**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

# Good/Bad Crypto (cont.) & Bitcoin



### Announcements!

- Midterm 1 Monday, 5-7 pm
  - Bring your student ID
- Project 1 due tonight
  - Make only 1 submission per group!



### Exercise: Send me an encrypted message

- Make sure no one else can read the message • Use any communication method you want • How can you find my public key?
- - How can you be sure it's me?
  - How can I be sure it's you?
- How can I respond in encrypted form?
- Does the communication have forward secrecy?
- Does it have integrity? Authentication?
- Is it deniable? Or non-repudiable?



### Signal Authenticated Diffie-Hellman with Deniability

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- secret key a.
- Bob has long term secret key B, generates ephemeral secret key b.





### Alice has long term secret key A, generates ephemeral





### Signal Authenticated Diffie-Hellman with Deniability

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

# Alice sends g<sup>A</sup> and g<sup>a</sup> Bob sends **g**<sup>B</sup> and **g**<sup>b</sup>







### Signal Authenticated Diffie-Hellman with Deniability

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

### Both compute **KDF**(**g**<sup>Ab</sup>, **g**<sup>aB</sup>, **g**<sup>ab</sup>)







# SHA3 (Keccak) Cryptographic Sponge Construction





# Unusability:

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

### I hate Pretty Good Privacy

- But not because of the cryptography...
- The PGP cryptography is decent...
  - Except it lacks "Forward Secrecy": If I can get someone's private key I can decrypt all their old messages
- The metadata is awful...
  - By default, PGP says who every message is from and to
    - It makes it much faster to decrypt
  - It is hard to hide metadata well, but its easy to do things better than what PGP does
- It is never transparent
  - Even with a "good" client like GPG-tools on the Mac
  - And I don't have a client on my cellphone



# Unusability: How do you find someone's PGP key?

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- Go to their personal website?
- Check their personal email?
- Ask them to mail it to you
  - In an unencrypted channel?
- Check on the MIT keyserver?
  - Search results for 'nweaver icsi edu berkeley' removed?

Туре	bits/keyID	Date	User ID
pub	4096R/ <u>8A46A420</u>	2013-06-20	Nicholas Nicholas Nicholas

• And get the old key that was mistakenly unloaded and can never be

Weaver <nweaver@icsi.berkeley.edu>

- Weaver <n weaver@mac.com>
- Weaver <nweaver@gmail.com>

pub 2048R/442CF948 2013-06-20 Nicholas Weaver <nweaver@icsi.berkeley.edu>





## Unusability: openssl libcrypto and libssl

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

### OpenSSL is a nightmare...

- A gazillion different little functions needed to do anything
- So much of a nightmare that I'm not going to bother learning it to teach you how bad it is
  - This is why the old python-based project didn't give this raw even though it used OpenSSL under the hood
- But just to give you an idea: The command line OpenSSL utility options:

OpenSSL> help openssl:Error	: 'help' is an inva	alid command.	
Ctandand comm	,	······································	
Jocariuariu Cummo	anus	aichana	
ashiparse	ca opl?pkoo7	doot	db
ldbranam	dee dee	deepenem	un
loopanam	usa	usaparam	eu
leopar an	enu	engine	errsur
lyenun	yenusa	genpkeg	yennsa okoo12
inseq Jakaa7	ousp okoo <sup>0</sup>	passwu	pkosiz
Ipkes/	pruso	pkeg	pkeyparan
ркеуист	prime	rano	req
rsa	rsauti	s_client	s_server
S_UIME	SESS_10	SMIME	speed 
зркас	srp 	TS .	verify
version	X909		
Cipher comman	ds (see the `enc' d	command for more d	etails)
aes-			
aes-	5-11	יאי פעיר	
bf-c	יתיאי		
came		1. 17 2	6
came			
cast	CIED.	11-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-1	
des	A PACING AND A	and have	10000
des-	and the second second		Tration of
des-	and the second		The second
des-	Since and provide the second		Star Star
1dea	the second	Contraction and	and the second s
rc2	174 72		
rc2-		mind a	Contraction of the local division of the
rc4-	A CAN TRA		Contract Level
seed	<b>NUTLA</b>		TUTUL
		<b>NM</b> • <b>I I I I</b>	
Sec. Sec.		DUU	<b>AUTPH</b>





# An Old Cryptofail: Too Short Keys

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

### During WWII, the Germans used enigma:

- System was a "rotor machine": A series of rotors, with each rotor permuting the alphabet and every keypress incrementing the settings
  - Key was the selection of rotors, initial settings, and a permutation plugboard
  - Which is not all that much entropy!
- The British built a system (the "Bombe") to brute-force Enigma
  - Required a known-plaintext (a "crib") to verify decryption: e.g. the weather report
  - Sometimes the brits would deliberately "seed" a naval minefield for a chosen-plaintext attack



### Another Cryptofail: Two-Time Pad

- What if we reuse a key K jeeeest once in a One Time Pad?
- Alice sends C = E(M, K) and C' = E(M', K)
- Eve observes  $\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{M'} \oplus \mathbf{K}$
- Can she learn anything about M and/or M' ?
- Eve computes  $\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}' = (\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{K}) \oplus (\mathbf{M}' \oplus \mathbf{K})$  $= (M \oplus M') \oplus (K \oplus K)$ = (M ⊕ M') ⊕ 0  $= \mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{M}'$
- Now she knows which bits in M match bits i
- And if Eve already knew M, now she knows
- Even if not, Eve can guess M and ensure that M' is consi





### **VENONA:** Pad Reuse in the Real World

### **Computer Science 161 Summer 2019**

- The Soviets used one-time pads for communication from their spies in the US
  - After all, it is provably secure!
- During WWII, the Soviets started reusing key material
  - Uncertain whether it was just the cost of generating pads or what...
- VENONA was a US cryptanalysis project designed to break these messages
  - Included confirming/identifying the spies targeting the US Manhattan project
  - Project continued until 1980!

