Computer Science 161 Fall 2017
Weaver

More Malcode & Responses___



- Taylor Swift

Large-Scale Malware

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Meaus


- Worm = code that self-propagates/replicates across systems by arranging to have itself immediately executed
 - Generally infects by altering running code
 - No user intervention required
- Propagation includes notions of targeting & exploit
 - How does the worm find new prospective victims?
 - How does worm get code to automatically run?
- Botnet = set of compromised machines ("bots") under a common command-and-control (C&C)
 - Attacker might use a worm to get the bots, or other techniques; orthogonal to bot's use in botnet

Rapid Propagation

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Worms can potentially spread quickly because they **parallelize** the process of propagating/replicating.

Same holds for viruses, but they often spread more slowly since require some sort of user action to trigger each propagation.



Worms

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

 Worm = code that self-propagates/replicates across systems by arranging to have itself immediately executed

- Generally infects by altering running code
- No user intervention required
- Propagation includes notions of targeting & exploit
 - How does the worm find new prospective victims?
 - One common approach: random scanning of 32-bit IP address space
 - Generate pseudo-random 32-bit number; try connecting to it; if successful, try infecting it; repeat
 - But for example "search worms" use Google results to find victims
 - How does worm get code to automatically run?
 - One common approach: buffer overflow ⇒ code injection
 - But for example a web worm might propagate using XSS

The Arrival of Internet Worms

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Worms date to Nov 2, 1988 - the Morris Worm

- Way ahead of its time
- Employed whole suite of tricks to infect systems ...
 - Multiple buffer overflows
 - Guessable passwords
 - "Debug" configuration option that provided shell access
 - Common user accounts across multiple machines
- ... and of tricks to find victims
 - Scan local subnet
 - Machines listed in system's network config
 - Look through user files for mention of remote hosts



Arrival of Internet Worms, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Meaus

- Modern Era began Jul 13, 2001 with release of initial version of Code Red
- Exploited known buffer overflow in Microsoft IIS Web servers
 - On by default in many systems
 - Vulnerability & fix announced previous month
- Payload part 1: web site defacement
 - HELLO! Welcome to http://www.worm.com! Hacked By Chinese!
 - Only done if language setting = English



Code Red of Jul 13 2001, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Weave

- Payload part 2: check day-of-the-month and ...
 - ... 1st through 20th of each month: spread
 - ... 20th through end of each month: attack
 - Flooding attack against 198.137.240.91 ...
 - ... i.e., www.whitehouse.gov
- Spread: via random scanning of 32-bit IP address space
 - Generate pseudo-random 32-bit number; try connecting to it; if successful, try infecting it; repeat
 - Very common (but not fundamental) worm technique
- Each instance used same random number seed
 - How well does the worm spread?

Linear growth rate


Code Red, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 2013

207

- Revision released July 19, 2001.
- White House responds to threat of flooding attack by changing the address of www.whitehouse.gov
- Causes Code Red to die for date ≥ 20th of the month due to failure of TCP connection to establish.
 - Author didn't carefully test their code buggy!
- But: this time random number generator correctly seeded. Bingo!

Growth of Code Red Worm



Nick's Reaction to Code Red

Computer Science 161 Fall 2017

Modeling Worm Spread

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Weave

- Worm-spread often well described as infectious epidemic
 - Classic SI model: homogeneous random contacts
 - SI = Susceptible-Infectible
- Model parameters:
 - N: population size
 - S(t): susceptible hosts at time t.
 - I(t): infected hosts at time t.
 - β: contact rate
 - How many population members each infected host communicates with per unit time
 - E.g., if each infected host scans 250 Internet addresses per unit time, and 2% of Internet addresses run a vulnerable (maybe already infected) server ⇒ β = 5

N = S(t) + I(t)

S(0) = I(0) = N/2


- For scanning worms, larger (= denser) vulnerable pop. \Rightarrow higher $\beta \Rightarrow$ faster worm!
- Normalized versions reflecting relative proportion of infected/susceptible hosts
 - s(t) = S(t)/N i(t) = I(t)/N s(t) + i(t) = 1

