## CS61B Lecture \#16: Complexity

## What Are the Questions?

- Cost is a principal concern throughout engineering:
"An engineer is someone who can do for a dime what any fool can do for a dollar."
- Cost can mean
- Operational cost (for programs, time to run, space requirements).
- Development costs: How much engineering time? When delivered?
- Maintenance costs: Upgrades, bug fixes.
- Costs of failure: How robust? How safe?
- Is this program fast enough? Depends on:
- For what purpose;
- For what input data.
- How much space (memory, disk space)?
- Again depends on what input data.
- How will it scale, as input gets big?


## Enlightening Example

Problem: Scan a text corpus (say $10^{9}$ bytes or so), and find and print the 20 most frequently used words, together with counts of how often they occur.

- Solution 1 (Knuth): Heavy-Duty data structures
- Hash Trie implementation, randomized placement, pointers galore, several pages long.
- Solution 2 (Doug McIlroy): UNIX shell script:

```
tr -c -s '[:alpha:]' '[\n*]' < FILE | \
sort | \
uniq -c | \
sort -n -r -k 1,1 | \
sed 20q
```

- Which is better?
- \#1 is much faster,
- but \#2 took 5 minutes to write and processes $1 G B$ in $\approx 256 \mathrm{sec}$.
- I pick \#2.
- In very many cases, almost anything will do: Keep It Simple.


## Cost Measures (Time)

- Wall-clock or execution time
- You can do this at home:
time java FindPrimes 1000
- Advantages: easy to measure, meaning is obvious.
- Appropriate where time is critical (real-time systems, e.g.).
- Disadvantages: applies only to specific data set, compiler, machine, etc.
- Dynamic statement counts of \# of times statements are executed:
- Advantages: more general (not sensitive to speed of machine).
- Disadvantages: doesn't tell you actual time, still applies only to specific data sets.
- Symbolic execution times:
- That is, formulas for execution times as functions of input size.
- Advantages: applies to all inputs, makes scaling clear.
- Disadvantage: practical formula must be approximate, may tell very little about actual time.


## Asymptotic Cost

- Symbolic execution time lets us see shape of the cost function.
- Since we are approximating anyway, pointless to be precise about certain things:
- Behavior on small inputs:
* Can always pre-calculate some results.
* Times for small inputs not usually important.
* Often more interested in asymptotic behavior as input size becomes very large.
- Constant factors (as in "off by factor of 2"):
* Just changing machines causes constant-factor change.
- How to abstract away from (i.e., ignore) these things?


## Handy Tool: Order Notation

- Idea: Don't try to produce specific functions that specify size, but rather families of functions with similarly behaved magnitudes.
- Then say something like " $f$ is bounded by $g$ if it is in $g$ 's family."
- For any function $g(x)$, the functions $2 g(x), 0.5 g(x)$, or for any $K>0$, $K \cdot g(x)$, all have the same "shape". So put all of them into $g$ 's family.
- Any function $h(x)$ such that $h(x)=K \cdot g(x)$ for $x>M$ (for some constant $M$ ) has $g$ 's shape "except for small values." So put all of these in $g^{\prime}$ s family.
- For upper limits, throw in all functions whose absolute value is everywhere $\leq$ some member of g's family. Call this set $O(g)$ or $O(g(n))$.
- Or, for lower limits, throw in all functions whose absolute value is everywhere $\geq$ some member of $g$ 's family. Call this set $\Omega(g)$.
- Finally, define $\Theta(g)=O(g) \cap \Omega(g)$-the set of functions bracketed in magnitude by two members of $g$ 's family.


## Big Oh

- Goal: Specify bounding from above.

- Here, $f(x) \leq 2 g(x)$ as long as $x>1$,
- So $f(x)$ is in $g^{\prime}$ s "bounded-above family," written

$$
f(x) \in O(g(x)),
$$

- ... even though (in this case) $f(x)>g(x)$ everywhere.


## Big Omega

- Goal: Specify bounding from below:

- Here, $f^{\prime}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} g(x)$ as long as $x>1$,
- So $f^{\prime}(x)$ is in $g^{\prime} s$ "bounded-below family," written

$$
f^{\prime}(x) \in \Omega(g(x)),
$$

- ... even though $f(x)<g(x)$ everywhere.


## Big Theta

- In the two previous slides, we not only have $f(x) \in O(g(x))$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \in \Omega(g(x)), \ldots$
- ... but also $f(x) \in \Omega(g(x))$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \in O(g(x))$.
- We can summarize this all by saying $f(x) \in \Theta(g(x))$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \in$ $\Theta(g(x))$.


