Review

Now...
Induction

\[ P(0) \land ((\forall n)(P(n) \implies P(n+1)) \equiv (\forall n \in N) P(n). \]

**Thm:** For all \( n \geq 1, 8|3^{2n} - 1. \)

Induction on \( n. \)

**Base:** \( 8|3^2 - 1. \)

**Induction Hypothesis:** Assume \( P(n): \) True for some \( n. \)

\((3^{2n} - 1 = 8d)\)

**Induction Step:** Prove \( P(n+1) \)

\[
3^{2n+2} - 1 = 9(3^{2n}) - 1 \quad \text{(by induction hypothesis)} \\
= 9(8d + 1) - 1 \\
= 72d + 8 \\
= 8(9d + 1)
\]

Divisible by 8.
Stable Marriage: a study in definitions and WOP.

$n$-men, $n$-women.

Each person has completely ordered preference list contains every person of opposite gender.

**Pairing.**
Set of pairs $(m_i, w_j)$ containing all people exactly once.
- How many pairs? $n$.
- People in pair are **partners** in pairing.

**Rogue Couple in a pairing.**
- A $m_j$ and $w_k$ who like each other more than their partners

**Stable Pairing.**
- Pairing with no rogue couples.

Does stable pairing exist?
- No, for roommates problem.
Traditional Marriage Algorithm:

Each Day:
- All men propose to favorite woman who has not yet rejected him.
- Every woman rejects all but best men who proposes.

Useful Algorithmic Definitions:
- Man **crosses off** woman who rejected him.
- Woman’s current proposer is “**on string**.”

“Propose and Reject.” : Either men propose or women. But not both.
- Traditional propose and reject where men propose.

Key Property: Improvement Lemma:
- Every day, if man on string for woman,
  \[ \implies \text{any future man on string is better.} \]

Stability: No rogue couple.
- rogue couple (M,W)
  \[ \implies M \text{ proposed to } W \]
  \[ \implies W \text{ ended up with someone she liked better than } M. \]
- Not rogue couple!
Graphs

$G = (V, E)$
- $V$ - set of vertices.
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ - set of edges.

Directed: ordered pair of vertices.
- Adjacent, Incident, Degree.
- In-degree, Out-degree.

**Thm:** Sum of degrees is $2|E|$.
- Edge is incident to 2 vertices.
- Degree of vertices is total incidences.

Pair of Vertices are Connected:
- If there is a path between them.

Connected Component: maximal set of connected vertices.
Connected Graph: one connected component.
**Thm:** Every connected graph where every vertex has even degree has an Eulerian Tour; a tour which visits every edge exactly once.

**Algorithm:**
- Take a walk using each edge at most once.
- **Property:** return to starting point.
  - Proof Idea: Even degree.
- Recurse on connected components.
- **Property:** walk visits every component.
  - Proof Idea: Original graph connected.
Graph Coloring.

Given $G = (V, E)$, a coloring of a $G$ assigns colors to vertices $V$ where for each edge the endpoints have different colors.

Notice that the last one, has one three colors.
Fewer colors than number of vertices.
Fewer colors than max degree node.

Interesting things to do. Algorithm!
Planar graphs and maps.

Planar graph coloring $\equiv$ map coloring.

Four color theorem is about planar graphs!
**Theorem:** Every planar graph can be colored with six colors.

**Proof:**
Recall: \( e \leq 3v - 6 \) for any planar graph where \( v > 2 \).
   From Euler’s Formula.

Total degree: \( 2e \)
Average degree: \( \leq \frac{2e}{v} \leq \frac{2(3v - 6)}{v} \leq 6 - \frac{12}{v} \).

There exists a vertex with degree < 6 or at most 5.

- Remove vertex \( v \) of degree at most 5.
- Inductively color remaining graph.
- Color is available for \( v \) since only five neighbors...
  and only five colors are used.
Graph Types: Complete Graph.

\[ K_n, \ |V| = n \]

- every edge present.
- degree of vertex? \(|V| - 1\).

