next process
- With Virtual Machine Monitor:
  - VMM suspends current VM, handles interrupt, selects and resumes next VM
- If a VM requires timer interrupts:
  - VMM emulates a virtual timer
  - Emulates interrupt for VM when physical timer interrupt occurs

**Virtual Machine Instruction Set Support**

- Similar to what need for Virtual Memory
- User and System modes
- Privileged instructions only available in system mode
  - Trap to system if executed in user mode
- All physical resources only accessible using privileged instructions
  - Including page tables, interrupt controls, I/O registers
- Renaissance of virtualization support
  - Current ISAs (e.g., x86) adapting, following IBM’s path

**6 Reasons for Virtual Machines at Amazon**

- AWS based on Xen VM on x86 servers
  1. Protect users from each other
  2. Simplified software distribution within AWS
    - Customers only need install an image and then AWS automatically distributes it to all the instances being used
  3. Control resource usage
    - Easy to reliably kill a VM

**6 Reasons for Virtual Machines at Amazon (cont’d)**

4. Gives AWS multiple price points
   - lowest price by packing multiple VMs (“virtual cores”) on 1 server
   - highest price is exclusive access to all the machine resources
   - several intermediary points
Original AWS Instances, Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instance</th>
<th>Per Hour</th>
<th>Ratio to Small</th>
<th>Compute Units</th>
<th>Virtual Cores</th>
<th>Compute Unit/Core</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Disk (GB)</th>
<th>Address Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Small</td>
<td>$0.100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>32 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Large</td>
<td>$0.400</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.800</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Cheapest was 2 VMs / real core
  - "1 Virtual Core" and 1.7 GB memory
  - "Equivalent CPU capacity of a 1.0-1.2 GHz 2007 AMD Opteron or 2007 Intel Xeon processor" = 1 EC2 "compute unit"
- Most expensive was 1 VM for 4 real cores @ 8X price
  - "4 Virtual Cores" each with 2X performance of Standard Virtual Cores and 15.0 GB memory
- One "Goldilocks" VM in between

November 2010 AWS Instances, Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instance</th>
<th>Per Hour</th>
<th>Ratio to Small</th>
<th>Compute Units</th>
<th>Virtual Cores</th>
<th>Compute Unit/Core</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Disk (GB)</th>
<th>Address Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Small</td>
<td>$0.085</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>32 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Large</td>
<td>$0.340</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.680</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Memory Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.500</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Memory Double Extra Large</td>
<td>$1.000</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Memory Quad Extra Large</td>
<td>$2.000</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-CPU Medium</td>
<td>$0.170</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>32 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-CPU Extra Large</td>
<td>$0.680</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Quad Extra Large</td>
<td>$1.600</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>64 bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Performance ratio/virtual core now 2X, 2.5X, 3.25X, 4.2X
- Virtual Cores/instance now 2X, 4X, 8X

6 Reasons for Virtual Machines at Amazon (cont’d)

5. Allow introduction new and faster hardware by either packing even more virtual cores per server or by simply by offering instances that had higher performance per virtual core
   - Virtualization means that offered performance need not be an integer multiple of the performance of the hardware
6. VM hides machines identity so AWS can keep selling time on older machines

“And In Conclusion”

- Virtual Memory, Paging really used for Protection, Translation, Some OS optimizations
  - Not really routinely paging to disk
  - Can think of as another level of memory hierarchy, but not really used like caches
- Virtual Machines as even greater level of protection to allow greater level of sharing
  - Enables fine control, allocation, pricing of Cloud Computing
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