EE 122: Wireless

Ion Stoica (and Brighten Godfrey)

TAs: Lucian Popa, David Zats and Ganesh Ananthanarayanan

http://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~ee122/

(Materials with thanks to Vern Paxson, Jennifer Rexford, and colleagues at UC Berkeley)

Wired Communication

- Pros
  - Very reliable
    - For Ethernet, medium **HAS TO PROVIDE** a Bit Error Rate (BER) of 10^{-12} (one error every one trillion bits!)
      - Insulated wires; wires placed underground and in walls
      - Error Correction Techniques
  - Very high transfer rates
    - Up to 100-Gbit/s or more
  - Long distance
    - Up to 40km (~25 miles) in 10-Gbit/s Ethernet (cutting edge)
- Cons
  - Expensive to set up infrastructure
  - **Infrastructure is fixed once set up**
  - No mobility

Wireless Communication

- Pros
  - Allows mobility
  - **Much cheaper and easier to deploy, change, and upgrade!**
- Cons
  - Exposed (unshielded) medium
    - Susceptible to physical phenomena (interference)
    - Variable BER -- Error correction may not suffice in all cases
  - Slower data rates for wider distances
  - OSI layered stack designed for wired medium
    - Difficult to "hide" underlying behavior
  - Security: anyone in range hears transmission

Goals for Today's Lecture

- Characteristics of Wireless Media
- 802.11 Architecture and Media Access Control Protocol
- Collision Detection vs. Collision Avoidance
  - Hidden Terminal and Exposed Terminal Problem
  - Request To Send (RTS) / Clear To Send(CTS) mechanism
- Multihop Wireless Networks
  - Sensor Networks
  - TCP over Multihop Networks
- Wireless Security

Wireless Communication Standards (Alphabet Soup)

- Cellular
  - 2G: GSM (Global System for Mobile communication), CDMA (Code division multiple access)
  - 3G: CDMA2000
- IEEE 802.11
  - A: 5.0Ghz band, 54Mbps (*25 Mbps operating rate*)
  - B: 2.4Ghz band, 11Mbps (*4.5 Mbps operating rate*)
  - G: 2.4Ghz, 54Mbps (*19 Mbps operating rate*)
  - Other versions to come.
- IEEE 802.15 – lower power wireless
  - 802.15.1: 2.4Ghz, 2.1 Mbps (Bluetooth)
  - 802.15.4: 2.4Ghz, 250 Kbps (Sensor Networks)

Wireless Link Characteristics

(Figure Courtesy of Kurose and Ross)



Figure 6.2 ♦ Link characteristics of selected wireless network standards

Other Wireless Link Characteristics

- Path loss
  - Signal attenuation as a function of distance
  - Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR—Signal Power/Noise Power) decreases, make signal unrecoverable
- Multipath Propagation
  - Signal reflects off surfaces, effectively causing self-interference
- Interference from other sources
  - Internal Interference
    - Hosts within range of each other collide with one another's transmission (remember Aloha)
  - External Interference
    - Microwave is turned on and blocks your signal

Path Loss

- Signal power attenuates by about ~r^2 factor for omni-directional antennas in free space
  - Where r is the distance between the sender and the receiver
- The exponent in the factor is different depending on placement of antennas
  - Less than 2 for directional antennas
  - Faster Attenuation
    - Exponent greater than 2 when antennas are placed on the ground
    - Signal bounces off the ground and reduces the power of the signal

Multipath Effects



- Signals bounce off surface and interfere with one another
- What signals are out of phase?
  - Orthogonal signals cancel each other and nothing is received!

A Wireless Link?

(courtesy of Gilman Tolle and Jonathan Hui, ArchRock)



A Wireless Link!

(courtesy of Gilman Tolle and Jonathan Hui, ArchRock)



The Amoeboed "cell"

(courtesy of David Culler, UCB)



Wireless Bit Errors

- The lower the SNR (Signal/Noise) the higher the Bit Error Rate (BER)
- How can we deal with this?
  - Make the signal stronger
- Why is this not always a good idea?
  - Increased signal strength requires more power
  - Increases the interference range of the sender, so you interfere with more nodes around you
- Error Correction schemes can correct some problems

802.11 Architecture



Figure 6.7 ♦ IEEE 802.11 LAN architecture

- Designed for limited geographical area
- AP's (Access Points) are set to specific channel and broadcast beacon messages with SSID and MAC Address periodically
- Hosts scan all the channels to discover the AP's
  - Host associates with AP (actively or passively)

5 Minute Break

Questions Before We Proceed?

Ethernet vs 802.11

- Wireless MAC design
  - Why not just use Ethernet algorithms?
    - Ethernet: one shared "collision" domain
- It's technically difficult to detect collisions
  - Collisions are at receiver, not sender
- … even if we could, it wouldn't work
  - Different transmitters have different coverage areas
- In addition, wireless links are much more prone to loss than wired links
- Carrier Sense (CSMA) is OK; detection (CD) is not

Hidden Terminals



- A and C can both send to B but can't hear each other
  - A is a *hidden terminal* for C and vice versa
- CSMA/CD will be ineffective – need to sense at *receiver*

Exposed Terminals



- Exposed node: B sends a packet to A; C hears this and decides not to send a packet to D (despite the fact that this will not cause interference)!