Very connected.
Lots of edges: \(n(n - 1)/2\).
Definitions:

- A connected graph without a cycle.
- A connected graph with $|V| - 1$ edges.
- A connected graph where any edge removal disconnects it.
- An acyclic graph where any edge addition creates a cycle.

Minimally connected, minimum number of edges to connect.

Property:

Can remove a single node and break into components of size at most $|V|/2$. 
Hypercube

Hypercubes. Really connected. \(|V| \log |V|\) edges!
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

\[ G = (V, E) \]
\[ |V| = \{0, 1\}^n, \]
\[ |E| = \{(x, y) | x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.}\} \]
Arithmetic modulo $m$.
Elements of equivalence classes of integers.
{0, \ldots, m−1}
and integer $i \equiv a \pmod{m}$
if $i = a + km$ for integer $k$.
or if the remainder of $i$ divided by $m$ is $a$.

Can do calculations by taking remainders
at the beginning,
in the middle
or at the end.

$58 + 32 = 90 = 6 \pmod{7}$
$58 + 32 = 2 + 4 = 6 \pmod{7}$
$58 + 32 = 2 + (−3) = −1 = 6 \pmod{7}$

Negative numbers work the way you are used to.
$−3 = 0 − 3 = 7 − 3 = 4 \pmod{7}$

Additive inverses are intuitively negative numbers.
Modular Arithmetic and multiplicative inverses.

$3^{-1} \pmod{7}$? 5
$5^{-1} \pmod{7}$? 3

Inverse Unique? Yes.
Proof: $a$ and $b$ inverses of $x \pmod{n}$

\[ ax = bx = 1 \pmod{n} \]
\[ axb = bxb = b \pmod{n} \]
\[ a = b \pmod{n}. \]

$3^{-1} \pmod{6}$? No, no, no....
\[
\{3(1), 3(2), 3(3), 3(4), 3(5)\}
\{3, 6, 3, 6, 3\}

See,... no inverse!
Modular Arithmetic Inverses and GCD

$x$ has inverse modulo $m$ if and only if $gcd(x, m) = 1$.

Group structures more generally.

Proof Idea:
\{0x, \ldots, (m - 1)x\} are distinct modulo $m$ if and only if $gcd(x, m) = 1$.

Finding gcd.
\[ gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x - y) = gcd(y, x \pmod{y}) \]

Give recursive Algorithm! Base Case? $gcd(x, 0) = x$.

Extended-gcd($x, y$) returns $(d, a, b)$
\[ d = gcd(x, y) \text{ and } d = ax + by \]

Multiplicative inverse of $(x, m)$.
\[ egcd(x, m) = (1, a, b) \]
\[ a \text{ is inverse! } 1 = ax + bm = ax \pmod{m}. \]

Idea: egcd.
\[ gcd \text{ produces 1 by adding and subtracting multiples of } x \text{ and } y \]
Example: \( p = 7, q = 11 \).

\( N = 77 \).

\( (p - 1)(q - 1) = 60 \)

Choose \( e = 7 \), since \( \gcd(7, 60) = 1 \).

\( \text{egcd}(7, 60) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\
7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\
7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\
7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\
7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

Confirm: \( -119 + 120 = 1 \)

\( d = e^{-1} = -17 = 43 = (\text{mod} 60) \)
Fermat’s Little Theorem: For prime $p$, and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$  

Proof: Consider $T = \{a \cdot 1 \pmod{p}, \ldots, a \cdot (p-1) \pmod{p}\}$.  

$T$ is range of function $f(x) = ax \pmod{p}$ for set $S = \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$.  

Invertible function: one-to-one.  

$T \subseteq S$ since $0 \not\in T$.  

$p$ is prime.  

$\implies T = S$.  

Product of elts of $T = \text{Product of elts of } S$.  

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$  

Since multiplication is commutative.  

$$a^{(p-1)}(1 \cdots (p-1)) \equiv (1 \cdots (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$  

Each of $2, \ldots (p-1)$ has an inverse modulo $p$,  

multiply by inverses to get...  

