# Section 9: Cache, Clock Algorithm, Banker's Algorithm and Demand Paging

## CS162

Oct 23-24, 2018

# Contents

1	Vocabulary														<b>2</b>									
	Problems																							3
	2.1 Caching						•															 •		3
	2.2 Clock Alg																							
	2.3 Banker's A	Algorithm	ı																					5
	2.4 Demand H	aging					•															 •		6
	2.5 Virtual M	emory .					•		•	•									•	 •				7

## 1 Vocabulary

- **Compulsory Miss** The miss that occurs on the first reference to a block. There's essentially nothing that you can do about this type of miss, but over the course of time, compulsory misses become insignificant compared to all the other memory accesses that occur.
- **Capacity Miss** This miss occurs when the cache can't contain all the blocks that the program accesses. Usually the solution to capacity misses is to increase the cache size.
- **Conflict Miss** Conflict misses occur when multiple memory locations are mapped to the same cache location. In order to prevent conflict misses, you should either increase the cache size or increase the associativity of the cache.
- Coherence Miss Coherence misses are caused by external processors or I/O devices that updates what's in memory.
- Working set The subset of the address space that a process uses as it executes. Generally we can say that as the cache hit rate increases, more of the working set is being added to the cache.
- Thrashing Phenomenon that occurs when a computer's virtual memory subsystem is constantly paging (exchanging data in memory for data on disk). This can lead to significant application slowdown.

## 2 Problems

## 2.1 Caching

An up-and-coming big data startup has just hired you do help design their new memory system for a byte-addressable system. Suppose the virtual and physical memory address space is 32 bits with a 4KB page size.

First, you create 1) a direct mapped cache and 2) a fully associative cache of the same size that replaces the least recently used pages when the cache is full. You run a few tests and realize that the fully associative cache performs much worse than the direct mapped cache. What's a possible access pattern that could cause this to happen?

Instead, your boss tells you to build a 8KB 2-way set associative cache with 64 byte cache blocks. How would you split a given virtual address into its tag, index, and offset numbers?

You finish building the cache, and you want to show your boss that there was a significant improvement in average read time. Suppose your system uses a two level page table to translate virtual addresses and your system uses the cache for the translation tables and data. Each memory access takes 50ns, the cache lookup time is 5ns, and your cache hit rate is 90%. What is the average time to read a location from memory?

## 2.2 Clock Algorithm

Suppose that we have a 32-bit virtual address split as follows:

10 Bits	10 Bits	12 Bits
Table ID	Page ID	Offset

Show the format of a PTE complete with bits required to support the clock algorithm.

For this problem, assume that physical memory can hold at most four pages. What pages remain in memory at the end of the following sequence of page table operations and what are the use bits set to for each of these pages:

- Page A is accessed
- Page B is accessed
- Page C is accessed
- Page A is accessed
- Page C is accessed
- Page D is accessed
- Page B is accessed
- Page D is accessed
- Page A is accessed
- Page E is accessed
- Page F is accessed

## 2.3 Banker's Algorithm

Suppose we have the following resources: A, B, C and threads T1, T2, T3 and T4. The total number of each resource as well as the current/max allocations for each thread are as follows:

ſ	r	Tota	1
	А	В	C
ĺ	7	8	9

	C	urre	nt	Max						
T/R	Α	В	C	A	В	С				
T1	0	2	2	4	3	3				
T2	2	2	1	3	6	9				
Т3	3	0	4	3	1	5				
Τ4	1	3	1	3	3	4				

Is the system in a safe state? If so, show a non-blocking sequence of thread executions.

Repeat the previous question if the total number of C instances is 8 instead of 9.

## 2.4 Demand Paging

Your boss has been so impressed with your work designing the caching that he has asked for your advice on designing a TLB to use for this system. Suppose you know that there will only be 4 processes running at the same time, each with a Resident Set Size (RSS) of 512MB and a working set size of 256KB. Assuming the same system as the previous problem (32 bit virtual and physical address space, 4KB page size), what is the minimum amount of TLB entries that your system would need to support to be able to map/cache the working set size for one process? What happens if you have more entries? What about less?

Suppose you run some benchmarks on the system and you see that the system is utilizing over 99% of its paging disk IO capacity, but only 10% of its CPU. What is a combination of the of disk space and memory size that can cause this to occur? Assume you have TLB entries equal to the answer from the previous part.

Out of increasing the size of the TLB, adding more disk space, and adding more memory, which one would lead to the largest performance increase and why?

### 2.5 Virtual Memory

vmstat is a Linux performance debugging tool that provides information about virtual memory on your system. When you run it, the output looks like this:

\$ vmstat 1

pro	cs		mer	nory		swa	p	io		syste	m		cpu		
r	b	swpd	free	buff	cache	si	so	bi	bo	in	cs u	s s	y id wa	ı st	
1	0	0	174184	1007372	96316	49	642	3095	678	123	128	0	1 99	0	0
0	0	0	174240	1007372	96316	0	0	0	0	48	88	0	0 100	0	0
0	0	0	174240	1007372	96316	0	0	0	0	33	75	0	0 100	0	0
0	0	0	174240	1007372	96316	0	0	0	0	32	73	0	0 100	0	0

The 1 means "recompute the stats every 1 second and print them out". The first line contains the average values since boot time, while the second line contains the averages of the last second (current averages). Here's a reference for what each one of the columns means.