Computing How An Epidemic Progresses

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Weeve

In continuous time:



Rewriting by using i(t) = I(t)/N, S = N - I:


$$\frac{di}{dt} = \beta i(1-i) \implies (i(t) = \frac{e^{\beta t}}{1+e^{\beta t}})$$

Fraction

infected grows


as a logistic

Fitting the Model to "Code Red"




Life Just Before Slammer

Computer Science 161 Fall 2017



Life 10 Minutes After Slammer

Computer Science 161 Fall 2017



Going Fast: Slammer

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

...


- Slammer exploited connectionless UDP service, rather than connection-oriented TCP
- Entire worm fit in a single packet!
- ⇒ When scanning, worm could "fire and forget"
 Stateless!
- Worm infected 75,000+ hosts in << 10 minutes
- At its peak, doubled every 8.5 seconds

The Usual Logistic Growth


Computer Science 161 Fall 2017

Weave

Probes Recorded During Code Red's Reoutbreak



Slammer's Growth



Witty...

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

A worm like Slammer but with a twist...

- Targeted network intrusion detection sensors!
- Released ~36 hours after vulnerability disclosure and patch availability!
- Payload wasn't just spreading, however...

```
• while true {
   for i := range(20000) {
      send self to random target;
   }
   select random disk (0-7)
   if disk exists {
      select random block, erase it;
   }}
```

. .

Stuxnet

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

W.

- Discovered July 2010. (Released: Mar 2010?)
- Multi-mode spreading:
 - Initially spreads via USB (virus-like)
 - Once inside a network, quickly spreads internally using Windows RPC scanning
- Kill switch: programmed to die June 24, 2012
- Targeted SCADA systems
 - Used for industrial control systems, like manufacturing, power plants
- Symantec: infections geographically clustered
 - Iran: 59%; Indonesia: 18%; India: 8%

Stuxnet, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Moovo

- Used four Zero Days
 - Unprecedented expense on the part of the author
- "Rootkit" for hiding infection based on installing Windows drivers with valid digital signatures
 - Attacker stole private keys for certificates from two companies in Taiwan
- Payload: do nothing ...
 - ... unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives operating at 807-1210Hz
 - … like those made in Iran (and Finland) …
 - ... and used to operate centrifuges for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons

Stuxnet, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Weeve

- Payload: do nothing ...
 - unless attached to particular models of frequency converter drives operating at 807-1210Hz
 - … like those made in Iran (and Finland) …
 - ... and used to operate centrifuges for producing enriched uranium for nuclear weapons
- For these, worm would slowly increase drive frequency to 1410Hz
 - ... enough to cause centrifuge to fly apart ...
 - ... while sending out fake readings from control system indicating everything was okay ...
- ... and then drop it back to normal range

Israel Tests on Worm Called Crucial in Iran Nuclear Delay

By WILLIAM J. BROAD, JOHN MARKOFF and DAVID E. SANGER Published: January 15, 2011


This article is by William J. Broad, John Markoff and David E. Sanger.



Nicholas Roberts for The New York Times Ralph Langner, an independent computer security expert, solved Stuxnet.

Multimedia


Computer Science 161 Fall 2017



How Stuxnet Spreads

The Dimona complex in the Negev desert is famous as the heavily guarded heart of <u>Israel</u>'s neveracknowledged nuclear arms program, where neat rows of factories make atomic fuel for the arsenal.

Over the past two years, according to intelligence and military experts familiar with its operations, Dimona



Behind Dimona's barbed wire, the experts say, Israel has spun nuclear centrifuges virtually identical to Iran's at Natanz, where Iranian scientists are struggling to enrich uranium. They say Dimona tested the effectiveness of the Stuxnet computer worm, a destructive program that appears to have wiped out roughly a fifth of Iran's nuclear



The "Toddler" Attack Payload...