CSMA/CA: CSMA w/ *Collision Avoidance*

- Since we can't detect collisions, we try to *avoid* them
- When medium busy, choose random interval (*contention window*)
  - Wait for that many **idle** timeslots to pass before sending
- When a collision is inferred, retransmit with binary exponential backoff (like Ethernet)
  - Use ACK from receiver to infer "no collision"
  - Use exponential backoff to adapt contention window

Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (MACA)



- Before every data transmission
  - Sender sends a Request to Send (RTS) frame containing the length of the transmission
  - Receiver respond with a Clear to Send (CTS) frame
  - Sender sends data
  - Receiver sends an ACK; now another sender can send data
- When sender doesn't get a CTS back, it assumes collision

MACA, con't



- If other nodes hear RTS, but not CTS: send
  - Presumably, destination for first sender is out of node's range …

MACA, con't



- If other nodes hear RTS, but not CTS: send
  - Presumably, destination for first sender is out of node's range …
  - … Can cause problems when a CTS is lost
- When you hear a CTS, you keep quiet until scheduled transmission is over (hear ACK)

RTS / CTS Protocols (MACA)



MACA = Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance

Overcome exposed/hidden terminal problems with contention-free protocol

1. B stimulates C with Request To Send (RTS)
2. A hears RTS and defers (to allow C to answer)
3. C replies to B with Clear To Send (CTS)
4. D hears CTS and defers to allow the data
5. B sends to C

802.11 Stack View



- CSMA/CA runs over the 802.11 physical layer
- Link-level acknowledgements for every frame sent

Link-Layer Acknowledgements

Kurose and Ross



Figure 6.10 ♦ 802.11 uses link-layer acknowledgments.

- Receiver acks every data packet
- If ACK is lost, source tries again until a maximum retransmission number is reached

Channelization of spectrum

- Typically, available frequency spectrum is split into multiple channels
- Some channels may overlap



Preventing Collisions Altogether

- Frequency Spectrum partitioned into several channels
  - Nodes within interference range can use separate channels



  - Now A can send B while C sends to D without any interference!
  - Aggregate Network throughput doubles

Using Multiple Channels

- 802.11: AP's on different channels
  - Usually manually configured by administrator
  - Automatic Configuration may cause problems
- Most cards have only 1 transceiver
  - **Not Full Duplex: Cannot send and receive at the same time**
- Multichannel MAC Protocols
  - Automatically have nodes negotiate channels
    - Channel coordination amongst nodes is necessary
    - Introduces negotiation and channel-switching latency that reduce throughput

Wireless Multihop Networks

- Vehicular Networks
  - Delay Tolerant (batch) sending over several hops carry data to a base station
- Common in Sensor Network for periodically transmitting data
  - Infrastructure Monitoring
    - E.g., structural health monitoring of the Golden Gate Bridge
- Multihop networking for Internet connection sharing
  - Routing traffic over several hops to base station connected to Internet
  - E.g., Meraki Networks

Large Multihop Network

(courtesy of Sanjit Biswas, MIT)



Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks

(Courtesy of Tianbo Kuang and Carey Williamson University of Calgary)



Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



32

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks

(Assume ideal world…)



33

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



34

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



35

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



36

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



37

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



38

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



39

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



40

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



41

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



42

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



43

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



44

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



45

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



46

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



47

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



48

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks

(Reality check…)



Problem 1: node A can't use both of these links at the same time
- shared wireless channel
- transmit or receive, but not both

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Problem 2: can't use both of these links at same time
- range overlap at A
- "hidden node" problem
- "exposed node" problem

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Problem 3: LOTS of contention for the channel
- in steady state, all want to send
- need RTS/CTS to resolve contention

RTS: Request-To-Send
CTS: Clear-To-Send

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



55

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



56

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



57

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



58

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



59

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



60

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



61

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



62

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



63

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



64

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



65

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



66

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



67

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks

Problem 4: TCP uses ACKS to indicate reliable data delivery
- bidirectional traffic (DATA, ACKS)
***- even more contention*!!!**



68

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



69

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



70

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



71

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



72

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



73

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



74

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



75

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



76

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



77

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



78

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



79

Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



80

Summary

- Wireless connectivity provides a very different set of tradeoffs from wired
  - Much greater ease of deployment
  - Mobility
  - But: unprotected physical signaling
  - Complications due to interference, attenuated range
  - Leading to much more frequent loss
- Hidden terminal and Exposed terminal problems motivate need for a different style of Media Access Control: CSMA/CA
- Multihop provides applications to sensornets, citynets
  - But additional complications of routing, contention
- Wireless devices bring new security risks
- Next lecture: *Quality of Service*