CS162
Operating Systems and Systems Programming
Lecture 6

Concurrency (Continued), Synchronization (Start)

February 9th, 2015

Prof. John Kubiatowicz
http://cs162.eecs.Berkeley.edu

Recall: Lifecycle of a Process



- As a process executes, it changes state:
  - new: The process is being created
  - ready: The process is waiting to run
  - running: Instructions are being executed
  - waiting: Process waiting for some event to occur
  - terminated: The process has finished execution

Recall: Use of Threads

- Version of program with Threads (loose syntax):

```
main() {
   ThreadFork(ComputePI("pi.txt"));
   ThreadFork(PrintClassList("clist.text"));
}
```

- What does "ThreadFork()" do?
  - Start independent thread running given procedure
- What is the behavior here?
  - Now, you would actually see the class list
  - This *should* behave as if there are two separate CPUs



Recall: Thread Abstraction



- Infinite number of processors
- Threads execute with variable speed
  - Programs must be designed to work with any schedule

Recall: Execution Stack Example

```
A(int tmp) {
  if (tmp<2)
    B();
  printf(tmp);
}
B() {
  C();
}
C() {
  A(2);
}
A(1);
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

MIPS: Software conventions for Registers

0	zero	constant 0	16	s0	callee saves
1	at	reserved for assembler	. . .		(callee must save)
2	v0	expression evaluation &	23	s7	
3	v1	function results	24	t8	temporary (cont'd)
4	a0	arguments	25	t9	
5	a1		26	k0	reserved for OS kernel
6	a2		27	k1	
7	a3		28	gp	Pointer to global area
8	t0	temporary: caller saves	29	sp	Stack pointer
. . .		(callee can clobber)	30	fp	frame pointer
15	t7		31	ra	Return Address (HW)

- Before calling procedure:
  - Save caller-saves regs
  - Save v0, v1
  - Save ra
- After return, assume
  - Callee-saves reg OK
  - gp,sp,fp OK (restored!)
  - Other things trashed

Recall: Multithreaded stack switching

- Consider the following code blocks:

```
proc A() {
   B();

}
proc B() {
   while(TRUE) {
      yield();
   }
}
```



- Suppose we have 2 threads:
  - Threads S and T

Example: Network Interrupt



- An interrupt is a hardware-invoked context switch
  - No separate step to choose what to run next
  - Always run the interrupt handler immediately

Use of Timer Interrupt to Return Control

- Solution to our dispatcher problem
  - Use the timer interrupt to force scheduling decisions



- Timer Interrupt routine:

```
TimerInterrupt() {
   DoPeriodicHouseKeeping();
   run_new_thread();
}
```

- I/O interrupt: same as timer interrupt except that DoHousekeeping() replaced by ServiceIO().

How does Thread get started?



- Eventually, run_new_thread() will select this TCB and return into beginning of ThreadRoot()
  - This really starts the new thread

What does ThreadRoot() look like?

- ThreadRoot() is the root for the thread routine:

```
ThreadRoot() {
   DoStartupHousekeeping();
   UserModeSwitch(); /* enter user mode */
   Call fcnPtr(fcnArgPtr);
   ThreadFinish();
}
```

- Startup Housekeeping
  - Includes things like recording start time of thread
  - Other Statistics
- Stack will grow and shrink with execution of thread



- Final return from thread returns into ThreadRoot() which calls ThreadFinish()
  - ThreadFinish() wake up sleeping threads

Examples multithreaded programs

- Embedded systems
  - Elevators, Planes, Medical systems, Wristwatches
  - Single Program, concurrent operations
- Most modern OS kernels
  - Internally concurrent because have to deal with concurrent requests by multiple users
  - But no protection needed within kernel
- Database Servers
  - Access to shared data by many concurrent users
  - Also background utility processing must be done

Example multithreaded programs (con't)

- Network Servers
  - Concurrent requests from network
  - Again, single program, multiple concurrent operations
  - File server, Web server, and airline reservation systems
- Parallel Programming (More than one physical CPU)
  - Split program into multiple threads for parallelism
  - This is called Multiprocessing
- Some multiprocessors are actually uniprogrammed:
  - Multiple threads in one address space but one program at a time

A typical use case

Client Browser
- process for each tab
- thread to render page
- GET in separate thread
- multiple outstanding GETs
- as they complete, render portion

Web Server
- fork process for each client connection
- thread to get request and issue response
- fork threads to read data, access DB, etc
- join and respond

Some Actual Numbers

- Many process are multi-threaded, so thread context switches may be either **within-process** or **across-processes**.



Image Name	PID	User Name	CPU	Memory (Private Workin...	Threads	Description
thunderbird.exe *32	5544	jfc	00	422,212 K	28	Thunderbird
firefox.exe *32	6064	jfc	00	362,048 K	49	Firefox
BCU.exe *32	4752	jfc	00	109,012 K	6	Browser Configuration Utility
dwm.exe	4036	jfc	00	105,676 K	5	Desktop Window Manager
POWERPNT.EXE	140	jfc	00	102,204 K	12	Microsoft PowerPoint
explorer.exe	1780	jfc	00	73,244 K	36	Windows Explorer
Dropbox.exe *32	3380	jfc	00	56,792 K	34	Dropbox
CameraHelperShell.exe...	4892	jfc	00	15,068 K	9	Webcam Controller
emacs.exe *32	4856	jfc	00	12,996 K	3	GNU Emacs: The extensible self-doc
FlashPlayerPlugin_11_8...	4260	jfc	00	10,820 K	12	Adobe Flash Player 11.8 r800
nvxdsync.exe	3420		00	10,192 K	10	
emacs.exe *32	2736	jfc	00	10,000 K	3	GNU Emacs: The extensible self-doc
BtvStack.exe	2708	jfc	00	9,444 K	43	Bluetooth Stack Server

Kernel Use Cases

- Thread for each user process
- Thread for sequence of steps in processing I/O
- Threads for device drivers
- …

Administrivia

- Group formation: should be completed
  - Will handle stragglers tonight
- Project #1: Released!
  - Technically starts today
  - Autograder should be up by tomorrow.
- HW1 due today
  - Must be submitted via the recommended "push" mechanism through git

Famous Quote WRT Scheduling: Dennis Richie

Dennis Richie, Unix V6, slp.c:

```
/*
 * If the new process paused because it was
 * swapped out, set the stack level to the last call
 * to savu(u_ssav).  This means that the return
 * which is executed immediately after the call to aretu
 * actually returns from the last routine which did
 * the savu.
 *
 * You are not expected to understand this.
 */
```

"If the new process paused because it was swapped out, set the stack level to the last call to savu(u_ssav). This means that the return which is executed immediately after the call to aretu actually returns from the last routine which did the savu."

"You are not expected to understand this."

Source: Dennis Ritchie, Unix V6 slp.c (context-switching code) as per The Unix Heritage Society(tuhs.org); gif by Eddie Koehler.

Included by Ali R. Butt in CS3204 from Virginia Tech

Putting it together: Process



Putting it together: Processes



- Switch overhead: high
  - CPU state: low
  - Memory/IO state: high
- Process creation: high
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: yes
- Sharing overhead: high (involves at least a context switch)

Putting it together: Threads



- Switch overhead: low (only CPU state)
- Thread creation: low
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: No
- Sharing overhead: low (thread switch overhead low)

Kernel versus User-Mode threads

- We have been talking about Kernel threads
  - Native threads supported directly by the kernel
  - Every thread can run or block independently
  - One process may have several threads waiting on different things
- Downside of kernel threads: a bit expensive
  - Need to make a crossing into kernel mode to schedule
- Even lighter weight option: User Threads
  - User program provides scheduler and thread package
  - May have several user threads per kernel thread
  - User threads may be scheduled non-premptively relative to each other (only switch on yield())
  - Cheap
- Downside of user threads:
  - When one thread blocks on I/O, all threads block
  - Kernel cannot adjust scheduling among all threads
  - Option: *Scheduler Activations*
    - » Have kernel inform user level when thread blocks…

Some Threading Models

Simple One-to-One Threading Model





Many-to-One



Many-to-Many

Threads in a Process

- Threads are useful at user-level
  - Parallelism, hide I/O latency, interactivity
- Option A (early Java): user-level library, within a single-threaded process
  - Library does thread context switch
  - Kernel time slices between processes, e.g., on system call I/O
- Option B (SunOS, Linux/Unix variants): green Threads
  - User-level library does thread multiplexing
- Option C (Windows): scheduler activations
  - Kernel allocates processors to user-level library
  - Thread library implements context switch
  - System call I/O that blocks triggers upcall
- Option D (Linux, MacOS, Windows): use kernel threads
  - System calls for thread fork, join, exit (and lock, unlock,…)
  - Kernel does context switching
  - Simple, but a lot of transitions between user and kernel mode

Putting it together: Multi-Cores



- Switch overhead: low (only CPU state)
- Thread creation: low
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: No
- Sharing overhead: low (thread switch overhead low, may not need to switch at all!)

Putting it together: Hyper-Threading



- Switch overhead between hardware-threads: very-low (done in hardware)
- Contention for ALUs/FPUs may hurt performance

Multiprocessing vs Multiprogramming

- Remember Definitions:
  - Multiprocessing ≡ Multiple CPUs
  - Multiprogramming ≡ Multiple Jobs or Processes
  - Multithreading ≡ Multiple threads per Process
- What does it mean to run two threads "concurrently"?
  - Scheduler is free to run threads in any order and interleaving: FIFO, Random, …
  - Dispatcher can choose to run each thread to completion or time-slice in big chunks or small chunks



Single and Multithreaded Processes



Supporting 1T and MT Processes



Supporting 1T and MT Processes



Classification

# threads Per AS: / # of addr spaces:	One	Many
One	MS/DOS, early Macintosh	Traditional UNIX
Many	Embedded systems (Geoworks, VxWorks, JavaOS,etc) JavaOS, Pilot(PC)	Mach, OS/2, Linux Windows 9x??? Win NT to XP, Solaris, HP-UX, OS X

- Real operating systems have either
  - One or many address spaces
  - One or many threads per address space
- Did Windows 95/98/ME have real memory protection?
  - No: Users could overwrite process tables/System DLLs

You are here… why?

- Processes
  - Thread(s) + address space
- Address Space
- Protection
- Dual Mode
- Interrupt handlers
  - Interrupts, exceptions, syscall
- File System
  - Integrates processes, users, cwd, protection
- Key Layers: OS Lib, Syscall, Subsystem, Driver
  - User handler on OS descriptors
- Process control
  - fork, wait, signal, exec
- Communication through sockets
  - Integrates processes, protection, file ops, concurrency
- Client-Server Protocol
- Concurrent Execution: Threads
- Scheduling



Perspective on 'groking' 162

- Historically, OS was the most complex software
  - Concurrency, synchronization, processes, devices, communication, …
  - Core systems concepts developed there
- Today, many "applications" are complex software systems too
  - These concepts appear there
  - But they are realized out of the capabilities provided by the operating system
- Seek to understand how these capabilities are implemented upon the basic hardware.
- See concepts multiple times from multiple perspectives
  - Lecture provides conceptual framework, integration, examples, …
  - Book provides a reference with some additional detail
  - Lots of other resources that you need to learn to use
    - » man pages, google, reference manuals, includes (.h)
- Section, Homework and Project provides detail down to the actual code AND direct hands-on experience

Operating System as Design



Starting today: Pintos Projects



- Groups almost all formed
- Work as one!
- 10x homework
- P1: threads & scheduler
- P2: user process

MT Kernel 1T Process ala Pintos/x86



- Each user process/thread associated with a kernel thread, described by a 4kb Page object containing TCB and kernel stack for the kernel thread

In User thread, w/ k-thread waiting



- x86 proc holds interrupt SP high system level
- During user thread exec, associate kernel thread is "standing by"

In Kernel thread



- Kernel threads execute with small stack in thread struct
- Scheduler selects among ready kernel and user threads

Thread Switch (switch.S)



- switch_threads: save regs on current small stack, change SP, return from destination threads call to switch_threads

Switch to Kernel Thread for Process



Kernel->User



- iret restores user stack and PL

User->Kernel



- Mechanism to resume k-thread goes through interrupt vector

User->Kernel via interrupt vector



- Interrupt transfers control through the IV (IDT in x86)
- iret restores user stack and PL

Pintos Interrupt Processing



Recall: cs61C THE STACK FRAME



Pintos Interrupt Processing



Timer may trigger thread switch

- thread_tick
  - Updates thread counters
  - If quanta exhausted, sets yield flag
- thread_yield
  - On path to rtn from interrupt
  - Sets current thread back to READY
  - Pushes it back on ready_list
  - Calls schedule to select next thread to run upon iret
- Schedule
  - Selects next thread to run
  - Calls switch_threads to change regs to point to stack for thread to resume
  - Sets its status to RUNNING
  - If user thread, activates the process
  - Returns back to intr_handler

Pintos Return from Processing



Correctness for systems with concurrent threads

- If dispatcher can schedule threads in any way, programs must work under all circumstances
  - Can you test for this?
  - How can you know if your program works?
- Independent Threads:
  - No state shared with other threads
  - Deterministic ⇒ Input state determines results
  - Reproducible ⇒ Can recreate Starting Conditions, I/O
  - Scheduling order doesn't matter (if `switch()` works!!!)
- Cooperating Threads:
  - Shared State between multiple threads
  - Non-deterministic
  - Non-reproducible
- Non-deterministic and Non-reproducible means that bugs can be intermittent
  - Sometimes called "Heisenbugs"

Interactions Complicate Debugging

- Is any program truly independent?
  - Every process shares the file system, OS resources, network, etc
  - Extreme example: buggy device driver causes thread A to crash "independent thread" B
- You probably don't realize how much you depend on reproducibility:
  - Example: Evil C compiler
    - » Modifies files behind your back by inserting errors into C program unless you insert debugging code
  - Example: Debugging statements can overrun stack
- Non-deterministic errors are really difficult to find
  - Example: Memory layout of kernel+user programs
    - » depends on scheduling, which depends on timer/other things
    - » Original UNIX had a bunch of non-deterministic errors
  - Example: Something which does interesting I/O
    - » User typing of letters used to help generate secure keys

Why allow cooperating threads?

- People cooperate; computers help/enhance people's lives, so computers must cooperate
  - By analogy, the non-reproducibility/non-determinism of people is a notable problem for "carefully laid plans"
- Advantage 1: Share resources
  - One computer, many users
  - One bank balance, many ATMs
    - » What if ATMs were only updated at night?
  - Embedded systems (robot control: coordinate arm & hand)
- Advantage 2: Speedup
  - Overlap I/O and computation
    - » Many different file systems do read-ahead
  - Multiprocessors – chop up program into parallel pieces
- Advantage 3: Modularity
  - More important than you might think
  - Chop large problem up into simpler pieces
    - » To compile, for instance, gcc calls cpp | cc1 | cc2 | as | ld
    - » Makes system easier to extend

High-level Example: Web Server



- Server must handle many requests
- Non-cooperating version:

```
serverLoop() {
    con = AcceptCon();
    ProcessFork(ServiceWebPage(),con);
}
```

- What are some disadvantages of this technique?

Threaded Web Server

- Now, use a single process
- Multithreaded (cooperating) version:

```
serverLoop() {
      connection = AcceptCon();
      ThreadFork(ServiceWebPage(),connection);
  }
```

- Looks almost the same, but has many advantages:
  - Can share file caches kept in memory, results of CGI scripts, other things
  - Threads are *much* cheaper to create than processes, so this has a lower per-request overhead
- Question: would a user-level (say one-to-many) thread package make sense here?
  - When one request blocks on disk, all block…
- What about Denial of Service attacks or digg / Slash-dot effects?

Thread Pools

- Problem with previous version: Unbounded Threads
  - When web-site becomes too popular – throughput sinks
- Instead, allocate a bounded "pool" of worker threads, representing the maximum level of multiprogramming




```
master() {
   allocThreads(worker,queue);
   while(TRUE) {
      con=AcceptCon();
      Enqueue(queue,con);
      wakeUp(queue);
   }
}
```

```
worker(queue) {
   while(TRUE) {
      con=Dequeue(queue);
      if (con==null)
         sleepOn(queue);
      else
         ServiceWebPage(con);
   }
}
```


ATM Bank Server



- ATM server problem:
  - Service a set of requests
  - Do so without corrupting database
  - Don't hand out too much money

ATM bank server example

- Suppose we wanted to implement a server process to handle requests from an ATM network:

```
BankServer() {
   while (TRUE) {
      ReceiveRequest(&op, &acctId, &amount);
      ProcessRequest(op, acctId, amount);
   }
}
ProcessRequest(op, acctId, amount) {
   if (op == deposit) Deposit(acctId, amount);
   else if …
}
Deposit(acctId, amount) {
   acct = GetAccount(acctId); /* may use disk I/O */
   acct->balance += amount;
   StoreAccount(acct); /* Involves disk I/O */
}
```

- How could we speed this up?
  - More than one request being processed at once
  - Event driven (overlap computation and I/O)
  - Multiple threads (multi-proc, or overlap comp and I/O)

Event Driven Version of ATM server

- Suppose we only had one CPU
  - Still like to overlap I/O with computation
  - Without threads, we would have to rewrite in event-driven style
- Example

```
BankServer() {
   while(TRUE) {
      event = WaitForNextEvent();
      if (event == ATMRequest)
         StartOnRequest();
      else if (event == AcctAvail)
         ContinueRequest();
      else if (event == AcctStored)
         FinishRequest();
   }
}
```

  - What if we missed a blocking I/O step?
  - What if we have to split code into hundreds of pieces which could be blocking?
  - This technique is used for graphical programming

Can Threads Make This Easier?

- Threads yield overlapped I/O and computation without "deconstructing" code into non-blocking fragments
  - One thread per request
- Requests proceed to completion, blocking as required:

```
Deposit(acctId, amount) {
  acct = GetAccount(actId); /* May use disk I/O */
  acct->balance += amount;
  StoreAccount(acct);      /* Involves disk I/O */
}
```

- Unfortunately, shared state can get corrupted:

```
Thread 1                          Thread 2
load r1, acct->balance
                                  load r1, acct->balance
                                  add r1, amount2
                                  store r1, acct->balance
add r1, amount1
store r1, acct->balance
```


Review: Multiprocessing vs Multiprogramming

- What does it mean to run two threads "concurrently"?
  - Scheduler is free to run threads in any order and interleaving: FIFO, Random, …
  - Dispatcher can choose to run each thread to completion or time-slice in big chunks or small chunks



- Also recall: Hyperthreading
  - Possible to interleave threads on a per-instruction basis
  - Keep this in mind for our examples (like multiprocessing)

Problem is at the lowest level

- Most of the time, threads are working on separate data, so scheduling doesn't matter:

Thread A	Thread B
x = 1;	y = 2;

- However, What about (Initially, y = 12):

Thread A	Thread B
x = 1;	y = 2;
x = y+1;	y = y*2;

  - What are the possible values of x?
- Or, what are the possible values of x below?

Thread A	Thread B
x = 1;	x = 2;

  - X could be 1 or 2 (non-deterministic!)
  - Could even be 3 for serial processors:
    - » Thread A writes 0001, B writes 0010.
    - » Scheduling order ABABABBA yields 3!

Atomic Operations

- To understand a concurrent program, we need to know what the underlying indivisible operations are!
- **Atomic Operation**: an operation that always runs to completion or not at all
  - It is *indivisible:* it cannot be stopped in the middle and state cannot be modified by someone else in the middle
  - Fundamental building block – if no atomic operations, then have no way for threads to work together
- On most machines, memory references and assignments (i.e. loads and stores) of words are atomic
  - Consequently – weird example that produces "3" on previous slide can't happen
- Many instructions are not atomic
  - Double-precision floating point store often not atomic
  - VAX and IBM 360 had an instruction to copy a whole array

Correctness Requirements

- Threaded programs must work for all interleavings of thread instruction sequences
  - Cooperating threads inherently non-deterministic and non-reproducible
  - Really hard to debug unless carefully designed!
- Example: Therac-25
  - Machine for radiation therapy
    - » Software control of electron accelerator and electron beam/ Xray production
    - » Software control of dosage
  - Software errors caused the death of several patients
    - » A series of race conditions on shared variables and poor software design
    - » "They determined that data entry speed during editing was the key factor in producing the error condition: If the prescription data was edited at a fast pace, the overdose occurred."



Figure 1. Typical Therac-25 facility

Space Shuttle Example

- Original Space Shuttle launch aborted 20 minutes before scheduled launch
- Shuttle has five computers:
  - Four run the "Primary Avionics Software System" (PASS)
    - » Asynchronous and real-time
    - » Runs all of the control systems
    - » Results synchronized and compared every 3 to 4 ms
  - The Fifth computer is the "Backup Flight System" (BFS)
    - » stays synchronized in case it is needed
    - » Written by completely different team than PASS
- Countdown aborted because BFS disagreed with PASS
  - A 1/67 chance that PASS was out of sync one cycle
  - Bug due to modifications in **initialization** code of PASS
    - » A delayed init request placed into timer queue
    - » As a result, timer queue not empty at expected time to force use of hardware clock
  - Bug not found during extensive simulation



Another Concurrent Program Example

- Two threads, A and B, compete with each other
  - One tries to increment a shared counter
  - The other tries to decrement the counter

Thread A	Thread B

`i = 0;`
`while (i < 10)`
`  i = i + 1;`
`printf("A wins!");`
	`i = 0;`
	`while (i > -10)`
	`  i = i - 1;`
	`printf("B wins!");`

- Assume that memory loads and stores are atomic, but incrementing and decrementing are *not* atomic
- Who wins? Could be either
- Is it guaranteed that someone wins? Why or why not?
- What it both threads have their own CPU running at same speed? Is it guaranteed that it goes on forever?

Hand Simulation Multiprocessor Example

Motivation: "Too much milk"

- Great thing about OS's – analogy between problems in OS and problems in real life
  - Help you understand real life problems better
  - But, computers are much stupider than people
- Example: People need to coordinate:

Time	Person A	Person B
3:00	Look in Fridge. Out of milk	
3:05	Leave for store	
3:10	Arrive at store	Look in Fridge. Out of milk
3:15	Buy milk	Leave for store
3:20	Arrive home, put milk away	Arrive at store
3:25		Buy milk
3:30		Arrive home, put milk away

Definitions

- **Synchronization**: using atomic operations to ensure cooperation between threads
  - For now, only loads and stores are atomic
  - We are going to show that its hard to build anything useful with only reads and writes
- **Mutual Exclusion**: ensuring that only one thread does a particular thing at a time
  - One thread *excludes* the other while doing its task
- **Critical Section**: piece of code that only one thread can execute at once. Only one thread at a time will get into this section of code.
  - Critical section is the result of mutual exclusion
  - Critical section and mutual exclusion are two ways of describing the same thing.

More Definitions

- **Lock**: prevents someone from doing something
  - Lock before entering critical section and before accessing shared data
  - Unlock when leaving, after accessing shared data
  - Wait if locked
    - » Important idea: all synchronization involves waiting
- For example: fix the milk problem by putting a key on the refrigerator
  - Lock it and take key if you are going to go buy milk
  - Fixes too much: roommate angry if only wants OJ



  - Of Course – We don't know how to make a lock yet

Too Much Milk: Correctness Properties

- Need to be careful about correctness of concurrent programs, since non-deterministic
  - Always write down behavior first
  - Impulse is to start coding first, then when it doesn't work, pull hair out
  - Instead, think first, then code
- What are the correctness properties for the "Too much milk" problem???
  - Never more than one person buys
  - Someone buys if needed
- Restrict ourselves to use only atomic load and store operations as building blocks

Too Much Milk: Solution #1

- Use a note to avoid buying too much milk:
  - Leave a note before buying (kind of "lock")
  - Remove note after buying (kind of "unlock")
  - Don't buy if note (wait)
- Suppose a computer tries this (remember, only memory read/write are atomic):

```
if (noMilk) {
   if (noNote) {
      leave Note;
      buy milk;
      remove note;
   }
}
```



- Result?
  - Still too much milk but only occasionally!
  - Thread can get context switched after checking milk and note but before buying milk!
- Solution makes problem worse since fails intermittently
  - Makes it really hard to debug…
  - Must work despite what the dispatcher does!

Too Much Milk: Solution #1½

- Clearly the Note is not quite blocking enough
  - Let's try to fix this by placing note first
- Another try at previous solution:

```
leave Note;
if (noMilk) {
   if (noNote) {
      leave Note;
      buy milk;
   }
}
remove note;
```

- What happens here?
  - Well, with human, probably nothing bad
  - With computer: no one ever buys milk

Too Much Milk Solution #2

- How about labeled notes?
  - Now we can leave note before checking
- Algorithm looks like this:

Thread A