#### Procs

r: The number of runnable processes (running or waiting for run time). b: The number of processes in uninterruptible sleep.

#### Memory

swpd: the amount of virtual memory used. free: the amount of idle memory. buff: the amount of memory used as buffers. cache: the amount of memory used as cache. inact: the amount of inactive memory. (-a option) active: the amount of active memory. (-a option)

#### Swap

si: Amount of memory swapped in from disk (/s).
so: Amount of memory swapped to disk (/s).

#### IO

bi: Blocks received from a block device (blocks/s).
bo: Blocks sent to a block device (blocks/s).

#### System

in: The number of interrupts per second, including the clock. cs: The number of context switches per second.

#### CPU

These are percentages of total CPU time. us: Time spent running non-kernel code. (user time, including nice time) sy: Time spent running kernel code. (system time) id: Time spent idle. Prior to Linux 2.5.41, this includes IO-wait time. wa: Time spent waiting for IO. Prior to Linux 2.5.41, included in idle. st: Time stolen from a virtual machine. Prior to Linux 2.6.11, unknown. Take a look at these 3 programs (A, B, C).

```
char *buffer[4 * (1L << 20)];</pre>
int A(int in) {
 // "in" is a file descriptor for a file on disk
  while (read(in, buffer, sizeof(buffer)) > 0);
}
int B() {
    size_t size = 5 * (1L << 30);</pre>
    int *x = malloc(size);
    memset(x, 0xCC, size);
}
sem_t sema;
pthread_t thread;
void *foo() { while (1) sem_wait(&sema); }
int C() {
    pthread_create(&thread, NULL, foo, NULL);
    while (1) sem_post(&sema);
}
```

I ran these 3 programs one at a time, but in a random order. What order did I run them in? Can you tell where (in the vmstat output) one program stopped and another started? Explain.

pro	cs		mer	nory		swa	ар	io	8	system	1	cpu	-	
r	b	swpd	free	buff	cache	si	so	bi	bo	in		y id wa st	;	
0	0	684688	25216	1822136	60860	75	748	3645	779	146	296 1	1980	0	
1	0	684688	25268	1822136	60868	0	0	0	0 1	18150	735898	6 44 51	0	0
1	0	684688	25268	1822136	60868	0	0	0	06	61864	1270088	6 77 17	0	0
1	0	684688	25268	1822136	60868	0	0	0	05	59497	1102825	8 71 21	0	0
1	0	684688	25268	1822136	60868	0	0	0	0 9	94619	766431	11 79 10	0	0
0	0	684688	25612	1822136	60868	0	0	0	0 1	13605	237430	2 13 85	0	0
0	0	684688	25612	1822136	60868	0	0	0	0	61	115 0	1 100 0	0	
3	0	694520	18544	3212	45040	64	11036	264 1	1144 2	2647 2	2339 5 9	51 43 0	0	
4	1	1285828	20560	) 128	580	88	59244	0 14248	59244	40 182	289 2171	3 58 36	4	0
3	0	1866176	21492	2 128	2132	0	578404	4 8972	57840	04 476	646 1691	2 70 28	1	0
3	0	2350636	17820	) 136	2640	0	48773	2 11708	48778	38 174	404 1881	1 58 39	1	0
2	0	2771016	22168	3 544	4360	2072	41727	2 15372	41727	72 174	60 2192	2 57 39	3	0
0	0	697036	1922160	560	9712	1516	418224	4 16508	41822	28 477	47 2616	0 64 30	6	0
0	0	697032	1921696	5 564	10096	28	0	288	0	77	148 0	0 100 0	0	
1	0	696980	878128	1037720	11272	412	0	1038840	(	0 1112	28 14854	1 25 54	21	0
1	0	696980	21732	1895476	9348	0	0	1286460	(	0 1361	0 18224	0 31 46	22	0
0	2	696980	20992	1896496	9072	0	0	1297536	20	0 1374	5 19164	0 36 43	21	1
1	1	696980	20228	1897784	8648	0	0	1283324	32	2 1365	59 18931	0 36 41	23	0
1	1	696960	21048	1897404	8716	48	0	1215152	(	0 1260	17672	0 34 45	21	0
0	0	696952	23048	1899112	9004	8	0 4	470112	0	5100	7073 0	13 81 6	0	
0	0	696952	23048	1899112	9004	0	0	0	0	45	89 0	0 100 0	0	

If you have extra available physical memory, Linux will use it to cache files on disk for performance benefits. This disk cache may also include parts of the swapfile. Why would caching the swapfile be better than paging-in those pages immediately?

If I remove the line "memset(x, OxCC, size);" from program B, I notice that the vmstat output does not have a spike in swap (si and so) nor in io (bi and bo). My system doesn't have enough physical memory for a 5GB array. Yet, the array is not swapped out to disk. Where does the array go? Why did the memset make a difference?

Program B has a 5GB array, but the whole thing just contains 0xCCCCCCCC. Based on this observation, can you think of a way to reduce program B's memory footprint without changing any of program B's code? (What can the kernel do to save memory?)