Outline

• Cache Review
• Virtual Memory

Improving Miss Penalty

• When caches first became popular, Miss Penalty ~ 10 processor clock cycles
• Today 2400 MHz Processor (0.4 ns per clock cycle) and 80 ns to go to DRAM ⇒ 200 processor clock cycles!

Solution: another cache between memory and the processor cache: Second Level (L2) Cache

Analyzing Multi-level cache hierarchy

Avg Mem Access Time = L1 Hit Time + L1 Miss Rate * L1 Miss Penalty
L1 Miss Penalty = AMAT_{L2} = L2 Hit Time + L2 Miss Rate * L2 Miss Penalty
Avg Mem Access Time = (L2 Hit Time + L2 Miss Rate * L2 Miss Penalty)

Typical Scale

• L1
  • size: tens of KB
  • hit time: complete in one clock cycle
  • miss rates: 1 %
• L2:
  • size: hundreds of KB
  • hit time: few clock cycles
  • miss rates: 10 20%
• L2 miss rate is fraction of L1 misses that also miss in L2
  • why so high?

Example: with L2 cache

• Assume
  • L1 Hit Time = 1 cycle
  • L1 Miss rate = 5%
  • L2 Hit Time = 5 cycles
  • L2 Miss rate = 15% (% L1 misses that miss)
  • L2 Miss Penalty = 200 cycles
• L1 miss penalty = 5 + 0.15 * 200 = 35
• Avg mem access time = 1 + 0.05 x 35 = 2.75 cycles
Example: without L2 cache

- Assume
  - L1 Hit Time = 1 cycle
  - L1 Miss rate = 5%
  - L1 Miss Penalty = 200 cycles
  - Avg mem access time = 1 + 0.05 x 200
    = 11 cycles

- 4x faster with L2 cache! (2.75 vs. 11)

Cache Summary

- Cache design choices:
  - size of cache: speed v. capacity
  - direct mapped v. associative
  - for N-way set assoc: choice of N
  - block replacement policy
  - 2nd level cache?
  - Write through v. write back?

- Use performance model to pick between choices, depending on programs, technology, budget, ...
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Generalized Caching

- We’ve discussed memory caching in detail. Caching in general shows up over and over in computer systems
  - Filesystem cache
  - Web page cache
  - Game Theory databases / tablebases
  - Software memoization
  - Others?

- Big idea: if something is expensive but we want to do it repeatedly, do it once and cache the result.

Another View of the Memory Hierarchy

Thus far

- Regs
  - Instr, Operands
- Cache
  - Blocks
- L2 Cache
  - Blocks
- Memory
  - Pages
  - Files
- Disk
- Tape

Upper Level
- Faster

Lower Level
- Larger

Memory Hierarchy Requirements

- What else might we want from our memory subsystem? ...
  - Share memory between multiple processes but still provide protection – don’t let one program read/write memory from another
    - Emacs on star
  - Address space – give each process the illusion that it has its own private memory
    - Implicit in our model of a linker

- Called Virtual Memory
Virtual Memory Big Ideas

- Each address that a program uses (pc, $sp, $gp, .data, etc) is fake (even after linking)!

- Processor inserts new step:
  - Every time we reference an address (in IF or MEM) …
  - Translate fake address to real one.

    virtual  physical

VM Ramifications

- Immediate consequences:
  - Each program can operate in isolation!
  - OS can decide where and when each goes in memory!
  - HW/OS can grant different rights to different processes on same chunk of physical mem!

- Big question:
  - How do we manage the VA\(\rightarrow\)PA mappings?

(Weak) Analogy

- Book title like virtual address
- Library of Congress call number like physical address
- Card catalogue like page table, mapping from book title to call number
- On card for book, in local library vs. in another branch like valid bit indicating in main memory vs. on disk
- On card, available for 2-hour in library use (vs. 2-week checkout) like access rights

VM

- Ok, now how do we implement it?