## Aside: Various Mathematical Pedantry

- Technically, if I am going to talk about $O(\cdot), \Omega(\cdot)$ and $\Theta(\cdot)$ as sets of functions, I really should write, for example,

$$
f \in O(g) \quad \text { instead of } \quad f(x) \in O(g(x))
$$

- In effect, $f(x) \in O(g(x))$ is short for $\lambda x . f(x) \in O(\lambda x . g(x))$.
- The standard notation outside this course, in fact, is $f(x)=O(g(x))$, but personally, I think that's a serious abuse of notation.


## How We Use Order Notation

- Elsewhere in mathematics, you'll see $O(\ldots)$, etc., used generally to specify bounds on functions.
- For example,

$$
\pi(N)=\Theta\left(\frac{N}{\ln N}\right)
$$

which I would prefer to write

$$
\pi(N) \in \Theta\left(\frac{N}{\ln N}\right)
$$

(Here, $\pi(N)$ is the number of primes less than or equal to $N$.)

- Also, you'll see things like

$$
f(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+O(x) \quad\left(\text { or } f(x) \in x^{3}+x^{2}+O(x)\right)
$$

meaning that $f(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+g(x)$ where $g(x) \in O(x)$.

- For our purposes, the functions we will be bounding will be cost functions: functions that measure the amount of execution time or the amount of space required by a program or algorithm.


## Why It Matters

- Computer scientists often talk as if constant factors didn't matter at all, only the difference of $\Theta(N)$ vs. $\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)$.
- In reality they do matter, but at some point, constants always get swamped.

| $n$ | $16 \lg n$ | $\sqrt{n}$ | $n$ | $n \lg n$ | $n^{2}$ | $n^{3}$ | $2^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 16 | 1.4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| 4 | 32 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 64 | 16 |
| 8 | 48 | 2.8 | 8 | 24 | 64 | 512 | 256 |
| 16 | 64 | 4 | 16 | 64 | 256 | 4,096 | 65,636 |
| 32 | 80 | 5.7 | 32 | 160 | 1024 | 32,768 | $4.2 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 64 | 96 | 8 | 64 | 384 | 4,096 | 262,144 | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ |
| 128 | 112 | 11 | 128 | 896 | 16,384 | $2.1 \times 10^{9}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{38}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 1,024 | 160 | 32 | 1,024 | 10,240 | $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{9}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{308}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $2^{20}$ | 320 | 1024 | $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{12}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{315,652}$ |

- For example: replace column $n^{2}$ with $10^{6} \cdot n^{2}$ and it still becomes dominated by $2^{n}$.


## Some Intuition on Meaning of Growth

- How big a problem can you solve in a given time?
- In the following table, left column shows time in microseconds to solve a given problem as a function of problem size $N$.
- Entries show the size of problem that can be solved in a second, hour, month (31 days), and century, for various relationships between time required and problem size.
- $N=$ problem size.

| Time ( $\mu$ sec) for <br> problem size $N$ | 1 second | Max $N$ Possible in |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lg N$ | $10^{300000}$ | $10^{1000000000}$ | 1 month | 1 century |
| $N$ | $10^{6}$ | $3.6 \cdot 10^{9}$ | $2.7 \cdot 10^{11}$ | $10^{10^{14}}$ |
| $N \lg N$ | 63000 | $1.3 \cdot 10^{8}$ | $7.4 \cdot 10^{10}$ | $3.2 \cdot 10^{15}$ |
| $N^{2}$ | 1000 | 60000 | $1.6 \cdot 10^{6}$ | $5.6 \cdot 10^{13}$ |
| $N^{3}$ | 100 | 1500 | 14000 | 150000 |
| $2^{N}$ | 20 | 32 | 41 | 51 |

## Using the Notation

- Can use this order notation for any kind of real-valued function.
- We will use them to describe cost functions. Example:

```
/** Find position of X in list L, or -1 if not found. */
int find(List L, Object X) {
    int c;
    for (c = 0; L != null; L = L.next, c += 1)
        if (X.equals(L.head)) return c;
    return -1;
}
```

- Choose representative operation: number of .equals tests.
- If $N$ is length of $L$, then loop does at most $N$ tests: worst-case time is $N$ tests.
- In fact, total \# of instructions executed is roughly proportional to $N$ in the worst case, so can also say worst-case time is $O(N)$, regardless of units used to measure.
- Use $N>M$ provision (in defn. of $O(\cdot)$ ) to ignore empty list.