```
leave note A;
if (noNote B) {
   if (noMilk) {
      buy Milk;
   }
}
remove note A;
```

Thread B

```
leave note B;
if (noNoteA) {
   if (noMilk) {
      buy Milk;
   }
}
remove note B;
```

- Does this work?
- Possible for neither thread to buy milk
  - Context switches at exactly the wrong times can lead each to think that the other is going to buy
- Really insidious:
  - Extremely unlikely that this would happen, but will at worse possible time
  - Probably something like this in UNIX

Too Much Milk Solution #2: problem!



- *I'm* not getting milk, *You're* getting milk
- This kind of lockup is called "starvation!"

Too Much Milk Solution #3

- Here is a possible two-note solution:

Thread A
```
leave note A;
while (note B) { //X
   do nothing;
}
if (noMilk) {
   buy milk;
}
remove note A;
```

Thread B
```
leave note B;
if (noNote A) { //Y
   if (noMilk) {
      buy milk;
   }
}
remove note B;
```

- Does this work? Yes. Both can guarantee that:
  - It is safe to buy, or
  - Other will buy, ok to quit
- At X:
  - if no note B, safe for A to buy,
  - otherwise wait to find out what will happen
- At Y:
  - if no note A, safe for B to buy
  - Otherwise, A is either buying or waiting for B to quit

Solution #3 discussion

- Our solution protects a single "Critical-Section" piece of code for each thread:

```
if (noMilk) {
   buy milk;
}
```

- Solution #3 works, but it's really unsatisfactory
  - Really complex – even for this simple an example
    - » Hard to convince yourself that this really works
  - A's code is different from B's – what if lots of threads?
    - » Code would have to be slightly different for each thread
  - While A is waiting, it is consuming CPU time
    - » This is called "busy-waiting"
- There's a better way
  - Have hardware provide better (higher-level) primitives than atomic load and store
  - Build even higher-level programming abstractions on this new hardware support

Too Much Milk: Solution #4

- Suppose we have some sort of implementation of a lock (more in a moment).
  - `Lock.Acquire()` – wait until lock is free, then grab
  - `Lock.Release()` – Unlock, waking up anyone waiting
  - These must be atomic operations – if two threads are waiting for the lock and both see it's free, only one succeeds to grab the lock
- Then, our milk problem is easy:

```
milklock.Acquire();
if (nomilk)
   buy milk;
milklock.Release();
```

- Once again, section of code between `Acquire()` and `Release()` called a "**Critical Section**"
- Of course, you can make this even simpler: suppose you are out of ice cream instead of milk
  - Skip the test since you always need more ice cream.

Where are we going with synchronization?

Programs	Shared Programs
Higher-level API	Locks Semaphores Monitors Send/Receive
Hardware	Load/Store Disable Ints Test&Set Comp&Swap

- We are going to implement various higher-level synchronization primitives using atomic operations
  - Everything is pretty painful if only atomic primitives are load and store
  - Need to provide primitives useful at user-level

Summary (1 of 2)

- Processes have two parts
  - Threads (Concurrency)
  - Address Spaces (Protection)
- Concurrency accomplished by multiplexing CPU Time:
  - Unloading current thread (PC, registers)
  - Loading new thread (PC, registers)
  - Such context switching may be voluntary (`yield()`, I/O operations) or involuntary (timer, other interrupts)
- Protection accomplished restricting access:
  - Memory mapping isolates processes from each other
  - Dual-mode for isolating I/O, other resources
- Various Textbooks talk about *processes*
  - When this concerns concurrency, really talking about thread portion of a process
  - When this concerns protection, talking about address space portion of a process

Summary (2 or 2)

- Concurrent threads are a very useful abstraction
  - Allow transparent overlapping of computation and I/O
  - Allow use of parallel processing when available
- Concurrent threads introduce problems when accessing shared data
  - Programs must be insensitive to arbitrary interleavings
  - Without careful design, shared variables can become completely inconsistent
- Important concept: Atomic Operations
  - An operation that runs to completion or not at all
  - These are the primitives on which to construct various synchronization primitives
- Showed how to protect a critical section with only atomic load and store $\Rightarrow$ pretty complex!