

EECS 243 – Advanced IC Processing and Layout

Fall 2000
Tu, Th 3:30-5
299 Cory

Office Hours
M, Tu,Th, (F) 11am
W 10

Prof. A. R. Neureuther,
510 Cory Hall, 2-4590
neureuth@eecs



Homework Assignment # 4, Due Th, Sept 28th, 00

Reading for Week#3: PDG 238-241, 256-271; ARNL1 138-150; The talk by Professor Grant Willson at the FEI seminar www.fsi-intl.com/products/brkfst.html has current information.

4.1 Thin-film effects in resists (Anyone for an HP calculator or a Smith chart?)

Consider a 248 nm resist with refractive index $n = 1.8 - j0.03$ about 500 nm thick on a silicon substrate with $n = 1.68 - j3.58$.

- Find the reflection coefficient and reflectivity of the substrate without resist in air.
- Find the reflection coefficient of the substrate seen in the resist.
- Find the vertical contrast in the resist $\{C_V = (I_{\max} - I_{\min}) / (I_{\max} + I_{\min})\}$.
- Find the minimum reflectivity possible when the resist coating is present and the attenuation of the standing wave in the resist is ignored. (Hint: the resist helps reduce $n_{\text{eq. sub}}$ to n_{stack})
- Find the reflectivity if the resist is hard baked at very high temperature such that $n = 1.8 - j0.2$ and the attenuation is so large that the reflection at the resist/silicon interface can be ignored.

4.2 Nonlinearity of resist dissolution rate with exposure

Assume the dissolution rate for an i-line (365 nm) positive resist is given by $R(E) = R_0(E/E_0)^n$ where E is the exposure dose in mJ/cm^2 , E_0 is the reference exposure dose of $30 \text{ mJ}/\text{cm}^2$, $R_0 = 4 \text{ nm}/\text{s}$ and $n = 3$. Assume that the resist is $1.0 \mu\text{m}$ thick and the absorption constant α is $0.67 \mu\text{m}^{-1}$. Ignore front surface reflections and substrate reflections so that the exposure has a simple exponential decay with depth from the initial value of the aerial image (image without the wafer present). A $1.0 \mu\text{m}$ dense (line-space) pattern is exposed in the resist using the NA of 0.3 i-line tool in the Microfabrication Lab. The exposure dose is $100 \text{ mJ}/\text{cm}^2$.

- Estimate the development time required to first clear the brightest part of the above image.
- Estimate the development time required to move horizontally along the substrate from the brightest location to the 0.3 intensity level at the line edge.
- Estimate the time to develop vertically downward to the substrate at the location of the 0.3 intensity level and compare this to the sum of **a)** and **b)**. Comment on why it is larger.

4.3 Models for Chemically amplified resists

Use the rate constants in the differential equations for chemically-amplified resists on pp. 3341 of Croffie et al. JVST-B, 1999. Add a term $-k_1HB$ to the rate of change of acid to account for acid-base recombination and add a separate equation for the base decay rate $\delta B/\delta t = -k_1HB$. Assume the initial normalized values are $H = 0.10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $B = 0.025 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $P = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $k_1 = 3 \mu\text{m}^3/\text{s}$.

- How quickly do the volatile products decrease and free volume relax compared to the rate of deprotection?
- If F rises to 0.2 for 1 second, what is the diffusion length $\sqrt{2Dt}$.
- Use the 1D resist applet under LAVA applications to show that $\omega = 4$ and $D_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^{-1}/\text{s}$ cause the acid to sharpen whereas $\omega = 0$ and $D_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^{-1}/\text{s}$ do not and that.
- a threshold level of deprotection (such as 0.24 on the blue curve) advances with bake time at a more linear rate with the default concentration dependent parameters than with the above Fickian diffusion.