EE16B - Spring'17 - Lecture 5A Notes[1]

Murat Arcak

21 February 2017

Linearization

Linear models are advantageous because their solutions, stability properties, and stabilizing controllers can be studied using linear algebra. The methods applicable to nonlinear models are limited; therefore it is common practice to approximate a nonlinear model with a linear one that is valid around a desired operating point.



Recall that the Taylor approximation of a differentiable function f around a point x^* is:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^*) + \nabla f(x)|_{x=x^*}\,(x - x^*),$$

as illustrated on the right for a scalar-valued function of a single variable. When x and $f(x)$ are n-vectors as in our state models, $\nabla f(x)$ must be interpreted as the $n \times n$ matrix of partial derivatives:

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We linearize nonlinear state models by applying this approximation around an *equilibrium* point. For the continuous-time system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{x}(t) = f(\vec{x}(t)), \tag{1}$$

$\vec{x}^*$ is called an *equilbrium* when $f(\vec{x}^*) = 0$ because, if the initial condition is $\vec{x}^*$, then $\frac{d}{dt}\vec{x}(t) = 0$ and $\vec{x}(t)$ remains at $\vec{x}^*$. If we define the deviation of $\vec{x}$ from $\vec{x}^*$ as:

$$\boxed{\tilde{x}(t) \triangleq \vec{x}(t) - \vec{x}^*} \tag{2}$$

then we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{x}(t) = f(\vec{x}(t)) \approx f(\vec{x}^*) + \nabla f(\vec{x})|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}^*}\,\tilde{x}(t).$$

Substituting $f(\vec{x}^*) = 0$ and defining

$$\boxed{A \triangleq \nabla f(\vec{x})|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}^*}} \tag{3}$$

we obtain the linearization of (1) around the equilibrium $\vec{x}^*$:

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{x}(t) \approx A\tilde{x}(t).}$$

In the discrete-time case

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = f(\vec{x}(t)),$$

$\vec{x}^*$ is an equilbrium point if $f(\vec{x}^*) = \vec{x}^*$. The vector $\tilde{x}(t)$ defined in (2) satisfies:

$$\tilde{x}(t+1) = \vec{x}(t+1) - \vec{x}^* = f(\vec{x}(t)) - \vec{x}^* \approx f(\vec{x}^*) - \vec{x}^* + \nabla f(\vec{x})|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}^*}\,\tilde{x}(t).$$

Substituting $f(\vec{x}^*) - \vec{x}^* = 0$ and defining A as in (3), we get

$$\boxed{\tilde{x}(t+1) \approx A\tilde{x}(t).}$$

<u>Example:</u> Recall the pendulum model derived in Lecture 4A:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} &= x_2(t) \\ \frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} &= -\frac{k}{m}x_2(t) - \frac{g}{\ell}\sin x_1(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$



where

$$x_1(t) \triangleq \theta(t) \quad \text{and} \quad x_2(t) \triangleq \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt}.$$

To find the equilibrium points note that

$$f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -\frac{k}{m}x_2 - \frac{g}{\ell}\sin x_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

when $x_2 = 0$ and $\sin x_1 = 0$. Thus the two distinct equilibrium points are the downward position:

$$x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 0, \tag{5}$$

and the upright position:

$$x_1 = \pi, \quad x_2 = 0. \tag{6}$$

Since the entries of $f(\vec{x})$ are $f_1(\vec{x}) = x_2$ and $f_2(\vec{x}) = -\frac{k}{m}x_2 - \frac{g}{\ell}\sin x_1$, we have

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(x_1,x_2)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1(x_1,x_2)}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(x_1,x_2)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2(x_1,x_2)}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{g}{\ell}\cos x_1 & \frac{-k}{m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By evaluating this matrix at (5) and (6), we obtain the linearization around the respective equilibrium point:

$$A_{\text{down}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{g}{\ell} & \frac{-k}{m} \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_{\text{up}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{g}{\ell} & \frac{-k}{m} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Stability of Linear State Models

The Scalar Case

We first study a system with a single state variable $x(t)$ that obeys

$$x(t+1) = ax(t) + bu(t) \tag{8}$$

where a and b are constants. If we start with the initial condition $x(0)$, then we get by recursion

$$\begin{aligned}
x(1) &= ax(0) + bu(0) \\
x(2) &= ax(1) + bu(1) = a^2x(0) + abu(0) + bu(1) \\
x(3) &= ax(2) + bu(2) = a^3x(0) + a^2bu(0) + abu(1) + bu(2) \\
&\vdots \\
x(t) &= a^tx(0) + a^{t-1}bu(0) + a^{t-2}bu(1) + \cdots + abu(t-2) + bu(t-1),
\end{aligned}$$

rewritten compactly as:

$$\boxed{x(t) = a^tx(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} a^{t-1-k}bu(k) \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots} \tag{9}$$

The first term $a^tx(0)$ represents the effect of the initial condition and the second term $\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} a^{t-1-k}bu(k)$ represents the effect of the input sequence $u(0), u(1), \ldots, u(t-1)$.

Definition. We say that a system is *stable* if its state $x(t)$ remains bounded for any initial condition and any bounded input sequence. Conversely, we say it is *unstable* if we can find an initial condition and a bounded input sequence such that $|x(t)| \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

It follows from (9) that, if $|a| > 1$, then a nonzero initial condition $x(0) \neq 0$ is enough to drive $|x(t)|$ unbounded. This is because $|a|^t$ grows unbounded and, with $u(t) = 0$ for all t, we get $|x(t)| = |a^tx(0)| = |a|^t|x(0)| \to \infty$. Thus, (8) is unstable for $|a| > 1$.

Next, we show that (8) is stable when $|a| < 1$ is stable. In this case $a^tx(0)$ decays to zero, so we need only to show that the second term in (9) remains bounded for any bounded input sequence. A bounded input means we can find a constant M such that $|u(t)| \leq M$ for all t. Thus,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} a^{t-1-k}bu(k)\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} |a|^{t-1-k}|b||u(k)| \leq |b|M\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} |a|^{t-1-k}.$$

Defining the new index $s = t-1-k$ we rewrite the last expression as

$$|b|M\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |a|^s,$$

and note that $\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |a|^s$ is a geometric series that converges to $\frac{1}{1-|a|}$ since $|a| < 1$. Therefore, each term in (9) is bounded and we conclude stability for $|a| < 1$.

Summary: The scalar system (8) is stable when $|a| < 1$, and unstable when $|a| > 1$.

When a is a complex number, a perusal of the stability and instability arguments above show that the same conclusions hold if we interpret $|a|$ as the modulus of a, that is:

$$|a| = \sqrt{\mathrm{Re}\{a\}^2 + \mathrm{Im}\{a\}^2}.$$

What happens when $|a| = 1$? If we disallow inputs ($b = 0$), this case is referred to as "marginal stability" because $|a^t x(0)| = |x(0)|$, which neither grows nor decays. If we allow inputs ($b \neq 0$), however, we can find a bounded input to drive the second term in (9) unbounded. For example, when $a = 1$, the constant input $u(t) = 1$ yields:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} a^{t-1-k} b u(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} b = bt$$

which grows unbounded as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, $|a| = 1$ is a precarious case that must be avoided in designing systems.