## Be Careful

- It's also true that the worst-case time is $O\left(N^{2}\right)$, since $N \in O\left(N^{2}\right)$ also: Big-Oh bounds are loose.
- The worst-case time is $\Omega(N)$, since $N \in \Omega(N)$, but that does not mean that the loop always takes time $N$, or even $K \cdot N$ for some $K$.
- Instead, we are just saying something about the function that maps $N$ into the largest possible time required to process any array of length $N$.
- To say as much as possible about our worst-case time, we should try to give a $\Theta$ bound: in this case, we can: $\Theta(N)$.
- But again, that still tells us nothing about best-case time, which happens when we find X at the beginning of the loop. Best-case time is $\Theta(1)$.


## Effect of Nested Loops

- Nested loops often lead to polynomial bounds:

```
for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i += 1)
    for (int j = 0; j < A.length; j += 1)
        if (i != j && A[i] == A[j])
            return true;
```

return false;

- Clearly, time is $O\left(N^{2}\right)$, where $N=$ A.length. Worst-case time is $\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)$.
- Loop is inefficient though:

```
for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i += 1)
    for (int j = i+1; j < A.length; j += 1)
        if (A[i] == A[j]) return true;
return false;
```

- Now worst-case time is proportional to

$$
N-1+N-2+\ldots+1=N(N-1) / 2 \in \Theta\left(N^{2}\right)
$$

(so asymptotic time unchanged by the constant-factor speed-up).

## Recursion and Recurrences: Fast Growth

- Silly example of recursion. In the worst case, both recursive calls happen:

```
/** True iff X is a substring of S */
boolean occurs(String S, String X) {
    if (S.equals(X)) return true;
    if (S.length() <= X.length()) return false;
    return
        occurs(S.substring(1), X) ||
        occurs(S.substring(0, S.length()-1), X);
}
```

- Define $C(N)$ to be the worst-case cost of occurs(S,X) for S of length $N, \mathrm{X}$ of fixed size $N_{0}$, measured in \# of calls to occurs. Then

$$
C(N)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1, & \text { if } N \leq N_{0}, \\
2 C(N-1)+1 & \text { if } N>N_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- So $C(N)$ grows exponentially:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(N) & =2 C(N-1)+1=2(2 C(N-2)+1)+1=\ldots=\underbrace{2(\cdots 2}_{N-N_{0}} \cdot 1+1)+\ldots+1 \\
& =2^{N-N_{0}}+2^{N-N_{0}-1}+2^{N-N_{0}-2}+\ldots+1=2^{N-N_{0}+1}-1 \in \Theta\left(2^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Binary Search: Slow Growth

```
/** True X iff is an element of S[L .. U]. Assumes
    * S in ascending order, 0 <= L <= U-1 < S.length. */
boolean isIn(String X, String[] S, int L, int U) {
    if (L > U) return false;
    int M = (L+U)/2;
    int direct = X.compareTo(S [M]);
    if (direct < 0) return isIn(X, S, L, M-1);
    else if (direct > 0) return isIn(X, S, M+1, U);
    else return true;
}
```

- Here, worst-case time, $C(D)$, (as measured by \# of calls to .compareTo), depends on size $D=U-L+1$.
- We eliminate $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{M}]$ from consideration each time and look at half the rest. Assume $D=2^{k}-1$ for simplicity, so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(D) & = \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } D \leq 0 \\
1+C((D-1) / 2), & \text { if } D>0\end{cases} \\
& =\underbrace{1+1+\ldots+1}_{k}+0 \\
& =k=\lg (D+1) \in \Theta(\lg D)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Another Typical Pattern: Merge Sort

```
List sort(List L) {
    if (L.length() < 2) return L;
    Split L into L0 and L1 of about equal size;
    LO = sort(LO); L1 = sort(L1);
    return Merge of L0 and L1
}
```

Merge ("combine into a single ordered list") takes time proportional to size of its result.

- Assuming that size of L is $N=2^{k}$, worst-case cost function, $C(N)$, counting just merge time (which is proportional to \# items merged):

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(N) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & \text { if } N<2 ; \\
2 C(N / 2)+N, & \text { if } N \geq 2 .
\end{array}\right. \\
& =2(2 C(N / 4)+N / 2)+N \\
& =4 C(N / 4)+N+N \\
& =8 C(N / 8)+N+N+N \\
& =N \cdot 0+\underbrace{N+N+\ldots+N}_{k=\lg N} \\
& =N \lg N
\end{aligned}
$$

- In general, can say it's $\Theta(N \lg N)$ for arbitrary $N$ (not just $2^{k}$ ).