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Moovo

- Stuxnet was very carefully engineered...
- Designed to only go off under very specific circumstances
- But industrial control systems are inherently vulnerable
 - They consist of sensors and actuators
 - And safety is a global property
- Generic Boom:
 - At zero hour, the payload sees that it is on control system:
 map the sensors and actuators, see which ones are low speed vs high speed
 - T+30 minutes: Start replaying sensor data, switch actuators in low-speed system
 - T+60 minutes: Switch all actuators at high speed...
- This has been done:

A presumably Russian test attack on the Ukranian power grid! ("CrashOverride" attack)

And NotPetya...

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Means

- NotPetya was a worm deliberately launched by Russia against Ukraine
 - Initial spread: A corrupted update to MeDoc Ukranian Tax Software
 - Then spread within an institution using "Eternal Blue" (Windows vulnerability) and "Mimikatz"
 - Mimikatz is way way more powerful:
 Takes advantage of windows transitive authorization...
 - IF you are running on the admin's machine, you can take over the domain controller
 - IF you are running on the domain controller, you can take over every computer!!!
- Then wiped machines as fake ransomware
 - Give a veneer of deniability...
 - Shut down Mersk and many other global companies!

And Overall Taxonomy of Spread

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Meaus

- Scanning
 - Look for targets
 - Can be bandwidth limited
- "Target Lists"
 - Pregenerated (Hitlist)
 - On-the-host (Topological)
 - Query a third party server that lists servers (Metaserver)
- Passive
 - Wait for a contact: Infect with the counter-response
- More detailed taxonomy here:
 - http://www.icir.org/vern/papers/taxonomy.pdf


Botnets

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Meaus

 Collection of compromised machines (bots) under (unified) control of an attacker (botmaster)

- Method of compromise decoupled from method of control
 - Launch a worm / virus / drive-by infection / etc.
 - (Or just buy the access discussed later)
- Upon infection, new bot "phones home" to rendezvous w/ botnet command-and-control (C&C)
- Botmaster uses C&C to push out commands and updates
- Lots of ways to architect C&C:
 - Star topology; hierarchical; peer-to-peer
 - Encrypted/stealthy communication



Bots

Example of C&C Messages

omputer Science 161 Fall 2013

Moovo

- Activation (report from bot to botmaster)
- 2. Email address harvests
- 3. Spamming instructions
- 4. Delivery reports
- 5. DDoS instructions
- 6. FastFlux instructions (rapidly changing DNS)
- 7. HTTP proxy instructions
- 8. Sniffed passwords report
- 9. IFRAME injection/report

From the "Storm" botnet circa 2008

Fighting Bots / Botnets

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

247

- How can we defend against bots / botnets?
- Approach #1: prevent the initial bot infection
 - Equivalent to preventing malware infections in general HARD
- Approach #2: Take down the C&C master server
 - Find its IP address, get associated ISP to pull plug


Fighting Bots / Botnets


omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

weav

How can we defend against bots / botnets?


- Approach #1: prevent the initial bot infection
 - Equivalent to preventing malware infections in general HARD
- Approach #2: Take down the C&C master server
 - Find its IP address, get associated ISP to pull plug
- Botmaster countermeasures?
 - Counter #1: keep moving around the master server
 - Bots resolve a domain name to find it (e.g. c-and-c.evil.com)
 - Rapidly alter address associated w/ name ("fast flux")
 - Counter #2: buy off the ISP ... ("bullet-proof hosting")











Who are we and what do we do?

Our company has been in business since 2009, when it was registered in an offshore zone of the Seychelles Islands.

Most of our work is focused on providing reliable bulletproof hosting with protection from any encroachment, maintaining our clients' rights to full freedom of information and independence.

We distribute information on trustworthy platforms in Russia, Ukraine, EU countries and China. There is plenty of room for another project on the internet – and we are prepared to provide you with it.