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \square$$
RSA:

\[ N = p, q \]

\[ e \text{ with } \gcd(e, (p - 1)(q - 1)) = 1. \]

\[ d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p - 1)(q - 1)}. \]

**Theorem:** \( x^{ed} = x \pmod{N} \)

**Proof:**

\( x^{ed} - x \) is divisible by \( p \) and \( q \) \( \implies \) theorem!

\[
x^{ed} - x = x^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} - x = x((x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1)
\]

If \( x \) is divisible by \( p \), the product is.

Otherwise \( (x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p} \) by Fermat.

\( \implies (x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1 \) divisible by \( p \).

Similarly for \( q \).
RSA, Public Key, and Signatures.

RSA:
\[ N = p, q \]
\[ e \text{ with } \gcd(e, (p - 1)(q - 1)). \]
\[ d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p - 1)(q - 1)}. \]

Public Key Cryptography:
\[ D(E(m, K), k) = (m^e)^d \pmod{N} = m. \]

Signature scheme:
\[ S(C) = D(C). \]
Announce \((C, S(C))\)

Verify: Check \(C = E(C).\)
\[ E(D(C, k), K) = (C^d)^e = C \pmod{N}. \]
Fermat/RSA

$3^6 \pmod{7}$? 1. Fermat: $p = 7$, $p - 1 = 6$

$3^{18} \pmod{7}$? 1.

$3^{60} \pmod{7}$? 1.

$3^{61} \pmod{7}$? 3.

$2^{12} \pmod{21}$? 1.

$21 = (3)(7)(p - 1)(q - 1) = (2)(6) = 12$

$gcd(2, 12) = 1$, $x^{(p-1)(q-1)} = 1 \pmod{pq}$ $2^{12} = 1 \pmod{21}$.

$2^{14} \pmod{21}$? 4. Technically 4 $\pmod{21}$.
Simple Inclusion/Exclusion

**Sum Rule:** For disjoint sets $S$ and $T$, $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T|$

**Example:** How many permutations of $n$ items start with 1 or 2? 
$1 \times (n - 1)! + 1 \times (n - 1)!$

**Inclusion/Exclusion Rule:** For any $S$ and $T$, 
$|S \cup T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cap T|$. 

**Example:** How many 10-digit phone numbers have 7 as their first or second digit? 

$S = \text{phone numbers with 7 as first digit. } |S| = 10^9$

$T = \text{phone numbers with 7 as second digit. } |T| = 10^9$. 

$S \cap T = \text{phone numbers with 7 as first and second digit. } |S \cap T| = 10^8$. 

**Answer:** $|S| + |T| - |S \cap T| = 10^9 + 10^9 - 10^8$. 
Counting.

First Rule: Enumerate objects with sequence of choices.
   Number of Objects: $n_1 \times n_2 \ldots$.
   Example: Poker deals.

Second Rule: Divide out if by ordering of same objects.
   Example: Poker hands. Orderings of ANAGRAM.

Sum Rule: If sets of objects disjoint add sizes.
   Example: Hands with joker, hands without.

Inclusion/Exclusion: For arbitrary sets $A$, $B$.
   $$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$$
   Example: 10 digit numbers with 9 in the first or second digit.
Theorem: \( \binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} \).

Proof: How many size \( k \) subsets of \( n+1 \)? \( \binom{n+1}{k} \).

How many size \( k \) subsets of \( n+1 \)?
How many contain the first element?
Choose first element, need to choose \( k-1 \) more from remaining \( n \) elements.
\( \Rightarrow \binom{n}{k-1} \)

How many don’t contain the first element?
Need to choose \( k \) elements from remaining \( n \) elts.
\( \Rightarrow \binom{n}{k} \)

So, \( \binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+1}{k} \).
Uncountability/Undecidability.

Integers are countable.
Reals are not.
Why? Diagonalization.
Halt is undecidable.
Why? Diagonalization.

