GOOGLE GLASS SPECS OUT
Display: “High res equivalent to 25 inch HD screen from 8 feet away”, 16GB flash, WiFi, Bluetooth, SPM camera, 720p video, battery works for a day. GPS and text requires Android app. No 3rd party ads either.

Review
- Cache design choices:
  - Size of cache: speed v. capacity
  - Block size (i.e., cache aspect ratio)
  - Write Policy (Write through v. write back)
  - Associativity choice of N (direct-mapped v. set fully associative)
  - Block replacement policy
  - 2nd level cache?
  - 3rd level cache?
- Use performance model to pick between choices, depending on programs, technology, budget, ...

Another View of the Memory Hierarchy

Memory Hierarchy Requirements
- If Principle of Locality allows caches to offer (close to) speed of cache memory with size of DRAM memory, then recursively why not use at next level to give speed of DRAM memory, size of Disk memory?
  - While we’re at it, what other things do we need from our memory system?

Memory Hierarchy Requirements
- Allow multiple processes to simultaneously occupy memory and provide protection – don’t let one program read/write memory from another
- Address space – give each program the illusion that it has its own private memory
  - Suppose code starts at address 0x40000000. But different processes have different code, both residing at the same address. So each program has a different view of memory.

Virtual Memory
- Next level in the memory hierarchy:
  - Provides program with illusion of a very large main memory
  - Working set of “pages” reside in main memory - others reside on disk.
  - Also allows OS to share memory, protect programs from each other
  - Today, more important for protection vs. just another level of memory hierarchy
  - Each process thinks it has all the memory to itself
  - (Historically, it predates caches)
Virtual to Physical Address Translation

- Each program operates in its own virtual address space; ~only program running
- Each is protected from the other
- OS can decide where each goes in memory
- Hardware gives virtual $\Rightarrow$ physical mapping

Analogy

- Book title like virtual address
- Library of Congress call number like physical address
- Card catalogue like page table, mapping from book title to call #
- On card for book, in local library vs. in another branch like valid bit indicating in main memory vs. on disk
- On card, available for 2-hour in library use (vs. 2-week checkout) like access rights

Simple Example: Base and Bound Reg

- User C
- User B
- User A
- OS

- Enough space for User D, but discontinuous ("fragmentation problem")
- Want:
  - discontinuous mapping
  - Process size $\gg$ mem
  - Addition not enough!
  $\Rightarrow$ use Indirection!

Mapping Virtual Memory to Physical Memory

- Divide into equal sized chunks (about 4 KB - 8 KB)
- Any chunk of Virtual Memory assigned to any chuck of Physical Memory ("page")
- 64 MB

Virtual Memory Mapping Function

- Cannot have simple function to predict arbitrary mapping
- Use table lookup of mappings
  - Use table lookup ("Page Table") for mappings: Page number is index
- Virtual Memory Mapping Function
  - Page = Virtual Offset
  - Physical Page Number = PageTable[Virtual Page Number]
  (P.P.N. also called "Page Frame")
Address Mapping: Page Table

Virtual Address:
- Page no.
- Offset

Page Table Base Reg
- Index into page table

Page Table
- Valid
- Access Rights
- Physical Page Address
- Physical Memory Address

Page Table located in physical memory

Requirements revisited
- Remember the motivation for VM:
  - Sharing memory with protection
    - Different physical pages can be allocated to different processes (sharing)
    - A process can only touch pages in its own page table (protection)
- Separate address spaces
  - Since programs work only with virtual addresses, different programs can have different data/code at the same address!
- What about the memory hierarchy?

Page Table
- A page table is an operating system structure which contains the mapping of virtual addresses to physical locations
  - There are several different ways, all up to the operating system, to keep this data around
- Each process running in the operating system has its own page table
  - “State” of process is PC, all registers, plus page table
- OS changes page tables by changing contents of Page Table Base Register

Page Table Entry (PTE) Format
- Contains either Physical Page Number or indication not in Main Memory
- OS maps to disk if Not Valid (V = 0)

Comparing the 2 levels of hierarchy

Virtual Memory vers.
- Cache version
- Block or Line
- Miss
- Block Size: 32-64B
- Placement:
  - Direct Mapped,
  - N-way Set Associative
- Replacement:
  - Least Recently Used
  - LRU or Random
- Write Thru or Back

Virtual Memory vers.
- Cache version
- Block or Line
- Miss
- Block Size: 4K-8KB
- Placement:
  - Fully Associative
- Replacement:
  - Least Recently Used
  - LRU
- Write Thru or Back
Notes on Page Table

- Solves Fragmentation problem: all chunks same size, so all holes can be used
- OS must reserve "Swap Space" on disk for each process
- To grow a process, ask Operating System
  - If unused pages, OS uses them first
  - If not, OS swaps some old pages to disk
  - (Least Recently Used to pick pages to swap)
- Each process has own Page Table
- Will add details, but Page Table is essence of Virtual Memory

Why would a process need to "grow"?

- A program's address space contains 4 regions:
  - stack: local variables, grows downward
  - heap: space requested for pointers via malloc(); resizes dynamically, grows upward
  - static data: variables declared outside main, does not grow or shrink
  - code: loaded when program starts, does not change

Virtual Memory Problem #1

- Map every address \( \rightarrow \) 1 indirection via Page Table in memory per virtual address \( \Rightarrow \) 1 virtual memory accesses = 2 physical memory accesses \( \Rightarrow \) SLOW!
- Observation: since locality in pages of data, there must be locality in virtual address translations of those pages
- Since small is fast, why not use a small cache of virtual to physical address translations to make translation fast?
- For historical reasons, cache is called a Translation Lookaside Buffer, or TLB

Translation Look-Aside Buffers (TLBs)

- TLBs usually small, typically 128 - 256 entries
- Like any other cache, the TLB can be direct mapped, set associative, or fully associative

And in conclusion...

- Manage memory to disk? Treat as cache
  - Included protection as bonus, now critical
  - Use Page Table of mappings for each user vs. tag/data in cache
  - TLB is cache of Virtual \( \Rightarrow \) Physical addr trans
- Virtual Memory allows protected sharing of memory between processes
- Spatial Locality means Working Set of Pages is all that must be in memory for process to run fairly well