We have always carefully protected clients' websites from all attacks and claims. Our company policy, combined with experience, technical professionalism and time-tested arrangements with data centers guarantee that all data on our servers is fully protected from intervention by authorities, bothersome right holders, and organizations like Spamhaus.

We value and treasure freedom on the internet because this is one of the few places where it still remains.

What are the advantages of working with us?

Bulletproof protection

Our defining trait is our willingness to provide services which are not easily blocked by third parties. Unlike ordinary hosts, which terminate services upon receiving any sort of claim against their client, we do not let our customers be bullied. A wide variety of platforms and internal arrangements allow us to prevent attempts by ill-wishers to block your projects.

Experience

Computer Science 161 Fall

Our team has been working in the sphere of bulletproof hosting for over five years. Throughout this period, we've dealt with the toughest problems, provided services to the most diverse clients, cooperated with the most reliable partners and now wish to attain even more experience with your help.

An individual approach

Share your projects with us, and we will provide ideal conditions for their existence, given our skill in the technical and legal field.

We can do the following:

- Select a country whose current legislation will not impede the distribution of your materials;
- Find a platform that will best suit your requirements;
- · Accept payment in any form convenient for you, including Bitcoin, which maintains the highest level of anonymity of online payments;
- Set up and configure hardware best suited for your projects;
- o Provide high-quality, around-the-clock support for all of your project's stages;
- $\circ\,$ Guarantee protection from claims and abrupt failure of equipment;
- Ensure stable functioning of your project;



Blog → Why You Need Bulletproof Hosting

Imagine yourself spending so much time, money, and resources on your internet venture. Actually, you don't even need to 'imagine' because I'm pretty sure you've spent a considerable amount of time and cash into making money online.

But if for some reason, your tactics are closer to blackhat and grayhat, then your hard work could be in jeopardy.

As you know, big companies like Google can just penalize your website whenever they please. Once they find out that you aren't exactly playing by the rules, you could get the ban hammer.

Nevermind Google... How about your own government chasing you around for running a porn tube or an online gambling site? That's a very serious issue that you surely don't want to be part of.

You could end up paying a huge amount of cash to the government, or worse — get arrested.

Restrictions

Computer Science 161 Fall

They are few, but they do exist. We restrict ourselves within the confines of professional ethics, general human morality, and the law of countries our equipment is stationed in.

For these reasons, we do not support:


- o email spam
- o all forms of fraud
- child pornography
- fascism and terrorism
- violence
- o activity deemed illegal in countries our equipment is stationed in

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017


...

- Approach #3: seize the domain name used for C&C
- ... Botmaster counter-measure?
- Business counter-measure: bullet-proof domains














omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Meaus

- Approach #3: seize the domain name used for C&C
- ... Botmaster counter-measure?
- Business counter-measure: bullet-proof domains
- Technical counter-measure: DGAs
 - Each day (say), bots generate large list of possible domain names using a Domain Generation Algorithm
 - Large = 50K, in some cases
 - E.g.: eqxowsn.info, ggegtugh.info, hquterpacw.net, oumaac.com, qfiadxb.net, rwyoehbkhdhb.info, rzziyf.info, vmlbhdvtjrn.org, yeiesmomgeso.org, yeuqik.com, yfewtvnpdk.info, zffezlkgfnox.net
 - Bots then try a random subset looking for a C&C server
 - Server signs its replies, so bot can't be duped
 - Attacker just needs to register & hang onto a small portion of names to retain control over botnet

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Moovo

 Approach #4: rally the community to sever bullet-proof hosting service's connectivity

About This Blog | Archives | Security Fix Live: Web Chats | E-Mail Brian Krebs

SEARCH THIS BLOG



RECENT POSTS


- E-Banking on a Locked Down PC, Part II
- ChoicePoint Breach Exposed 13,750 Consumer Records
- President Obama on Cyber Security Awareness
- Mozilla Disables
 Microsoft's Insecure
 Firefox Add-on
- PayChoice Suffers
 Another Data Breach

Entries By Category

- Cyber Justice
- Economy Watch
- Fraud
- From the Bunker
- Latest Warnings
- Misc.
- New Patches
- Piracy
- Safety Tips

Spam Volumes Drop by Two-Thirds After Firm Goes Offline

The volume of junk e-mail sent worldwide plummeted on Tuesday after a Web hosting firm identified by the computer security community as a major host of organizations engaged in spam activity was taken offline. (**Note**: A link to the full story on McColo's demise is available here.)



