CS 61A/CS 98-52

Mehrdad Niknami

University of California, Berkeley

Preliminaries

Sum:

Today, we're going to learn how to add & multiply. **Exciting!**

Let's add two positive *n*-bit integers (n = 8 here):

Carry: 1 111111 Augend: 10110111 Addend: + 10011101

101010100

This is called *ripple-carry addition*. Some questions:

- How big can the sum be (at most)? What is the worst case?
- 4 How long does summation take in the worst case? Why?

...we'll come back to this!

History

First computer design (difference engine) in **1822** (!!) and later, the analytical engine, by Charles Babbage (1791-1871)

First description of "MIMD" parallelism in **1842** (!!!) in *Sketch of The Analytical Engine Invented by Charles Babbage*, by Luigi F. Menabrea

First theory of computation by Alan Turing in 1936

First electronic analog computer created in 1942 for bombing in WWII

First electronic digital computer created in 1943

⇒ Electronic Numerical Integrator and Computer (ENIAC)

First description of parallel programs in 1958 (Stanley Gill)

First multiprocessor system (Multics) in 1969

Lots of parallel computing research starting in 1970s... then faded away

Multi-core systems reinvigorated parallel computing around 2001

History

Long story short...

- Parallel computing goes back longer than you think
- Lots of useful research from the 1900s finding life again since processors stopped getting faster

Terminology

Some basic terminology:

- Process: A running program
 Processes cannot access each others' memory by default
- Thread: A unit of program flow
 (N threads = n independent executions of code)
 Threads maintain their own execution contexts in a given process
- Thread context: All the information a thread needs to run code
 This includes the location of the code that it is currently being executing, as well as its current stack frame (local variables, etc.)
- **Concurrency**: Overlapping operations (X begins before Y ends)
- Parallelism: Simultaneously-occurring operations (multiple operations happening at the same time)

Terminology

Parallel operations are always concurrent by definition

Concurrent operations need not be in parallel (open door, open window, close door, close window)

Parallelism gives you a speed boost (multiple operations at the same time), but requires N processors for $N \times$ speedup

Concurrency allows you to avoid stopping one thing before starting another, and can occur on a single processor

Concepts

Distributed computation (running on multiple machines) is more difficult:

- Needs fault-tolerance (more machines = higher failure probability)
- Lack of shared memory
- More limited communication bandwidth (network slower than RAM)
- Time becomes problematic to handle

Rich literature, e.g. actor-based *models of computation* (MoC) such as discrete-event, synchronous-reactive, synchronous dataflow, etc. for analyzing/designing systems with guaranteed performance or reliability

Threading

Threading example:

```
import threading
t = threading.Thread(target=print, args=('a',))
t.start()
print('b') # may print 'b' before or after 'a'
t.join() # wait for t to finish
```

Threading

Race condition: When a thread attempts to access something being modified by another thread. Race conditions are generally bad.

Example:

```
import threading
lst = [0]
def f():
    lst[0] += 1  # write 1 might occur after read 2
t = threading.Thread(target=f)
t.start()
f()
t.join()
assert lst[0] in [1, 2]  # could be any of these!
```

Concurrency Control

Mutex (Lock in Python): Object that can prevent concurrent access (*mutual-exclusion*). Example:

```
import threading
lock = threading.Lock()
lst = [0]
def f():
    lock.acquire() # waits for mutex to be available
    lst[0] += 1 # only one thread may run this code
    lock.release() # makes mutex available to others
t = threading.Thread(target=f)
t.start()
f()
t.join()
assert lst[0] in [2] # will always succeed
```

Concurrency Control

Sadly, in CPython, multithreaded operations **cannot** occur in parallel, because there is a "global interpreter lock" (GIL). Therefore, Python code cannot be sped up in CPython.¹

To obtain parallelism in CPython, you can use *multiprocessing*: running another copy of the program and communicating with it.

Jython, IronPython, etc. can run Python in parallel, and most other languages support parallelism as well.

Inter-Thread and Inter-Process Communication (IPC)

Threads/processes need to communicate. Common techniques:

- Shared memory: mutating shared objects (if all on 1 machine)
 - Pros: Reduces copying of data (faster/less memory)
 - Cons: Must block execution until lock is acquired (slow)
- Message-passing: sending data through thread-safe queues
 - Pros: Queue can buffer & work asynchronously (faster)
 - Cons: Increases need to copy data (slower/more memory)
- Pipes: synchronous version of message-passing ("rendezvous")

Inter-Thread and Inter-Process Communication (IPC)

```
Message-passing example for parallelizing f(x) = x^2:
from multiprocessing import Process, Queue
def f(q_in, q_out):
   while True:
       x = q in.get()
        if x is None: break
       q out.put(x ** 2) # real work
if __name__ == '__main__': # only on main thread
   qs = (Queue(), Queue())
   procs = [Process(target=f, args=qs) for in range(4)]
   for proc in procs: proc.start()
   for i in range(10): qs[0].put(i)
                                           # send inputs
   for i in range(10): print(qs[1].get()) # receive outputs
   for proc in procs: qs[0].put(None) # notify finished
   for proc in procs: proc.join()
```

◆ロト ◆団 ト ◆ 重 ト ◆ 重 ・ 夕 Q (*)

Common parallelism technique: divide-and-conquer

- Divide problem into separate subproblems
- Solve subproblems in parallel
- Merge sub-results into main result

XOR (and AND, and OR) are easy to parallelize:

- Split each n-bit number into p pieces
- ② XOR each n/p-bit pair of numbers independently
- Out back the bits together

Can we do something similar with addition?

