


EE 140 RHP Zero CTN 1

Again, we're mainly concerned here w/ phase margin; i.e., stability.

How does a RHP zero affect the PM?

→ compare a LHP zero w/ a RHP zero:


① LHP zero: (and 2 poles)



$$|H(j\omega)| = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_2^2}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_1^2} \sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \quad \frac{\omega \cdot 0}{0 - \sigma_2}$$


$$\angle H(j\omega) = +\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_2}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_1}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_2}\right)$$

$$= +\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_2|}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_1|}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_2|}\right)$$



A LHP zero can really improve the performance & stability of an op amp FB ckt.


② RHP zero: (and 2 poles)



$$|H(j\omega)| = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_2^2}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_1^2} \sqrt{\omega^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \quad \text{now } \sigma_2 = (+)$$

$$\angle H(j\omega) = +\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_2}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_1}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{-\sigma_2}\right)$$

$$= +\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_2|}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_1|}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{|\sigma_2|}\right)$$



A RHP zero is detrimental because:

- ① extends the ω_u
- ② while continuing to drop the phase

↓

instability!

↑

Problem!

⇒ to solve, must first understand where the zero comes from!