Experts say the precipitous drop-off in spam comes from Internet providers unplugging McColo Corp. a hosting provider in Northern California that was the home base for machines responsible for coordinating the sending of roughly 75 percent of all spam each day.

In an alert sent out Wednesday morning, e-mail security firm **IronPort** said:

In the afternoon of Tuesday 11/11, IronPort saw a drop of almost 2/3 of overall spam volume, correlating with a drop in IronPort's SenderBase queries. While we investigated what we thought might be a technical problem, a major spam network, McColo Corp., was shutdown, as reported by The Washington Post on Tuesday evening.

Spamcop.net's graphic shows a similar decline, from about 40 spam e-

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

V4/- ----

- Approach #4: rally the community to sever bullet-proof hosting service's connectivity
- Botmaster countermeasure?
- Who needs to run a bot when you can buy just-in-time bots ...!

The Malware "Pay Per Install" (PPI) Ecosystem

Computer Science 161 Fall 2017





Clients


PPI
Service


Install Payment


The PPI Eco-system

















omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Meaus

- Approach #4: rally the community to sever bullet-proof hosting service's connectivity
- Botmaster countermeasure?
- Who needs to run a bot when you can buy just-in-time bots
- Approach #5: use the complexity of the malware infrastructure to undermine it ...




Intelligence via Infiltration...

Computer Science 161 Fall 2017


Weeve

"Milking" = mimic downloader, repeatedly ask PPI service for next program to install



Running for five months, Berkeley & UCSD researchers downloaded ("milked") > 1M binaries (9K distinct) from 4 different affiliate programs

Malware Extracted via "Milking"



Addressing The Botnet Problem

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

What are our prospects for securing the Internet from the threat of botnets?
 What angles can we pursue?

- Angle #1: detection/cleanup
 - Detecting infection of individual bots hard as it's the defend-against-general-malware problem
 - Detecting bot doing C&C likely a losing battle as attackers improve their sneakiness & crypto
 - Cleanup today lacks oomph:
 - Who's responsible? ... and do they care? (externalities)
 - Landscape could greatly change with different model of liability
- Angle #2: go after the C&C systems / botmasters
 - Difficult due to ease of Internet anonymity & complexities of international law
 - But: a number of successes in this regard
 - Including some via peer pressure rather than law enforcement (McColo)
 - One potential angle: policing domain name registrations

Addressing The Problem, con't

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

- Angle #3: prevention
 - Bots require installing new executables or modifying existing ones
 - · Perhaps via infection ...
 - · ... or perhaps just via user being fooled / imprudent
- Better models?
- We could lock down systems so OS prohibits user from changing configuration
 - Sacrifices flexibility
 - How does this work for home users?
 - Can we leverage trusted kernels + white lists / code signing?
- Or: structure OS/browser so code runs with Least Privilege
 - Does this solve the problem?
 - Depends on how granular the privileges are ... and how the decision is made regarding just what privileges are "least"
 - E.g., iTunes App Store model (vetting), Android model (user confirmation)

Or Forget Fighting Botnets...

omputer Science 161 Fall 201

Meaus

- Fight the business models!
 - If bad guys can't make money, they stop doing it
- Managed to do this reasonably well for Viagra spam...
- But can we do this for other areas?

Worm Take-Aways

omputer Science 161 Fall 2017

Moovo

- Potentially enormous reach/damage
 - Weapon
- Hard to get right
- Emergent behavior / surprising dynamics
- Remanence: worms stick around
 - E.g. Slammer still seen in 2013!
- Propagation faster than human response