Reductions from Halt give more undecidable problems.

Reductions use program for problem A to solve HALT.
Concept 1: can call program A
Concept 2: One can modify text of input program (to HALT).
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True or False

- \( \Omega \) and \( A \) are independent.  True
- \( \Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] + \Pr[B] - \Pr[A \cup B] \).  True
- \( \Pr[A \setminus B] \geq \Pr[A] - \Pr[B] \).  True
- \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) i.i.d. \( \implies \text{var}(\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n}) = \text{var}(X_1) \).  False: \( \times \frac{1}{n} \)
- \( \Pr[|X - a| \geq b] \leq \frac{E[(X-a)^2]}{b^2} \).  True
- \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) i.i.d. \( \implies \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n - nE[X_1]}{n\sigma(X_1)} \rightarrow N(0,1) \).  False: \( \sqrt{n} \)
- \( X = \text{Expo}(\lambda) \implies \Pr[X > 5 \mid X > 3] = \Pr[X > 2] \).  True:
  \[
  \frac{\exp\{-\lambda 5\}}{\exp\{-\lambda 3\}} = \exp\{-\lambda 2\}.
  \]
Correct or not?

When $n \gg 1$, one has

- $[A_n - 2\sigma \frac{1}{n}, A_n + 2\sigma \frac{1}{n}] = 95\%$-CI for $\mu$. No
- $[A_n - 2\sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, A_n + 2\sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}] = 95\%$-CI for $\mu$. Yes
- If $0.3 < \sigma < 3$, then
  
  
  $[A_n - 0.6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, A_n + 0.6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}] = 95\%$-CI for $\mu$. No

- If $0.3 < \sigma < 3$, then
  
  $[A_n - 6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, A_n + 6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}] = 95\%$-CI for $\mu$. Yes
Match Items

1. \( \Pr[X \geq a] \leq \frac{E[f(X)]}{f(a)} \)
2. \( \Pr[|X - E[X]| > a] \leq \frac{\text{var}[X]}{a^2} \)
3. \( \Pr[X \geq a] \leq \min_{\theta > 0} \frac{E[e^{\theta X}]}{e^{\theta a}} \)
4. \( g(\cdot) \) convex \( \Rightarrow E[g(X)] \geq g(E[X]) \)
5. \( E[Y] + \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)} (X - E[X]) \)
6. \( \sum_y y \Pr[Y = y | X = x] \)
7. \( \Pr[\left| \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} - E[X_1] \right| \geq \varepsilon] \to 0, \)
8. \( E[(Y - E[Y|X])h(X)] = 0. \)

- WLLN (7)
- MMSE (6)
- Projection property (8)
- Chebyshev (2)
- LLSE (5)
- Markov’s inequality (1)
Quiz 1: G

1. What is $P[A|B]$?

   $$Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]} = \frac{0.4}{0.7}$$

2. What is $Pr[B|A]$?

   $$Pr[B|A] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[A]} = \frac{0.4}{0.6}$$

3. Are $A$ and $B$ positively correlated?

   No. $Pr[A \cap B] = 0.4 < Pr[A]Pr[B] = 0.6 \times 0.7.$
4. What is $E[Y|X]$?

\[
E[Y|X = 0] = 0 \times Pr[Y = 0|X = 0] + 2 \times Pr[Y = 2|X = 0] \\
= 2 \times \frac{0.3}{0.4} = 1.5
\]

\[
E[Y|X = 1] = 0 \times Pr[Y = 0|X = 1] + 2 \times Pr[Y = 2|X = 1] \\
= 2 \times \frac{0.4}{0.6} = 1.33
\]

5. What is \(\text{cov}(X, Y)\)?

\[
\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] = 0.8 - 0.6 \times 1.4 = -0.04
\]

6. What is \(L[Y|X]\)?

\[
L[Y|X] = E[Y] + \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)}(X - E[X]) = 1.4 + \frac{-0.04}{0.6 \times 0.4}(X - 0.6)
\]
7. Is this Markov chains irreducible? Yes.