### Not declassified until 1995!

- So secret even President Truman wasn't informed about it.
- But the Soviets found out about it in 1949, but their one-time pad reuse was fixed after 1948 anyway



### **Dutra and Jawale**

# 2-Time Pad Cryptofail Remarkably Common

- Actually happens more often than you'd like... Because if you use CTR mode and repeat the IV, you are doing the
  - same thing!
- Recently discovered in WiFi implementations! WiFi breaks up the message into a series of packets, each packet is
  - encrypted separately





### Cryptofail Hotness: KRACK attack...

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- To actually encrypt the individual packets: IV of a packet is {Agreed IV || packet counter}
  - (128b)
- Multiple different modes
  - One common one is CCM (Counter with CBC-MAC)
    - MAC the data with CBC-MAC Then encrypt with CTR mode
  - The highest performance is GCM (Galois/Counter Mode)

### • KRACK:

- "Hey WiFi Device, reset your packet counter" "Okeydoke"
- But if you thought CTR mode was bad on IV reuse...
- Discovered a year and a half ago, fairly quickly patch, but still!

Thus for each packet you only need to send the packet counter (48 bits) rather than the full IV

GCM is worse: A couple of reused IVs can reveal enough information to forge the authentication!



### GCM...

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- GCM is like CTR mode with a twist...
  - The confidentiality is pure CTR mode
  - The "Galois" part is a hash of the cipher text
    - The only secret part being the "Auth Data"
- Reuse the IV, what happens?
  - Not only do you have CTR mode loss of confidentiality...
  - But if you do it enough, you lose confidentiality on the Auth Data...
  - So you lose the integrity that GCM supposedly provided!

twist... mode ne cipher







### DSA Signatures...

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

### Based on Diffie-Hellman

- Two initial parameters, L and N, and a hash function H
  - L == key length, eg 2048 N <= len(H), e.g. 256
  - $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}^{(p-1)/q} \mod p$  for some arbitrary  $\mathbf{h} (1 < h < p 1)$
  - {p, q, g} are public parameters
- Alice creates her own random private key x < q</li>
  - Public key y = g<sup>x</sup> mod p

An N-bit prime q, an L-bit prime p such that p - 1 is a multiple of q, and



### Alice's Signature...

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- Create a random value k < q</p>
  - Calculate r = (g<sup>k</sup> mod p) mod q
    - If  $\mathbf{r} = 0$ , start again
  - Calculate  $s = k^{-1} (H(m) + xr) \mod q$ 
    - If  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , start again
  - signatures)
- Verification
  - $w = s^{-1} \mod q$
  - $u_1 = H(m) * w \mod q$
  - $u_2 = r * w \mod q$
  - $v = (g^{u_1}y^{u_2} \mod p) \mod q$
  - Validate that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}$



### Signature is {r, s} (Advantage over an El-Gamal signature variation: Smaller



# But Easy To Screw Up...

**Computer Science 161 Summer 2019** 

- k is not just a nonce... It must be random and secret
  - If you know k, you can calculate x
- And even if you just reuse a random k... for two signatures sa and sb
  - A bit of algebra proves that  $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{H}_{A} \mathbf{H}_{B}) / \mathbf{f}_{A}$
  - A good reference:
  - How knowing k tells you x: https://rdist.root.org/2009/05/17/the-debian-pgp-disaster-that-almos
  - How two signatures tells you k: https://rdist.root.org/2010/11/19/dsa-requirements-for-random-k-v

# 





# And **NOT** theoretical: Sony Playstation 3 DRM

- The PS3 was designed to only run signed code
  - They used ECDSA as the signature algorithm
  - This prevents unauthorized code from running
  - They had an **option** to run alternate operating systems (Linux) that they then removed
- Of course this was catnip to reverse engineers
  - Best way to get people interested: **remove** Linux from a device...
- It turns for out one of the key authentication keys used to sign the firmware...
  - Ended up reusing the same k for multiple signatures!







# And **NOT** Theoretical: Android RNG Bug + Bitcoin

- OS Vulnerability in 2013 Android "SecureRandom" wasn't actually secure!
  - Not only was it low entropy, it would occasionally return the same value multiple times
- Multiple Bitcoin wallet apps on Android were affected
- "Pay B Bitcoin to Bob" is signed by Alice's public key using ECDSA
  - Message is broadcast publicly for all to see
- So you'd have cases where "Pay B to Bob" and "Pay C to Carol" were signed with the same k
   So of course someone scanned for all such
- So of course someone scanned f
  Bitcoin transactions



