

# 1 Unitarity of a Fourier Transform

The Fourier transform mod  $N$  is the  $N \times N$  matrix given by

$$FT_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \dots & \omega^{N-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \dots & \omega^{2(N-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{N-1} & \omega^{2(N-1)} & \dots & \omega^{(N-1)^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/N}$  is a primitive  $N$ th root of unity. That is, the  $i, j$ 'th element of  $FT_N$  is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\omega^{ij}$ , for  $i, j = 0, \dots, N-1$ .

Equivalently, in ket notation, for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ ,  $FT_N|j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \omega^{ij}|i\rangle$ .

We need to check the inner product between the  $i$ th and  $j$ th columns of  $FT_N$ , that  $\langle i|FT_N^\dagger FT_N|j\rangle = \delta_{ij} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$ . Indeed, this inner product is

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \overline{\omega^{ik}} \omega^{jk} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^{k(j-i)} \quad (2)$$

This is a geometric series with ratio between terms  $\omega^{j-i}$  and so can easily be evaluated. If  $i = j \pmod N$ , then each term is  $\omega^0 = 1$ , so the inner product is  $N/N = 1$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then the sum is

$$1 + \omega^{j-i} + \omega^{2(j-i)} + \dots + \omega^{(N-2)(j-i)} + \omega^{(N-1)(j-i)}. \quad (3)$$

Multiplying the sum by  $\omega^{i-j} \neq 1$  gives

$$\omega^{j-i} + \omega^{2(j-i)} + \omega^{3(j-i)} + \dots + \omega^{(N-1)(j-i)} + \omega^{N(j-i)}. \quad (4)$$

But  $\omega^{N(j-i)} = (\omega^N)^{j-i} = 1$ , so we have just rearranged the terms of the summation; the sum itself doesn't change when multiplied by  $\omega^{j-i}$ . Therefore the sum is zero, as claimed.

# 2 Fourier Transforms and the uncertainty principle

a) Prove that for any quantum state  $|\psi\rangle$  on  $n$  qubits,  $S(|\psi\rangle) \leq 2^{n/2}$ .

**Answer:** We need to show that if  $\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |\alpha_x|^2 = 1$ , then  $\sum_x |\alpha_x| \leq 2^{n/2}$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\langle v|w \rangle \leq \|v\| \cdot \|w\|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x |\alpha_x| &= \sum_x (|\alpha_x| \cdot 1) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_x |\alpha_x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_x 1^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= 1 \cdot 2^{n/2} = 2^{n/2} , \end{aligned}$$

with equality iff  $|\alpha_x| = 1/2^{n/2}$  for all  $x$ .

(b) **Answer:** Using the normalization condition,  $1 = \sum_x |\alpha_x|^2 \leq \sum_x a |\alpha_x| = aS(|\psi\rangle)$ . (Notice that this inequality is an equality iff all  $\alpha_x$  are zero or exactly  $a$  – that is, to minimize the spread, concentrate the probability mass as much as possible while still satisfying the constraint  $|\alpha_x| \leq a$ .)

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\otimes n}|x\rangle &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle + |1\rangle & \text{if } x_i = 0 \\ |0\rangle - |1\rangle & \text{if } x_i = 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_z \begin{pmatrix} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n |z_i\rangle & \text{if } x_i = 0 \text{ or } z_i = 0 \\ -\bigotimes_{i=1}^n |z_i\rangle & \text{if } x_i = 1 \text{ and } z_i = 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_z \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{x_i z_i} \right) |z\rangle \\ &= \sum_z (-1)^{x \cdot z} |z\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(d) Use (c) to prove that for all  $y$ ,  $|\beta_y| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} S(|\psi\rangle)$ .

**Answer:** Using the triangle inequality  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_y| &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \left| \sum_y (-1)^{x \cdot y} \alpha_y \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_y |(-1)^{x \cdot y} \alpha_y| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_y |\alpha_y| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} S(|\psi\rangle) . \end{aligned}$$

(e) Prove the uncertainty relation  $S(|\psi\rangle)S(H^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle) \geq 2^{n/2}$ . Justify why it makes sense to call this an uncertainty relation.

**Answer:** By part d,  $|\beta_y| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} S(|\psi\rangle)$  for all  $y$ . So by part b,  $S(H^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle) \geq 2^{n/2}/S(|\psi\rangle)$ , which is the desired inequality.

This is an uncertainty relation because it gives a tradeoff between the spread in one basis and the spread in another. For example, if a state is well-concentrated in the standard basis, then it has high spread – and therefore high uncertainty – in the Fourier basis.