8. Is this Markov chain periodic? No. The return times to 3 are \{3, 5, \ldots\}: coprime!

9. Does $\pi_n$ converge to a value independent of $\pi_0$? Yes!

10. Does $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 1\{X_m = 3\}$ converge as $n \to \infty$? Yes!

11. Calculate $\pi$.

Let $a = \pi(1)$. Then $a = \pi(5)$, $\pi(2) = 0.5a$, $\pi(4) = \pi(2) = 0.5a$, $\pi(3) = 0.5\pi(1) + \pi(4) = a$. Thus, $\pi = [a, 0.5a, a, 0.5a, a] = [1, 0.5, 1, 0.5, 1]a$, so $a = 1/4$. 
12. Write the first step equations for calculating the mean time from 1 to 4.

\[ \beta(1) = 1 + 0.5\beta(2) + 0.5\beta(3) \]
\[ \beta(2) = 1 \]
\[ \beta(3) = 1 + \beta(5) \]
\[ \beta(5) = 1 + \beta(1). \]

13. Solve these equations.

\[ \beta(1) = 1 + 0.5 \times 1 + 0.5 \times (1 + (1 + \beta(1))) \]
\[ = 2.5 + 0.5\beta(1). \]

Hence, \( \beta(1) = 5. \)
14. Which is $E[Y|X]$? Blue, red or green?

Answer: Red.
Given $X = x$, $Y = U[a(x), b(x)]$. Thus, $E[Y|X = x] = \frac{a(x) + b(x)}{2}$. 
15. Which is $L[Y|X]$? Blue, red or green?

Answer: Blue.
Cannot be red (not a straight line).
Cannot be green: $X$ and $Y$ are clearly positively correlated.
Quiz 2: PG

1. Find \((x, y)\) so that \(A\) and \(B\) are independent.

   We need
   \[
   Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A]Pr[B]
   \]

   That is,
   \[
   0.2 = (y + 0.2) \times 0.5 = 0.5y + 0.1
   \]

   Hence,
   \[
   y = 0.2 \text{ and } x = 0.3.
   \]

2. Find the value of \(x\) that maximizes \(Pr[B|A]\).

   Obviously, it is \(x = 0.5\).
3. Find $\alpha$ so that $X$ and $Y$ are independent.

We need

$$Pr[X = 0, Y = 0] = Pr[X = 0]Pr[Y = 0]$$

That is,

$$0.1 = (0.1 + \alpha) \times (0.1 + 0.2) = 0.03 + 0.3\alpha$$

Hence,

$$\alpha = 0.233$$
4. A CS70 student is great w.p. 0.3 and good w.p. 0.7. A great student solves each question correctly w.p. 0.8 whereas a good student does it w.p. 0.6. One student got right 70% of the 10 questions on Midterm 1 and 70% of the 10 questions on Midterm 2. What is the expected score of the student on the final?

\[
p := Pr[\text{great} | \text{scores}] = \frac{0.3 \binom{20}{14} 0.8^{14} 0.2^6}{0.3 \binom{20}{14} 0.8^{14} 0.2^6 + 0.7 \binom{20}{14} 0.6^{14} 0.4^6}
\]

\[
= \frac{(0.3)0.8^{14}0.2^6}{(0.3)0.8^{14}0.2^6 + (0.7)0.6^{14}0.4^6} \approx 0.27
\]

Expected score = \( p \times 80\% + (1 - p) \times 60\% \approx 65\% \).
5. You roll a balanced six-sided die 20 times. Use CLT to upper-bound the probability that the total number of dots exceeds 85.

Let $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_{20}$ be the total number of dots. Then

$$
\frac{X - 70}{\sigma \sqrt{20}} \approx \mathcal{N}(0, 1)
$$

where

$$
\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X_1) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m=1}^{6} m^2 - (3.5)^2 \approx 2.9 = 1.7^2.
$$

Now,

$$
\Pr[X > 85] = \Pr[X - 70 > 15] = \Pr[\frac{X - 70}{1.7 \times 4.5} > \frac{15}{1.7 \times 4.5}] = \Pr[\frac{X - 70}{1.7 \times 4.5} > 2] \approx 2.5\%.
$$
6. You roll a balanced six-sided die 20 times. Use Chebyshev to upper-bound the probability that the total number of dots exceeds 85.