  - Simple solution:
    - Linker assumes start addr at 0x0.
    - Each process has a $base and $bound:
      - $base: start of physical address space
      - $bound: size of physical address space
    - Algorithms:
      - VA\(\rightarrow\)PA Mapping:   PA = VA + $base
      - Bounds check:        VA < $bound

Simple Example: Base and Bound Reg

- $base+$ $bound
- $base
- 0

  - What to do??

VM Observations

- Working set of process is small, but distributed all over address space →
  - Arbitrary mapping function,
    - keep working set in memory
    - rest on disk or unallocated.

- Fragmentation comes from variable-sized physical address spaces
  - Allocate physical memory in fixed-sized chunks (1 mapping per chunk)
  - Partial placement of chunks
    - i.e. any V chunk of any process can map to any P chunk of memory.
Mapping Virtual Memory to Physical Memory

- Divide into equal sized chunks (about 4 KB - 8 KB)
- Any chunk of Virtual Memory assigned to any chunk of Physical Memory ("page")

Physical Memory

Virtual Memory

- Code
- Static
- Heap

64 MB

Paging Organization
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Page is unit of mapping

Page also unit of transfer from disk to physical memory
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Paging Organization

Virtual Memory Mapping Function

- Use table lookup ("Page Table") for mappings: V Page number is index
- Mapping Function
  - Physical Offset = Virtual Offset
  - Physical Page Number = PageTable[Virtual Page Number]

FYI: P.P.N. also called "Page Frame" or "Frame #".

Address Mapping: Page Table

Virtual Address:

VPN

offset

Page Table

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & A.R. & P.P.A. \\
\hline
Val & Access & Physical Page Address \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Physical Page Address

PPN

offset

Page Table located in physical memory

Page Table

- A page table: mapping function
  - There are several different ways, all up to the operating system, to keep this data around.
  - Each process running in the operating system has its own page table
    - Historically, OS changes page tables by changing contents of Page Table Base Register
      - Not anymore! We'll explain soon.

Requirements revisited

- Remember the motivation for VM:
  - Sharing memory with protection
    - Different physical pages can be allocated to different processes (sharing)
    - A process can only touch pages in its own page table (protection)
  - Separate address spaces
    - Since programs work only with virtual addresses, different programs can have different data/code at the same address!
Page Table Entry (PTE) Format

- Contains either Physical Page Number or indication not in Main Memory
- OS maps to disk if Not Valid (V = 0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Val</th>
<th>Access Rights</th>
<th>Physical Page Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>A.R.</td>
<td>P. P. N.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If valid, also check if have permission to use page: Access Rights (A.R.) may be Read Only, Read/Write, Executable

Paging/Virtual Memory Multiple Processes

User A:
- Virtual Memory
- Stack
- Physical Memory

User B:
- Virtual Memory
- Stack
- Physical Memory

Comparing the 2 levels of hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cache Version</th>
<th>Virtual Memory vers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block or Line</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss</td>
<td>Page Fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Size:</td>
<td>Page Size: 4K-8KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-way Set</td>
<td>Fully Associative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement:</td>
<td>Least Recently Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write Thru or Back</td>
<td>Write Back</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes on Page Table

- OS must reserve “Swap Space” on disk for each process
- To grow a process, ask Operating System
  - If unused pages, OS uses them first
  - If not, OS swaps some old pages to disk
    - (Least Recently Used to pick pages to swap)

- Will add details, but Page Table is essence of Virtual Memory

Peer Instruction

A. Locality is important yet different for cache and virtual memory (VM): temporal locality for caches but spatial locality for VM
B. Cache management is done by hardware (HW) and page table management is done by software
C. VM helps both with security and cost

And in conclusion...

- Manage memory to disk? Treat as cache
  - Included protection as bonus, now critical
  - Use Page Table of mappings for each user vs. tag/data in cache
- Virtual Memory allows protected sharing of memory between processes
- Spatial Locality means Working Set of Pages is all that must be in memory for process to run fairly well