Let's go back to addition.

We have two *n*-bit numbers to add.

What if we take the same approach for + as for XOR?

- Split each n-bit number into p pieces
- ② Add each n/p-bit pair of numbers independently
- Out back the bits together
- 4 ...
- Profit? No? What's wrong?

We need to propagate carries! How long does it take? $\Theta(n)$ time (How) can we do better?

Key idea #1: A carry can be either 0 or 1... and we add different pieces in parallel... and then select the correct one based on carry! \Rightarrow This is called a carry-select adder.

Key idea #2: We can do this recursively.

⇒ This is called a conditional-sum adder.

How fast is a conditional-sum adder?

- Running time is proportional to maximum propagation depth
- We solve two problems of half the size simultaneously
- We combine solutions with constant extra work
- Therefore, parallel running time is $\Theta(\log n)$

However, we do **more work**: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + c = \Theta(n \log n)$

Other algorithms also exist with different trade-offs:

- Carry-skip adder
- Carry-lookahead adder (CLA)
- Kogge–Stone adder ("parallel-prefix" CLA; widely used)
- Brent-Kung adder
- Han-Carlson adder
- Lynch–Swartzlander spanning tree adder (fastest?)
- ...I don't know them. But $\Theta(\log n)$ is already asymptotically optimal. :-)

Some algorithms are better suited for hardware due to lower "fan-out": e.g. 1 bit is too "weak" to drive 16 bits all by itself.

Multiplication

```
How do we multiply?
```

```
Multiplicand: 10110111
Multiplier: * 10011101
------
```

10110111 + 00000000 + 10110111

+ 10110111

+ 10110111

+ 00000000

+ 00000000

+ 10110111

Product: 111000000111011

Multiplication

For two *n*-bit numbers, how long does it take in parallel?

- Multiplication by 1 is a copy, taking $\Theta(1)$ depth
- There are *n* additions
- Divide-and-conquer therefore takes $\Theta(\log n)$ additions
- Each addition takes $\Theta(\log n)$ depth
- Total depth is therefore $\Theta((\log n)^2)$

...can we do better? :-) How?

Multiplication

Carry-save addition: reduce every a + b + c into r + s in parallel:

- Compute all carry bits r independently \Rightarrow This is just OR, so $\Theta(1)$ depth
- Compute all sums-excluding-carries s independently
 ⇒ This is just XOR, so Θ(1) depth
- Recurse on new $r_1 + s_1 + r_2 + s_2 + \dots$ until final r + s is obtained. \Rightarrow This takes $\Theta(\log n)$ levels of recursion
- Compute final sum in additional $\Theta(\log n)$ depth

Total depth is therefore $\Theta(\log n)!^2$

Parallel Prefix

There isn't too much special about addition from basic arithmetic.

Often the same tricks apply to any binary operator \bigoplus that is associative!

Parallel addition can be generalized this way, called "parallel prefix":

- Say we want to compute cumulative sum of 1, 2, 3, ...
- First, group into binary tree: (((1 2) (3 4)) ((5 6) (7 8))) ...
- Then, evaluate sums for all nodes recursively toward root
- Finally, propagate sums back down from root to right-hand children

This is a very flexible operation, useful as a basic parallel building block. (More notes can be found on MIT's website.)

A common pattern for parallel data processing is:

```
from functools import reduce

outputs = map(lambda x: ..., inputs)
result = reduce(lambda r, x: ..., outputs, initial)
```

- map you have already seen: it transforms elements
- ullet reduce is anything like +, imes to summarize elements
- Transformations assumed to ignore order (to allow parallelism)

Google recognized this and built a fast framework called MapReduce for automatically parallelizing & distributing such code across a cluster

- MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters by Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat (2004)
- System and method for efficient large-scale data processing U.S. Patent 7,650,331

Fault-tolerance is handled automatically (why is this possible?)

Apache Hadoop later developed as an open-source implementation

"MapReduce" became a general *programming model* for distributed data processing

Spark (Matei Zaharia, UCB AMPLab, now at Databricks) developed as a faster implementation that processes data in RAM

Parallel map is easy in Python!

```
>>> import math
>>> from multiprocessing.pool import Pool
>>> pool = Pool()
>>> pool.map(math.sqrt, [1, 2, 3, 4])
[1.0, 1.4142135623730951, 1.7320508075688772, 2.0]
```

This a higher-level threading construct that makes your life simpler.

Not everything fits into a MapReduce model

- Inputs may be generated on the fly
- Mappers might depend on many inputs
- Mappers may need lots of communication
- Computation may not be nicely "layered" at all
- ...

Parallel & distributed computation still an open research problem.