

inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs61c
CS61C : Machine Structures

Lecture 21
Thread Level Parallelism II



Senior Lecturer SOE Dan Garcia
www.cs.berkeley.edu/~ddgarcia


Driving Analytics ⇒
 “A \$70 device will tell you how efficiently you’re driving, and can even call 911 for help in the event of an accident.” Another of the “internet of things” devices, plus data mining potential. If you’re looking for a startup idea, connect the net to things (witness glasses, cars, thermostats, ...)



www.technologyreview.com/news/512211/gadget-gets-under-the-hood-to-bring-analytics-to-driving/

Review: Parallel Processing: Multiprocessor Systems (MIMD)

- MP - A computer system with at least 2 processors:



- Q1 – How do they share data?
- Q2 – How do they coordinate?
- Q3 – How many processors can be supported?

CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (2) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC


Review
Shared Memory Multiprocessor (SMP)


- Q1 – Single address space shared by all processors/cores
- Q2 – Processors coordinate/communicate through shared variables in memory (via loads and stores)
 - Use of shared data must be coordinated via synchronization primitives (locks) that allow access to data to only one processor at a time
- All multicore computers today are SMP

CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (3) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

CS10 Review : Higher Order Functions with “CS10: Sum Reduction”

- Useful HOFs (you can build your own!)
 - **map Reporter over List**
 - Report a new list, every element E of List becoming Reporter(E)
 - **keep items such that Predicate from List**
 - Report a new list, keeping only elements E of List if Predicate(E)
 - **combine with Reporter over List**
 - Combine all the elements of List with Reporter(E)
 - This is also known as “reduce”




combine with  **items of numbers**

CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (4) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Is this a good model when you have multiple cores to help you?

combine with Reporter over List



CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (5) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

CS61C Example: Sum Reduction

- Sum 100,000 numbers on 100 processor SMP
 - Each processor has ID: $0 \leq P_n \leq 99$
 - Partition 1000 numbers per processor
 - Initial summation on each processor [Phase I]

sum[Pn] = 0;
for (i = 1000*Pn; i < 1000*(Pn+1); i = i + 1)
 sum[Pn] = sum[Pn] + A[i];

- Now need to add these partial sums [Phase II]
 - Reduction: divide and conquer
 - Half the processors add pairs, then quarter, ...
 - Need to synchronize between reduction steps

CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (6) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Example: Sum Reduction

Second Phase:
After each processor has computed its "local" sum

This code runs simultaneously on each core

```

half = 100;
repeat
  synch();
  /* Proc 0 sums extra element if there is one */
  if (half%2 != 0 && Pn == 0)
    sum[0] = sum[0] + sum[half-1];
  half = half/2; /* dividing line on who sums */
  if (Pn < half) sum[Pn] = sum[Pn] + sum[Pn+half];
until (half == 1);
    
```

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (7) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

An Example with 10 Processors

sum[P0] sum[P1] sum[P2] sum[P3] sum[P4] sum[P5] sum[P6] sum[P7] sum[P8] sum[P9]

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (8) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

An Example with 10 Processors

sum[P0] sum[P1] sum[P2] sum[P3] sum[P4] sum[P5] sum[P6] sum[P7] sum[P8] sum[P9]

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (9) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

Memory Model for Multi-threading

- ✓ All threads have access to the same, globally shared, memory
- ✓ Data can be shared or private
- ✓ Shared data is accessible by all threads
- ✓ Private data can only be accessed by the thread that owns it
- ✓ Data transfer is transparent to the programmer
- ✓ Synchronization takes place, but it is mostly implicit

CAN BE SPECIFIED IN A LANGUAGE WITH MIMD SUPPORT – SUCH AS OPENMP

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (10) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

```

half = 100;
repeat
  synch();
  /* Proc 0 sums extra element if there is one */
  if (half%2 != 0 && Pn == 0)
    sum[0] = sum[0] + sum[half-1];
  half = half/2; /* dividing line on who sums */
  if (Pn < half) sum[Pn] = sum[Pn] + sum[Pn+half];
until (half == 1);
    
```

Peer Instruction

What goes in Shared? What goes in Private?

| | half | sum | Pn |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| (a) | PRIVATE | PRIVATE | PRIVATE |
| (b) | PRIVATE | PRIVATE | SHARED |
| (c) | PRIVATE | SHARED | PRIVATE |
| (d) | SHARED | SHARED | PRIVATE |
| (e) | SHARED | SHARED | SHARED |

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (11) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

Three Key Questions about Multiprocessors

- Q3 – How many processors can be supported?
- Key bottleneck in an SMP is the memory system
- Caches can effectively increase memory bandwidth/open the bottleneck
- But what happens to the memory being actively shared among the processors through the caches?