Let \( X = X_1 + \cdots + X_{20} \) be the total number of dots. Then

\[
Pr[X > 85] = Pr[X - 70 > 15] \leq Pr[|X - 70| > 15] \leq \frac{\text{var}(X)}{15^2}.
\]

Now,

\[
\text{var}(X) = 20\text{var}(X_1) = 20 \times 2.9 = 58.
\]

Hence,

\[
Pr[X > 85] \leq \frac{58}{15^2} \approx 0.26.
\]
7. Let $X, Y, Z$ be i.i.d. $\text{Expo}(1)$. Find $L[X|X + 2Y + 3Z]$. 

Let $V = X + 2Y + 3Z$. One finds

$$L[X|V] = E[X] + \frac{\text{cov}(X, V)}{\text{var}(V)} (V - E[V])$$

$E[X] = 1$, $E[V] = 6$

$\text{cov}(X, V) = \text{var}(X) = 1$

$\text{var}(V) = 1 + 4 + 9 = 14$.

Hence,

$$L[X|V] = 1 + \frac{1}{14} (V - 6).$$

8. Let $X, Y, Z$ be i.i.d. $\text{Expo}(1)$. Calculate $E[X + Z|X + Y]$.

$$E[X + Z|X + Y] = E[X|X + Y] + E[Z] = \frac{1}{2} (X + Y) + 1.$$ 


$$L[X + Z|X + Y] = \frac{1}{2} (X + Y) + 1.$$
10. You roll a balanced die.

You start with $1.00.

Every time you get a 6, your fortune is multiplied by 10.
Every time you do not get a 6, your fortune is divided by 2.

Let $X_n$ be your fortune at the start of step $n$.

Calculate $E[X_n]$.

We have $X_1 = 1$. Also,

$$E[X_{n+1}|X_n] = X_n \times [10 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 0.5 \times \frac{5}{6}]$$

$$= \rho X_n, \rho = 10 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 0.5 \times \frac{5}{6} \approx 2.1.$$ 

Hence,

$$E[X_{n+1}] = \rho E[X_n], n \geq 1.$$ 

Thus,

$$E[X_n] = \rho^{n-1}, n \geq 1.$$
Quiz 3: R

1. The lifespans of good lightbulbs are exponentially distributed with mean 1 year. Those of defective bulbs are exponentially distributed with mean 0.8. All the bulbs in one batch are equally likely to be good or defective. You test one bulb and note that it burns out after 0.6 year. (a) What is the probability you got a batch of good bulbs? (b) What is the expected lifespan of another bulb in that batch?

Hint: If $X = \text{Expo}(\lambda)$, $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}1\{x > 0\}$, $E[X] = 1/\lambda$.

Let $X$ be the lifespan of a bulb, $G$ the event that it is good, and $B$ the event that it is bad.

(a) $p := \Pr[G|X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)]$

$$p = \frac{0.5 \Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|G]}{0.5 \Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|G] + 0.5 \Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|D]}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-0.6 \delta}}{e^{-0.6 \delta} + (0.8)^{-1} e^{-(0.8)^{-1}0.6 \delta}} \approx 0.488.$$

(b) $E[\text{lifespan of other bulb}] = p \times 1 + (1 - p) \times 0.8 \approx 0.9.$
In the figure, 1, 2, 3, 4 are links that fail after i.i.d. times that are $U[0, 1]$.

Find the average time until $A$ and $B$ are disconnected.

