Review: Pipelining

- Pipeline challenge is hazards
  - Forwarding helps with many data hazards
  - Delayed branch helps with control hazard in our 5 stage pipeline
  - Data hazards w/Loads ⇒ Load Delay Slot
    - Interlock ⇒ “smart” CPU has HW to detect if conflict with inst following load, if so it stalls
- More aggressive performance:
  - Superscalar (parallelism)
  - Out-of-order execution

Big Ideas so far

- 15 weeks to learn big ideas in CS&E
  - Principle of abstraction, used to build systems as layers
  - Pliable Data: a program determines what it is
  - Stored program concept: instructions just data
  - Compilation v. interpretation to move down layers of system
  - Greater performance by exploiting parallelism (pipeline)
  - Principle of Locality, exploited via a memory hierarchy (cache)
  - Principles/Pitfalls of Performance Measurement

Where are we now in 61C?

- Architecture! (aka “Systems”)
  - CPU Organization
  - Pipelining
  - Caches
  - Virtual Memory
  - I/O
  - Networks
  - Performance

The Big Picture

What’s the Problem?

- 1989 first Intel CPU with cache on chip
- 1998 Pentium III has two levels of cache on chip
Memory Hierarchy (1/3)

- Processor
  - executes instructions on order of nanoseconds to picoseconds
  - holds a small amount of code and data in registers
- Memory
  - More capacity than registers, still limited
  - Access time ~50-100 ns
- Disk
  - HUGE capacity (virtually limitless)
  - VERY slow: runs ~milliseconds

Memory Hierarchy (2/3)

Processor

Increasing Distance from Proc., Decreasing speed

As we move to deeper levels the latency goes up and price per bit goes down.

Q: Can $/bit go up as move deeper?

Memory Hierarchy (3/3)

- If level closer to Processor, it must be:
  - smaller
  - faster
  - subset of lower levels (contains most recently used data)
- Lowest Level (usually disk) contains all available data
- Other levels?

Memory Caching

- We’ve discussed three levels in the hierarchy: processor, memory, disk
- Mismatch between processor and memory speeds leads us to add a new level: a memory cache
- Implemented with SRAM technology: faster but more expensive than DRAM memory.
  - “S” = Static, no need to refresh, ~10ns
  - “D” = Dynamic, need to refresh, ~60ns

arstechnica.com/paedia/r/ram_guide/ram_guide.part1-1.html

Memory Hierarchy Analogy: Library (1/2)

- You’re writing a term paper (Processor) at a table in Doe
- Doe Library is equivalent to disk
  - essentially limitless capacity
  - very slow to retrieve a book
- Table is memory
  - smaller capacity: means you must return book when table fills up
  - easier and faster to find a book there once you’ve already retrieved it

Memory Hierarchy Analogy: Library (2/2)

- Open books on table are cache
  - smaller capacity: can have very few open books fit on table; again, when table fills up, you must close a book
  - much, much faster to retrieve data
- Illusion created: whole library open on the tabletop
  - Keep as many recently used books open on table as possible since likely to use again
  - Also keep as many books on table as possible, since faster than going to library
Memory Hierarchy Basis

- Disk contains everything.
- When Processor needs something, bring it into all higher levels of memory.
- Cache contains copies of data in memory that are being used.
- Memory contains copies of data on disk that are being used.
- Entire idea is based on Temporal Locality: if we use it now, we'll want to use it again soon (a Big Idea).

Cache Design

- How do we organize cache?
- Where does each memory address map to?
  (Remember that cache is subset of memory, so multiple memory addresses map to the same cache location.)
- How do we know which elements are in cache?
- How do we quickly locate them?

Direct-Mapped Cache (1/2)

- In a direct-mapped cache, each memory address is associated with one possible block within the cache
  - Therefore, we only need to look in a single location in the cache for the data if it exists in the cache
  - Block is the unit of transfer between cache and memory

Direct-Mapped Cache (2/2)

- Cache Location 0 can be occupied by data from:
  - Memory location 0, 4, 8, ...
  - 4 blocks => any memory location that is multiple of 4

Direct-Mapped Cache (3/2)

- Since multiple memory addresses map to same cache index, how do we tell which one is in there?
- What if we have a block size > 1 byte?
- Answer: divide memory address into three fields

Issues with Direct-Mapped

- tag: index byte
- to check: offset
- if have select within
- correct block block

Administrivia

- HW6 Due Tuesday
- HW7 Out Tomorrow, Due Sunday
- Midterm 2:
  - Friday, 11:00am – 2:00pm
  - 306 Soda (HP Auditorium)
  - Conflicts, DSP, &&|| terrified about the drop deadline: Contact Andy ASAP
Direct-Mapped Cache Terminology

- All fields are read as unsigned integers.
- **Index**: specifies the cache index (which “row” of the cache we should look in)
- **Offset**: once we’ve found correct block, specifies which byte within the block we want
- **Tag**: the remaining bits after offset and index are determined; these are used to distinguish between all the memory addresses that map to the same location

Caching Terminology

- When we try to read memory, 3 things can happen:
  1. **cache hit**: cache block is valid and contains proper address, so read desired word
  2. **cache miss**: nothing in cache in appropriate block, so fetch from memory
  3. **cache miss, block replacement**: wrong data is in cache at appropriate block, so discard it and fetch desired data from memory (cache always copy)

Direct-Mapped Cache Example (1/3)

- Suppose we have a 16KB of data in a direct-mapped cache with 4 word blocks
- Determine the size of the tag, index and offset fields if we’re using a 32-bit architecture
- **Offset**
  - need to specify correct byte within a block
  - block contains 4 words
    - 16 bytes
    - 2^4 bytes
  - need 4 bits to specify correct byte

Direct-Mapped Cache Example (2/3)

- **Index**: (~index into an “array of blocks”)
  - need to specify correct row in cache
  - cache contains 16 KB = 2^14 bytes
  - block contains 2^4 bytes (4 words)
  - # blocks/cache = bytes/cache
    - 2^14 bytes/block
    - 2^4 bytes/block
    - 2^10 blocks/cache
  - need 10 bits to specify this many rows

Direct-Mapped Cache Example (3/3)

- **Tag**: use remaining bits as tag
  - tag length = addr length – offset - index
    - 32 - 4 - 10 bits
    - 18 bits
  - so tag is leftmost 18 bits of memory address
- Why not full 32 bit address as tag?
  - All bytes within block need same address (4b)
  - Index must be same for every address within a block, so it’s redundant in tag check, thus can leave off to save memory (here 10 bits)

And in conclusion...

- We would like to have the capacity of disk at the speed of the processor: unfortunately this is not feasible.
- So we create a memory hierarchy:
  - each successively lower level contains “most used” data from next higher level
  - exploits **temporal locality**
  - do the common case fast, worry less about the exceptions (design principle of MIPS)
- **Locality of reference is a Big Idea**