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (13) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC Berkeley

Shared Memory and Caches

- What if?
 - Processors 1 and 2 read Memory[1000] (value 20)

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (14) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Shared Memory and Caches

- What if?
 - Processors 1 and 2 read Memory[1000]
 - Processor 0 writes Memory[1000] with 40

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (15) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Keeping Multiple Caches Coherent

- Architect's job: shared memory → keep cache values coherent
- Idea: When any processor has cache miss or writes, notify other processors via interconnection network
 - If **only reading**, many processors can have copies
 - If a **processor writes**, invalidate all other copies
- Shared written result can “ping-pong” between caches

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (16) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

How Does HW Keep \$ Coherent?

Each cache tracks state of each *block* in cache:

- Shared:** up-to-date data, not allowed to write
other caches may have a copy
copy in memory is also up-to-date
- Modified:** up-to-date, changed (dirty), OK to write
no other cache has a copy,
copy in memory is out-of-date
- must respond to read request
- Invalid:** Not really in the cache

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (17) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

2 Optional Performance Optimizations of Cache Coherency via new States

- Exclusive:** up-to-date data, OK to write (change to modified)
no other cache has a copy,
copy in memory up-to-date
 - Avoids writing to memory if block replaced
 - Supplies data on read instead of going to memory
- Owner:** up-to-date data, OK to write (if invalidate shared copies first then change to modified)
other caches may have a copy (they must be in Shared state)
copy in memory not up-to-date
 - So, owner must supply data on read instead of going to memory

<http://youtu.be/Wd8qzgfPfdM>

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (18) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Common Cache Coherency Protocol: MOESI (snoopy protocol)

- Each block in each cache is in one of the following states:

- Modified (in cache)**
- Owned (in cache)**
- Exclusive (in cache)**
- Shared (in cache)**
- Invalid (not in cache)**

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | M | | S | I |
| M | X | | X | ✓ |
| | | | | |
| S | X | | ✓ | ✓ |
| I | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ |

Compatibility Matrix: Allowed states for a given cache block in any pair of caches

Cal CS61C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (19) Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC


Common Cache Coherency Protocol: MOESI (snoopy protocol)

- Each block in each cache is in one of the following states:
 - M**odified (in cache)
 - O**wned (in cache)
 - E**xclusive (in cache)
 - S**hared (in cache)
 - I**nvalid (not in cache)

| | M | O | E | S | I |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M | X | X | X | X | ✓ |
| O | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| E | X | X | X | X | ✓ |
| S | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| I | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

Compatibility Matrix: Allowed states for a given cache block in any pair of caches

CS51C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (20)
Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC



Cache Coherency and Block Size

- Suppose block size is 32 bytes
- Suppose Processor 0 reading and writing variable X, Processor 1 reading and writing variable Y
- Suppose in X location 4000, Y in 4012
- What will happen?
- Effect called *false sharing*
- How can you prevent it?

CS51C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (22)
Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

Dan's Laptop? sysctl hw

```
hw.ncpu: 2
hw.byteorder: 1234
hw.memsize: 8589934592
hw.activecpu: 2
hw.physicalcpu: 2
hw.physicalcpu_max: 2
hw.logicalcpu: 2
hw.logicalcpu_max: 2
hw.cputype: 7
hw.cpusubtype: 4
hw.cpu64bit_capable: 1
hw.cpubfamily: 2028621756
hw.cacheconfig: 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 0
hw.cachesize: 8321499136 32768 6291456 0 0 0 0 0 0
hw.pagesize: 4096
hw.busfrequency: 1064000000
hw.busfrequency_min: 1064000000
hw.busfrequency_max: 1064000000
hw.cpubfrequency: 3060000000
```

```
hw.cpubfrequency_min: 3060000000
hw.cpubfrequency_max: 3060000000
hw.cachelinesize: 64
hw.l1cachesize: 32768
hw.l1dcachesize: 32768
hw.l2cachesize: 6291456
hw.tbfrequency: 1000000000
hw.packages: 1
hw.optional.floatingpoint: 1
hw.optional.mmx: 1
hw.optional.sse: 1
hw.optional.sse2: 1
hw.optional.sse3: 1
hw.optional.supplementalsse3: 1
hw.optional.sse4_1: 1
hw.optional.sse4_2: 0
hw.optional.x86_4: 1
hw.optional.aes: 0
hw.optional.avx1_0: 0
hw.optional.rdrand: 0
hw.optional.f16c: 0
hw.optional.enfstrg: 0
hw.machine = x86_64
```

Be careful!
You can **"change"** some of these values with the wrong flags!

CS51C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (23)
Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC

And In Conclusion, ...

- Sequential software is slow software
 - SIMD and MIMD only path to higher performance
- Multiprocessor (Multicore) uses Shared Memory (single address space)
- Cache coherency implements shared memory even with multiple copies in multiple caches
 - False sharing a concern
- Next Time: OpenMP as simple parallel extension to C

CS51C L20 Thread Level Parallelism II (24)
Garcia, Spring 2013 © UC