Let $X_k$ be the lifespan of link $k$, for $k = 1, \ldots, 4$.
We are looking for $E[Z]$ where $Z = \max\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ with $Y_1 = \min\{X_1, X_2\}$ and $Y_2 = \min\{X_3, X_4\}$.

\[
Pr[Y_1 > t] = Pr[X_1 > t]Pr[X_2 > t] = (1 - t)^2
\]
\[
Pr[Z \leq t] = Pr[Y_1 \leq t]Pr[Y_2 \leq t] = (1 - (1 - t)^2)^2
\]
\[
= (2t - t^2)^2 = 4t^2 - 4t^3 + t^4
\]
\[
f_Z(t) = 8t - 12t^2 + 4t^3
\]
\[
E[Z] = \int_0^1 tf_Z(t)\,dt = 8\frac{1}{3} - 12\frac{1}{4} + 4\frac{1}{5}
\]
\[
\approx 0.4667.
\]
3. We are given $\pi_0$. Find $\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_n$.

With probability $\alpha := 0.2\pi_0(1) + \pi_0(2) + \pi_0(3)$, the MC ends up in $\{2, 3\}$. With probability $1 - \alpha$, it ends up in state 4.

If it is in $\{2, 3\}$, the probability that it is in state 2 converges to

$$\frac{0.2}{0.2 + 0.6} = 0.25.$$ 

Hence, the limiting distribution is

$$[0, 0.25\alpha, 0.75\alpha, 1 - \alpha].$$
4. A bag has $n$ red and $n$ blue balls. You pick two balls (no replacement). Let $X = 1$ if ball 1 is red and $X = -1$ otherwise. Define $Y$ likewise for ball 2.

→ Are $X$ and $Y$ positively, negatively, or un-correlated?

Clearly, negatively.

5. Calculate $\text{cov}(X, Y)$.

$$\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

$E[X] = E[Y]$, by symmetry

$E[X] = 0$

$E[XY] = Pr[X = Y] - Pr[X \neq Y] = 2Pr[X = Y] - 1$

$Pr[X = Y] = (n - 1)/(2n - 1)$

E.g., if $X = +1 = \text{red}$, then $Y$ is red w.p. $(n - 1)/(2n - 1)$

$$E[XY] = 2(n - 1)/(2n - 1) - 1 = -1/(2n - 1) = \text{cov}(X, Y).$$

6. What is $L[Y|X]$? $L[Y|X] = -\frac{1}{2n-1}X$. Indeed, $\text{var}(X) = 1$, obviously!
7. A bag has $n$ red and $n$ blue balls. You pick two balls (no replacement). Let $X = 1$ if ball 1 is red and $X = -1$ otherwise. Define $Y$ likewise for ball 2.

Calculate $E[Y|X]$.

Since $X$ takes only two values, any $g(X)$ is linear in $X$. Hence, $E[Y|X] = L[Y|X]$.

Alternatively, let $\alpha = \Pr[X = Y] = (n - 1)(2n - 1)$. Then,

$$E[Y|X = 1] = \alpha - (1 - \alpha) = 2\alpha - 1,$$
$$E[Y|X = -1] = -\alpha + (1 - \alpha) = 1 - 2\alpha.$$

Thus,

$$E[Y|X] = (2\alpha - 1)X = -\frac{1}{2n - 1}X.$$
Common Mistakes

- \( \Omega = \{1, 2, 3\} \). Define \( X, Y \) with \( \text{cov}(X, Y) = 0 \) and \( X, Y \) not independent.

Let \( X = 0, Y = 1 \). No: They are independent.

Let
\[
X(1) = -1, X(2) = 0, X(3) = 1, Y(1) = 0, Y(2) = 1, Y(3) = 0.
\]

- \( 3 \times 3.5 = 12.5 \). No.

- \( E[X^2] = E[X]^2 \). No.

- \( X = B(n, p) \implies \text{var}(X) = np(1 - p) \). No.

- \( E[X] = E[X|A] + E[X|\bar{A}] \). No.

- \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = 1/a \). No.

- CS70 is difficult. No.

- I will do poorly on the final. No.

- Rao is bad at copying. Probably!.
Thanks and Best Wishes!